03-24734

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY **REGION III**

Wheeling Office - Methodist Bldg. 1060 Chapline St. - Suite 303 Wheeling, West Virginia 26003-2995

February 24, 1999

Subject:

Underground Storage Tank Inspection -

Chucks Stop

From:

James Bailey (3EP31)

Wheeling Field Office

To:

Terri Di Fiori (3WC31)

Thru:

Scott C. McPhilliamy (3EP31)

Wheeling Field Office

Attached you will find a copy of a UST inspection report for your review. If you have any questions regarding this report, please contact this office at 304/234-0267 or 304/234-0233.

Attachment:

Underground Storage Tank Inspection (UST)

Tank owner: Charles J. Peters III

Tank location: Chucks Stop 737 Rt. 56 E

Apollo, PA 15613

ID No. 03-24734

Inspection date: February 17, 1999

A UST inspection was conducted at the Chuck Stop, owned by Charles J. Peters III on February 17, 1999. Personnel participating in or contacted during this inspection included the following:

USEPA

James L. Bailey

Environmental Scientist ESD Wheeling Office

Clark Conover

Environmental Protection Specialist

WACM Wheeling Office

Chuck's Stop

Charles J. Peters III

Owner/Operator

Mr. Peters has upgraded his gas station over the last two years by the following actions:

- Lining three tanks internally
- Replacing the iron pipe with FRP
- Closing out three tanks. One of the three (old No. 4) has been removed from the ground but remains on site.
- Installing a new tank (new No. 4)

One of the old tanks, closed but not removed from the ground contains 4.5 inches of product or product and water. The second tank contains less than one inch of product. Bids have been received for the removal of these tanks. The company that will remove the tanks is August Environmental of Morgantown, WV.

Leak detection for the three tanks that were lined internally will be inventory control and tank tightness testing every five years. This can be done for ten years following the 1997 upgrade. The FRP lines must be tested annually. By the year 2007 (10 years) the three tanks that were lined internally must undergo an internal inspection, which must be repeated every five years thereafter.

The new tank designated new No. 4, is a double wall STI-P3 tank that was put into service on February 9, 1999. Leak detection for this tank will be by a Veeder Root system that will reportedly be installed in the near future. Daily stick readings are recorded by Mr. Peters and SIR may be used until the Veeder Root system is installed. Additional information is included in the Leak Detection Questionnaire attached.

Underground Storage Tank Inspection (UST)

Tank owner: Charles J. Peters III
Tank location: Chucks Stop

737 Rt. 56 E

Apollo, PA 15613

ID No. 03-24734

Inspection date: February 17, 1999

Violations and areas of concern

1. The FRP lines installed in 1997 are overdue for testing to comply with the line leak detection requirement.

I. Ownership of Tank(s)			nspection			
Owner Name (Corporation, Individual, Public Agency or other entity): 737 Rt, 56 E Street Address Apollo PA IS613 City State Zip Code 724 478 - 2124 Area Code Phone Number Charles J. Peters TIL Contact Person At UST Location			Facility Name or Company Site Identifier, if different from left SAME Street Address or State Road, as applicable City (nearest) State Zip Area Code Phone Number Number of Tanks at This Location:			
III. Tank Information Complete for each tank. If facility	/ has more than 4					
Tank presently in use (circle)				plete information for add		
If not, date last used	Tank		Tank 2	(Tank 3)	NEWTank 4	
If emptied, verify 1" or less of product in tank						
Month and Year Tank Installed	F 7	71				
Martin Co.	5-10	-	5-74	5-74	2-9-9	
Capacity of Tank (in gallons)	teel ton		INTERNALLY	LINES IN199	STI-P3	
Substance Stored	(e,60)		6,000	6,000	1,000	
V.A. Release Detection For Tanks Ch	GESOLINA		G2501144	Diesel	Kerosen	
Manual Tank Gauging (tanks under 1,000 gal.)	eck the felease di	etection	method(s) used for each	tank or N/A H name requ	rired.	
Manual Tank Gauging and Tank Tightness Testing (tanks under 2,000 gal.)						
Tank Tightness Testing and Inventory Control	Vioyez	н	1/			
Automatic Tank Gauging	rogec	,,	Longest	10 year	1	
Vapor, Groundwater or Interstitial Monitoring						
Other approved method CONSIDERING			364		272	
/.B. Release Detection For Piping		Chart e	ha articological designation of the second s		SIRL	
Check Pressurized (P) or Suction (S) Piping for each tank	P		he release detection meth	lod(s) used for piping.	P	
Automatic Line Leak Detectors, and check one	~		~			
Vapor or Groundwater Monitoring	A STANKE					
Secondary Containment with Monitoring						
Line Tightness Testing						
pector's Signature:	have inspecte	d the a	above named facility		1999 hth/day/year	

