

# **BID INFORMATION MEMORANDUM**

## **Fixed Price Competitive Bid Solicitation**

### **Mill Creek Sunoco**

**7040 Mill Creek Road, Levittown, Bristol Township, Bucks County, Pennsylvania**

**PADEP Facility ID #09-43609      PAUSTIF Claim #20230041(I)**

---

The PAUSTIF understands and appreciates the effort necessary to prepare a well-conceived response to a bid solicitation. As a courtesy, the following summary information is being provided to the bidders.

Number of firms attending pre-bid meeting:	3
Number of bids received:	3
List of firms submitting bids:	EnviroSure, Inc. MEA, Inc. Mountain Research, LLC

This was a Defined Scope of Work Request for Bid (RFB) and so price was the most heavily weighted evaluation criteria. The range in cost between the three evaluated bids was \$81,234.55 to \$85,278.00. Based on the numerical scoring, all three bids were determined to meet the “Reasonable and Necessary” criteria established by the Regulations and deemed acceptable by the evaluation committee for PAUSTIF funding. The claimant has the option to select any of the consulting firms that had a technical score that allowed the bid to advance to cost scoring to complete the scope of work defined in the RFB; however, PAUSTIF will only provide funding up to the fixed-price cost of the highest bid deemed reasonable and necessary for PAUSTIF funding by the bid review committee.

**The bidder selected by the claimant was:**

**MEA, Inc.: Bid Price – \$81,234.55**

The attached sheet lists some general comments regarding the evaluation of the bids that were received for this solicitation. These comments are intended to provide information regarding the bids that were received for this solicitation and to assist you in preparing bids for future solicitations.

## COMMENTS REGARDING EVALUATED BIDS

- Bids should not include assumptions in the technical/text of the bid submission that would modify the Remediation Agreement. All assumptions and requested modifications to the Remediation Agreement must only be listed under the Remediation Agreement section of the Required Responses Submission Form.
- Bidders should include all requested information (e.g., list of bid labor rates, details on sub-slab soil gas sampling point installation, construction, and purging and QA/QC actions associated with the sub-slab soil gas sampling) in the bid submittal.
- Detailed information demonstrating bidders experience at performing RFB work scope activities should be provided in the bid package and answer the specific questions in the Request for Bid (e.g., discussion of how many vapor intrusion assessments has the bidder performed).
- Copies of quotations from all major subcontractors (including, but not limited to, drilling companies, surveyors, and analytical laboratories) should be included as attachments in the bid package.
- Standard Operating Procedures should be applicable to the tasks included in the Request for Bid.
- Bid responses should include enough “original” language and thought, above and beyond that provided in the RFB, to assist with evaluating the qualifications and approach of the bidder. This includes discussion on potential issues or challenges that may be encountered during performance of the work. The reason for this is that the bidders are not pre-qualified and so the evaluation committee must evaluate the technical aspects of the bid and bidder.
- Bid responses should follow the detailed Bid Requirements listed in the RFB including the order of sections and items. Bids must be administratively accepted by USTIF prior to advancing to technical evaluation.