

BID INFORMATION MEMORANDUM
Fixed Price Competitive Bid Solicitation

Solicitor

The Devereux Foundation
Devereux Brandywine Campus
444 Devereux Drive
Villanova, Pennsylvania 19085
PADEP FACILITY ID #15-25097
PAUSTIF CLAIM #2012-0058(I)

September 22, 2015

USTIF understands and appreciates the effort necessary to prepare a well-conceived response to a bid solicitation. As a courtesy, the following summary information is being provided to the bidders.

Number of firms attending pre-bid meeting:	8
Number of bids received:	5
List of firms submitting bids:	Alpha Geoscience Converse Consultants DMS Environmental Services, LLC Environmental Alliance, Inc. Taylor GeoServices, Inc.

Of the five bids received, one bid was withdrawn from scoring consideration due to technical and approach concerns with regards to the nature of the facility. This was a defined Scope of Work bid and so price was the most heavily weighted evaluation criteria. The range in cost between the four remaining bids was \$47,735.00 to \$70,020.00. Based on the numerical scoring, two (2) bids were determined to meet the “Reasonable and Necessary” criteria established by the Regulations and were deemed acceptable by the evaluation committee for USTIF funding. Following review, the claimant selected one (1) of the acceptable bids.

The selected bidder was Alpha Geoscience - \$62,196.16

The attached sheet lists some general comments regarding the evaluation of the bids that were received for this solicitation. These comments are intended to provide information regarding the bids that were received for this solicitation and to assist you in preparing bids for future solicitations.

GENERAL COMMENTS REGARDING EVALUATED BIDS

- Bids need to clearly and unambiguously accept the Remediation Agreement provided in the RFB as well as include any requested changes to the aforementioned contract. As noted, the Remediation Agreement provided in the RFB will be the base for the contract to be signed for this project, not a consultant's internal proposal or contract. This includes any consultant's internal proposal or contract with terms and conditions contrasting that of the Remediation Agreement.
- Please bid the scope of work as provided in the RFB unless otherwise directed. Consultants are welcome to propose or suggest a change in the SOW; however the consultant should bid the SOW as presented in the RFB and provide any suggested modification to the SOW and provide the cost difference (+ or -) separately in the proposal.
- The RFB requested a total fixed-price bid to complete a specific scope of work. Bids should not include an assumption or a reference to a level of effort and/or hours. Costs provided in your bid should be developed using your professional opinion, experience, and the data provided.
- Provide a clear description of how the proposed work scope will be completed. The bid package should specifically discuss all tasks and subtasks that will be included under the fixed price contract, what specific activities are included in each task, and how the tasks will specifically be completed (i.e. explain your groundwater sampling method, which guidance documents will be prepared, how waste will be disposed, what will be completed as part of the SRS, etc.).
- Bid responses should include enough "original" language and thought that the knowledge and approach of the firm can be evaluated. The reason is that the bidders are not prequalified and the evaluation committee must evaluate the technical aspects of the bid and bidder. Specifically, bidders should not just copy and paste the language in the RFB and provide a cost or not just that the task will be completed for certain cost. An explanation should be provided as to how the task will be completed and all pertinent detail should be included.
- Please include all requested information (insurance, qualification questions, cost spreadsheet, schedule, labor rates, etc.) in the bid submittal.
- Bids should include costs to dispose of all anticipated volumes of waste related to the tasks included in the SOW. The volume of waste should be estimated using your professional opinion, experience, and available information. If your bid proposes to dispose of waste under a permit, then your bid needs to address the potential situation of a permit not being approved. Bids need to specifically indicate that your bid costs include the costs to dispose of the waste even if a permit is not approved. As indicated in the bid, there should be no

assumptions on waste and assuming that a permit will be approved is still making an assumption on waste. Bid should also clearly detail how all waste will be handled.

- Bids should appropriately discuss and provide costs for the cost adders included in the RFB.
- Bidders should follow the specific instructions provided in the RFB and include the correct number of events based on their proposed schedule. Specifically, if the RFB requests costs to complete events every two weeks from the time of contract execution through the completion of a specific report based on the schedule provided from the bidder, then the expectation is that the bidder will include enough events on their schedule and in their costs.
- Bidders should take into consideration the current use of the facility and provide clear discussion and details in their bid as to how they will complete the requested scope of work while maintaining a safe working environment that is protective of the property and the people that use it. Specifically, if the Site is a facility for children and special instructions have been given on how the work needs to be completed, then bidders should consider providing the specific details requested and acknowledge that safety is a priority.