Leak D	etectio	on I	nspection	1	
I. Ownership of Tank(s)				cation of Tank	la)
Owner Name (Corporation, Individual, Public Agency or ot	her entity):	Facili	Chucics ty Name or Company	STOP	
Street Address Appllo City State	15613	Stree	t Address or State Roa	ad, as applicable	
Area Code Phone Number	Zip Code	City (nearest) Code Phone Number	State	Zip Code
Contact Person At UST Location	-duller	Numbe	er of Tanks at This Loc		
III. Tank Information Complete for each tank. If facility	has more than 4	tanks, p	hatocopy page and comp	olete information for additi	
Tank presently in use (circle)	bld Tank		Tank 5	/	
If not, date last used	12-22.	-98	12-22-98	12-22-58	Tank
If emptied, verify 1" or less of product in tank	4.5 IN	her	< 1, D'inch	Remareta-1-95	
Month and Year Tank Installed	7-81		7-84	5 Ell	
Material of Construction	Steel		Steel	1-09	
Capacity of Tank (in gallons)	0.001		30261	Steel	
Substance Stored					
IV.A. Release Détection For Tanks	eck the release di	etection :	method(s) used for each	manh on NIA Ta	
Manual Tank Gauging (tanks under 1,000 gal.)			STORY GOOD FOR EBELL	erik of N/A fi none requi	ed.
Manual Tank Gauging and Tank Tightness Testing (tanks under 2,000 gal.)					
Tank Tightness Testing and Inventory Control					
Automatic Tank Gauging	The property				
Vapor, Groundwater or Interstitial Monitoring					
Other approved method					
IV.B. Release Detection For Piping		Check th	ne release detection meth	-1.5	
Check Pressurized (P) or Suction (S) Piping for each tank			- Control of the Cont	outs) used for piping.	
Automatic Line Leak Detectors, and check one		1			
Vapor or Groundwater Monitoring	N. E. W. L. L.			The second	
Secondary Containment with Monitoring					
Line Tightness Testing					
Inspector's Signature: (amp) certify that II	have inspected	d the a	bove named facility		1999 th/day/year
	()			3/8/2013 9	:44:U AIVI

Leak Detection for Piping

Set 1	Tank 1	Tank 2	Tank 3	Tank 4
Automatic Flow Restrictor	/	V	1/	/
Automatic Shut-off Device		KARL STATE		ANTE DE LET
Continuous Alarm System		retribition?		
and		1	25 1878	
Set 2	FRP .	PiPius	INSTAILED	1997
Annual Line Tightness Testing Required	- unless	STROS	ELECTE	11111
Interstitial Menitoring	IVA _	7	EL GCVL.	the lug len
If Interstitial Monitoring, documentation of monthly monitoring is available	MA-	>		TATE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY O
Ground-Water or Vapor Monitoring	WA-	7		
If Ground-Water or Vapor Monitoring, documentation of monthly monitoring is available				
Other Approved Method (specify in comments section)				
Suction Piping. Indicate date of most recent test.		-		
Line Tightness Testing (required every 3 years)				
Secondary Containment with Interstitial Monitoring			10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	/
Ground-Water or Vapor Monitoring				
Other Approved Method (specify in comments section)			/	
No Leak Detection Required must answer yes to all of the following questions)				
perates at less than atmospheric pressure				A TOTAL
las only one check valve, which is located directly under pump		/		
lope of piping allows product to drain back into tank when suction eleased	/			
Il above information on suction piping is verifiable	/			
n the back of this sheet, please sketch the site, noting all piping runs cells and their distance from tanks and piping.				nd location of
ested in 1998. No documentation	show U OF t	td have	y bee	-A/
spector's Signature: Amis L. 1 Scilling				

