

BID INFORMATION MEMORANDUM

Fixed Price Competitive Bid Solicitation

Former Dunbar Amoco

1809 University Drive, Dunbar Township, Fayette County, Pennsylvania

PADEP Facility ID #26-18711; USTIF Claim #1996-0116(F)

The PAUSTIF understands and appreciates the effort necessary to prepare a well-conceived response to a bid solicitation. As a courtesy, the following summary information is being provided to the bidders.

Number of firms attending pre-bid meeting: 6

Number of bids received: 4

List of firms submitting bids:

Atlas Technical Consultants
CORE Environmental Services, Inc.
DMS Environmental Services, LLC
Mountain Research, LLC

This was a Defined Scope of Work bid and so price was the most heavily weighted evaluation criteria. The range in cost between the four evaluated bids was \$54,440.00 to \$93,383.00. Based on the numerical scoring, all four bids were determined to meet the “Reasonable and Necessary” criteria established by the Regulations and deemed acceptable by the evaluation committee for PAUSTIF funding and the claimant had the option to select any of the consulting firms.

The bidder selected by the claimant was:

CORE Environmental Services, LLC: Bid Price - \$54,440.00

The attached sheet lists some general comments regarding the evaluation of the bids that were received for this solicitation. These comments are intended to provide information regarding the bids that were received for this solicitation and to assist you in preparing bids for future solicitations.

GENERAL COMMENTS REGARDING EVALUATED BIDS

- Bids should not include assumptions in the technical/text of the bid submission that would modify the Remediation Agreement. All assumptions and requested modifications to the Remediation Agreement must only be listed under the Remediation Agreement section of the Required Responses Submission Form.
- Bids should provide a clear description of how the proposed work scope will be completed by the bidder. The bid package should specifically discuss all tasks and subtasks that will be included under the fixed price contract, what specific activities are included in each task, and how the tasks will specifically be completed (e.g., provide details on what data analysis tools will be used to demonstrate that separate phase liquid has been removed to the maximum extent practicable).
- Bidders should include all requested information (e.g., list of bid labor rates, details on sub-slab soil gas sampling point installation, construction, and purging and QA/QC actions associated with the sub-slab soil gas sampling) in the bid submittal.
- Detailed information demonstrating bidders experience at performing RFB work scope activities should be provided in the bid package (e.g., discussion of how sites with separate phase liquid were closed under the SSS).
- Copies of quotations from all major subcontractors (including, but not limited to, drilling companies, surveyors, and analytical laboratories) should be included as attachments in the bid package.
- Bid responses should include enough “original” language and thought, above and beyond that provided in the RFB, to assist with evaluating the qualifications and approach of the bidder. This includes discussion on potential issues or challenges that may be encountered during performance of the work. The reason for this is that the bidders are not pre-qualified and so the evaluation committee must evaluate the technical aspects of the bid and bidder.