		Fa	cility ID Number (3-2473
Sp	ill/Overfill F	Prevention		
	Tank 1	Tank 2	Tank 3	Tools 4
Are all tank transfers less than 25 gallons?	Yes □ No □	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Tank 4
Spill Prevention			Tes D No D	Yes 🗆 No 🛭
Is there a spill bucket (at least 5 gallons) or another device that will prevent release of product to the environment (such as a dry disconnect coupling)?	Yes No 🗆	Yes X No □	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	Yes 🐧 No 🗆
Overfill Prevention				
What device is used to prevent tank from being overfilled?				
Ball float valve	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗅		
Butterfly valve (in fill pipe)	Yes No 🗆		Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes No 🗆
Automatic alarm monitoring is used	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes No 🗆	Yes No 🗆	Yes No 🗆
Other alarm system		Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
	Yes No D	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
acrificial Anode System (1) Dec (7)	Tank 1	Tank 2	Tank 3	Tank 4
THE MILE TONIC	No.4.			
est results show a negative voltage of at least 0.85 olts (using the tank and a copper/copper sulfate cell)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
ne last two test results are available. (Tests are quired every three years.)	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
pressed Current				
ctifier is on 24 hours a day?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes D.N. D	
e last two test results are available? (Tests are	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes No	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
uired every 60 days.)			Tes L No L	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
t results show a negative voltage of at least 0.85 ts (using the tank and a copper/copper sulfate cell)?	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆	Yes 🗆 No 🗆
nments: Cathodic Protection 7	ccomplished	by Inte	Nolly 21x	1126
ENKS Nounbered 1, 2, and	3, 0/1/tz	0/1.	- 20 mb 10 1 - 2	11 1 sale
Ich News TONK No. 4 rehuit	11 5 91+	2 5/0.	la 1 1 11 1	L Lebert
0 7 2 2000	11 6 3/1-	13 OVUL	IL WEILLY	Coffe,
ector's Signature:	ille	D	a Feb v	1990

Statistical livelitory neconciliation	l I	
Please complete all information for each tank If this facility has more than 4 tanks, complete the information for all addit		nis page and
Documentation of deliveries and sales balances with daily measurements of liquid volume in tank are maintained and available.		
Please answer yes or no for each question		
Records include monthly water monitoring.	Yes 🖫	No □
Tank inventory reconciled before and after fuel delivery.	Yes 🛱	No □
Appropriate calibration chart is used for calculating volume.	Yes □	No □
Dispenser pumps are calibrated to within 6 cubic inches per five gallons.	Yes 🗆	No □
The drop tube in the fill pipe extends to within one foot of tank bottom.	Yes 🗆	No □
Answer one of the following three:		
1) Owner can demonstrate consistency in dipsticking techniques.	Yes 🗆	• No □
a) The dipstick is long enough to reach the bottom of the tank.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
b) The end of the gauge stick is flat and not worn down.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
c) The dipstick is legible & the product level can be determined to the nearest 1/8th inch.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
<u>OR</u>		
2) Automatic tank gauge is used for readings.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
<u>OR</u>		
3) Other method is used for readings (explain in comment section below).	Yes 🗆	No □
A third-party certification of the SIR method is available.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Monitoring and testing records are maintained and available for the past 12 months.	Yes 🗆	No □
comments: New Tork No. 4 has been in use less than INITIAL USE 2-9-99, LOWNER OPERATOR SIR UNITED the Veeder-Root system is Daily stick reading (are taken	- is CONSI	Leturis
Inspector's Signature: The Library Language Carlot	Date: 7-8 h	24, 1999

inventory Control and	Tank II	ghtness I	esting	
Method of tank tightness testing: Tank tightness tester: Years there	feet 10	141721 11.	1007 34	d Live
110 5 1 1 1 1 2	Luc d	may neg	V. T	C PLUP
Address of tank tightness tester: 4P7 - CWERE	etter. 10	MITHTHE an	s Mile Into	willy 1997
Please complete all information for each tank if	this facility has n	nore than 4 tanks, nation for all additi	please photocopy onal tanks.	this page and
	Tank 1	Tank 2	Tank 3	Tank 4
Date of last tank tightness test.				
Did tank pass test? Indicate yes or no. If no, specify in comments section below the status of the tank or what actions have been taken (e.g., has state been notified?)				
Documentation of deliveries and sales balances with daily measurements of liquid volume in tank are maintained and available.				
Overages or shortages are less than 1% + 130 gals of tank's flow-through volume.				
If no, which months were not?		The state of		
Please answer yes or no for each question				
Owner/operator can explain inventory control methods and figu	ures used and red	corded.	Yes ⊠	No 🗆
Records include monthly water monitoring.			Yes 🏹	No 🗆
Tank inventory reconciled before and after fuel delivery.			Yes 🖄	No 🗆
Books are reconciled monthly.			Yes ⊠	No 🗆
Appropriate calibration chart is used for calculating volume.			Yes 🛛	No 🗆
Dispenser pumps are calibrated to within 6 cubic inches per fiv	Yes 🔯	No 🗆		
The drop tube in the fill pipe extends to within one foot of tank	bottom.		Yes 💆	No 🗆
Owner can demonstrate consistency in dipsticking techniques.			Yes 💆	No 🗆
The dipstick is long enough to reach the bottom of the tank.			Yes 🗸	No 🗆
The ends of the gauge stick are flat and not worn down.			Yes 🗸	No 🗆
The dipstick is marked legibly & the product level can be determined to the determin	nined to the near	est 1/8th inch.	Yes 💆	No 🗆
The tank has been tested within the year & has passed the tigh	tness test (if ned	cessary). 1997	Yes	No 🗆
A third-party certification of the tank tightness test method is a	vailable. For	the	Yes 💢	No 🗆
ank tester complied with all certification requirements.	1199	rests.	Yes 💆	No 🗆
Monitoring and testing are maintained and available for the past	12 months.		Yes 💢	No 🗆
comments: TANKS 1, 2, 2Nd 3 WERE 1	ned inter	NATH IN	1997 F	RP
DIPING WAY INSTALLED at the SA	the time	e the tan	IKS THUIT	by
must be tessed Himazily, These		there sto	to, The	PIPINY
	1. (F-1	1-1-7
spector's Signature: \tag{\llms}	Scully		Date: (E) 2	4. 1117

Automatic Tank Gauging				
Manufacturer, name and model number of system:		rey heur ONFIN		
- Reportedly will have Verder- Root	System 1.	NSCELLED MOEN		
Please answer yes or no for each question				
Device documentation is available at site (e.g., manufacturer's brochures, owner's manual).	Yes	□ No □		
Device can measure height of product to nearest one-eighth of an inch.	Yes	□ No □		
Documentation shows that water in bottom of tank is checked monthly to nearest one-eighth of an inch.	Yes	□ No □		
Documentation is available that the ATG was in test mode a minimum of once a month.	Yes	□ No □		
Checked for presence of gauge in tanks.	Yes	□ No □		
Checked for presence of monitoring box and evidence that device is working (i.e., device is equipped with roll of paper for results documentation).	Yes	□ No □		
Owner/operator has documentation on file verifying method meets minimum performance standards of .20 gph with probability of detection of 95% and probability of false alarm of 5% for automatic tank gauging (e.g., results sheets under EPA's "Standard Test Procedures for Evaluating Leak Detection Methods").	Yes	O No O		
Checked documentation that system was installed, calibrated, and maintained according to manufacturer's instructions.	Yes	□ No □		
Maintenance records are available upon request.	Yes	□ No □		
Monthly testing records are available for the past 12 months.	Yes	□ No □		
Daily monitoring records are available for the past 12 months (if applicable).	Yes	□ No □		
Comments:				
Inspector's Signature: Aming & Bailley	Date:	eb 24, 1999		

Facility ID Number

Interstitial Monitoring Manufacturer and name of system: Date system installed: __ Materials used for secondary barrier: Materials used for internal lining: Interstitial space is monitored (Circle one): automatically, continuously, monthly basis. Please answer yes or no for each question All tanks in system are fitted with secondary containment and interstitial monitoring. Yes No 🗆 N/A System is designed to detect release from any portion of UST system that routinely contains Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A product. Monitoring method is documented as capable of detecting a leak as small as .1 gal./hr. with at least Yes a 95% probability of detection and a probability of false alarm of no more than 5%. No 🗆 N/A Documentation of monthly readings is available for last 12 months. Yes 🗆 No O N/A Maintenance and calibration documents and records are available and indicate appropriate Yes No O N/A maintenance procedures for system have been implemented. Monitoring box, if present, is operational. Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A If monitoring wells are part of leak detection system, monitoring wells are clearly marked and Yes O No O N/A secured to avoid unauthorized access and tampering. Interstitial space is monitored manually on monthly basis (answer the following question). Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A Equipment used to take readings is accessible and functional. Yes 🗆 No D N/A Tank is double-walled Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A Tank is fitted with internal bladder to achieve secondary containment (answer the following Yes 🗆 No [N/A Bladder is compatible with substance stored and will not deteriorate in the presence of that Yes 🗆 No 🗆 N/A substance. Excavation is lined with impervious artificial material to achieve secondary containment (answer the Yes No 🗆 N/A following questions). Secondary barrier is always above groundwater. Yes No 🗆 N/A If secondary barrier is not always above groundwater, secondary barrier and monitoring designs

Secondary barrier does not interfere with operation of cathodic protection system. Yes [No D N/A Comments: Inspector's Signature:

are for use under such

substance < 106 cm/sec.

presence of that substance.

conditions.

Secondary barrier is constructed from artificially constructed material, with permeability to

Secondary barrier is compatible with the regulated substances stored and will not deteriorate in

Yes

Yes [

Yes

No D

No O

No 🗆

N/A

N/A

N/A

Facility ID Number

Ground	Water Mo	nitoring N		
Date System Installed:				
Distance of well from tank(s) (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Distance of well from piping (1)		(3)		
Site assessment was conducted by:			147	
Location of site assessment documentation:			A A HOLE	
Please answer each question of each well	If there are more to	than 4 wells, please phadditional wells.	otocopy this page	and complete the
	Weil 1	Well 2	Well 3	Well 4
Well is clearly marked and secured to avoid unauthorize access or tampering.	ed			Tell may
Well was opened and presence of water was observed well at depth of ft.	in			6 196
Please answer yes or no for each question				
Wells are used to monitor piping.			Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Site assessment was performed prior to installation of wells.			Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Documentation of monthly readings is available.			Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Specific gravity of product is less than one.			Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Hydraulic conductivity of soil between UST system and cm/sec. According to:	monitoring wells is	not less than 0.01	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Groundwater is not more than 20 feet from ground sur	face.		Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Wells are sealed from the ground surface to top of filter	r pack.		Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Continuous monitoring device or manual bailing method least one-eighth of an inch of the product on top of gro	used can detect thundwater in well.	e presence of at	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Groundwater is monitored: () Manually on a monthly b.		s [Circle one]).		
Check the following if groundwater is monitored manua functional.	lly: Bailer used is a	ccessible and	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Check the following if groundwater is monitored automa	atically: Monitoring	box is operational.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆
Checked for presence of sensor in monitoring well.			Yes 🗆	No 🗆
On the back of this sheet, please sketch the site, noting wells and their distance from tanks and piping.	all piping runs, tan	ks (including size and s	substances stored)	and location of
Comments:				
nspector's Signature:			Date:	

		Facility ID Nu	mber	
Vapor Monitoring	g NH	/		
Name of monitoring device:				
Date system installed Number of monitoring wells			57 44 5 14	
Distance of monitoring well(s) from tank(s) (1) (2)		50.15		
Site assessment was conducted by:	(3) _		(4)	
Location of site assessment documentation:				
Please indicate yes or no for each tank Please complete all information please photocopy this page and	for each tank complete the	. If facility ha	or additions	4 tanks,
	Tank 1	Tank 2	Tank 3	Tank 4
Well is clearly marked and secured.			Tunk 5	I dlik 4
Well caps are tight.				
Well is constructed so that monitoring device is not rendered inoperative by moisture or other interferences.	Major.			Marie S
Well is free of debris or has other indications that it has been recently checked.				
Please answer yes or no for each question				
UST excavation zone was assessed prior to vapor monitoring system installation.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
One or more USTs is/are included in system.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
f the system is automatic, check the following:				
Power box is accessible and power light is on.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
ocumentation of monthly readings is available for last 12 months.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
quipment used to take readings is accessible and functional.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
apor monitoring equipment has been calibrated within the last year.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
the system is manual, check the following:				
ocumentation of monthly readings is available for last 12 months.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
quipment used to take readings is accessible and functional.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
apor monitoring equipment has been calibrated within the last year.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
prous material was used for backfill.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
ells are placed within the excavation zone.	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
evel of background contamination is known. so what is level?	Yes 🗆	No 🗆		
the back of this sheet, please sketch the etc. cotice all all.				

On the back of this sheet, please sketch the site, noting all piping runs, tanks (including size and substances stored) and location of wells and their distance from tanks and piping.

Facility	ID	Num	ber
----------	----	-----	-----

Manual Tank Gauging



Manual tank gauging may be used as the sole method of leak detection only for tanks of 1,000 gal. or fewer or in combination with tank tightness testing for tanks of up to 2,000 gal.

Please indicate the number of the tank or tanks for which manual tank gauging is used as the main leak detection method (e.g., tanks 1 & 4): Please answer yes or no for each question Records show liquid level measurements are taken at beginning and end of Yes period of at least ([Circle one] 36, 44, 58) hours during which no liquid is No 🗆 added to or removed from the tank. Level measurements are based on average of two consecutive stick readings Yes at both beginning and end of period. No П Monthly average of variation between beginning and end measurements is less than standard shown below for corresponding size and dimensions of Yes [No tank and waiting time. Gauge stick is long enough to reach bottom of the tank. Ends of gauge stick Yes are flat and not worn down. No D Gauge stick is marked legibly and product level can be determined to the Yes No D nearest one-eighth of an inch. MTG is used as sole method of leak detection for tank. Yes No O MTG is used in conjunction with tank tightness testing. Yes No 🗆 Are all tanks for which MTG is used under 2,000 gallons in capacity? Yes No 🗆 Are monitoring records available for the last 12 month period? Yes No [Check One: Nominal Tank Capacity Tank Dimensions Monthly Standard Minimum Test (in gallons) (in gallons) Duration () 550 N/A 5 36 hours () 551 - 1,000 N/A 7 36 hours 1.000 64" diameter x 73" 44 hours length () 48" diameter x 128" 1.000 6 58 hours length () 1,001 - 2,000* N/A 13 36 hours * Manual tank gauging must be used in combination with tank tightness testing for tanks over 1,000 gal, and less than 2,000 gal. Comments: Inspector's Signature:

Date: