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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Revised Site Characterization Report (SCR) has been prepared by RETTEW Associates, Inc. on behalf of Herr Foods, Inc. (Herr's), to document corrective action activities conducted at Herr's manufacturing facility, located at 273 Old Baltimore Pike in West Nottingham Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania. A Site Location Map is included as Figure 1.

This report was prepared in accordance with the corrective action regulations defined in Title 25 Pennsylvania Code Chapter 245 titled Administration of the Storage Tank and Spill Prevention Program and the requirements of the Pennsylvania Land Recycling and Remediation Standards Act (Act 2). Herr's owns the subject property, which is currently operating as a snack food manufacturing facility. Corrective action focused on a regulated underground storage tank (UST) system located at the facility truck garage on the property, which is used for maintaining and fueling Herr's fleet vehicles. Corrective action was initiated following the discovery of petroleum impacted soil during due diligence performed at the property in October 2014. A Notification of Reportable Release was submitted by Herr's to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) on December 22, 2014.

Site characterization was performed in several iterative phases between February 2015 and April 2016 to delineate soil and groundwater impacts resulting from the release. The methods and findings of each phase of site characterization were presented in various reports submitted to the PADEP, including a Preliminary Site Characterization Report (April 23, 2015), a Remedial Action Progress Report (August 13, 2015), and a Site Characterization Report (November 11, 2015). This Revised SCR summarizes site characterization activities completed to date, fate and transport analysis and remediation standard selection.

### 2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND BACKGROUND

Herr's facility is a 13.8-acre property owned and operated by Herr's and historically used for snack food manufacturing. The property is currently improved with three permanent structures: a 312,000 squarefoot manufacturing facility (known as Nottingham Plant 1), a wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and a truck garage. Paved access drives, parking lots and grass lawn areas cover the remainder of the property as shown on Figure 2. Nottingham Plant 1 is served by a water supply well located 3,100 feet southeast of the truck garage on a separate parcel owned by Herr's. Sanitary and process wastewater generated at Nottingham Plant 1 is treated at the WWTP. Treated wastewater is discharged off-site to a land application facility in accordance with a PADEP Part II Water Quality Permit.

The truck garage is located on the southern portion of the property as shown on Figure 2. The truck garage was built in 1978 and is currently used for the maintenance of Herr's fleet vehicles. Four sub-slab hydraulic lifts are located within the service bays of the truck garage for vehicle maintenance. The area surrounding the truck garage is covered with impervious asphalt paving and is used for parking Herr's fleet trucks and trailers. The truck garage is connected to the off-site water supply well that serves Plant 1; however, the truck garage was previously served by a decommissioned water supply well located on the property (Figure 3). The former supply well is disconnected from the truck garage and is no longer used. The truck garage is also served by a septic drainfield. A Site Plan showing the truck garage, the former supply well, and the location of the septic drainfield is included as Figure 3.

Regulated gasoline and diesel UST systems and associated dispensers are used for vehicle fueling at the property (PADEP Facility ID No. 15-24418). The current UST systems include a 10,000 -gallon unleaded gasoline tank (Tank 008) and a 10,000-gallon diesel tank (Tank 009). These tanks were installed in 1997
and replaced five former USTs (Tank 003 through Tank 007). Current and former UST locations are shown on Figure $\mathbf{3}$ and are described below.

| Tank Registration No. | Capacity | Contents | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 4,000 -gallons | New Motor Oil | Removed |
| 004 | 4,000 -gallons | Unleaded Gasoline | Removed |
| 005 | 15,000 -gallons | Unleaded Gasoline | Removed |
| 006 | 12,000 -gallons | Diesel Fuel | Removed |
| 007 | 1,000 -gallons | Waste Oil | Removed |
| 008 | 10,000 -gallons | Unleaded Gasoline | In-Service |
| 009 | 10,000 -gallons | Diesel Fuel | In-Service |

### 2.1 1997 UST CLOSURE SUMMARY

Tanks 003 through 007 were removed from service between May 28 and June 4, 1997 by a PADEP certified contractor, Clayton Services Corporation, of North Wales, Pennsylvania. During UST closure activities, petroleum impacted soil was encountered and removed. A closure report submitted to the PADEP dated July 2, 1997 detailing the removal of Tank 003 through Tank 007 indicated the following:

- Piping associated with Tank 005 and Tank 006 was described as "suspect" at unions and connections under the pumps;
- Holes were observed in the bottom of Tank 005 and Tank 006;
- Obvious contamination was not observed at Tank 003 or Tank 007, and confirmatory soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis;
- Obvious, localized contamination (elevated soil screening data) was observed at Tank 004;
- Obvious, localized contamination (holes in USTs) was observed at Tank 005 and Tank 006;
- Petroleum-impacted soil was excavated within two feet of the UST systems for Tank 004, Tank 005 , and Tank 006, and confirmatory soil samples were collected for laboratory analysis;
- Confirmatory soil sample analytical results revealed concentrations of methyl tert butyl ether (MTBE) exceeding the unsaturated soil standard/action level of 2,000 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) in soil samples 004-2, Pl-5, and PI-6 (Figure 3); and
- A total of 1,200 tons of petroleum-impacted soil was excavated and removed from the Site for proper disposal.

The confirmatory soil samples that exceeded the unsaturated soil standard/action level for MTBE were collected at depths ranging from nine to 12 feet below grade. On July 2, 1997, a Notification of Reportable Release was submitted to the PADEP. The notification indicated that contamination was confirmed at the Site and was described as product stained or product saturated soil, and free product or sheen on ponded water. It is noted that ponded water was described as runoff that collected in the UST excavation and was not groundwater. In correspondence dated November 17, 1997, PADEP indicated that they accepted the Closure Report, and that "no further action is required regarding the closure of the tanks". The UST Closure Report, Notification of Reportable Release, and the PADEP response is included as Appendix A.

### 2.2 PHASE I AND PHASE II ESA SUMMARY

A previous Phase I and Phase II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) was conducted at the property by RETTEW between April and October 2014 to satisfy environmental due diligence as part of a loan application package, which identified several recognized environmental condition (RECs) associated with
both past and current land uses. The identified RECs at the property included several trench-style floor drains, which discharge to the septic drainfield, and the five subgrade hydraulic lifts located in the truck garage. The purpose of the Phase II ESA was to investigate soil quality at each REC and compare results to applicable remediation standards. Details of the Phase II ESA methods and findings are documented in the Limited Phase II Environmental Site Assessment Report dated November 17, 2014.

On October 16, 2014, five soil borings (identified as SB-6 through SB-10 - see Figure 3) were advanced at the truck garage to investigate soil quality near the locations of the hydraulic lifts, floor drains and septic drainfield. RETTEW personnel documented the lithology encountered in each soil boring and fieldscreened the soil using a photoionization detector (PID) to detect the presence of volatile organic petroleum compounds.

The soil sample with the highest PID reading in each soil boring was submitted for laboratory analysis. Soil samples collected from SB-6 through SB-8 near the hydraulic lifts were submitted for laboratory analysis of the PADEP Short List of Petroleum Products for lubricating oils. Soil samples collected from SB-9 and SB-10 near the septic drainfield were submitted for laboratory analysis of Priority Pollutant List volatile organic compounds (VOCs), semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs) and metals. A soil sample analytical data summary is presented as Table 1.

Soil sample analytical results showed that benzene, ethylbenzene and toluene were detected in SB-7 and SB-8 at concentrations exceeding their Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standards. Naphthalene was detected in SB-8 at a concentration exceeding the Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standard of $25,000 \mathrm{ppb}$. Generally, most of the Short List Products for lubricating oils were detected at SB-7 and SB-8 at concentrations below the non-residential Statewide Health Standards. Benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, xylenes, naphthalene, flourene, phenanthrene and pyrene were also detected at SB-6 at concentrations below the Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standards.

The detected concentrations of benzene at SB-7 and SB-8 exceeded their soil-to-groundwater Medium Specific Concentrations (MSCS) protective of groundwater. Similarly, the detected concentration of naphthalene at SB-8 exceeded its soil-to-groundwater MSC. This suggests that benzene and naphthalene could potentially leach from the soil to the groundwater at concentrations exceeding their respective groundwater MSCs. The occurrence of VOCS (i.e., benzene and naphthalene) downgradient of the UST system also suggested that the regulated UST system or former UST system could potentially be a source of the soil impacts.

### 2.3 INTERIM REMEDIAL ACTION

Herr's maintains and inspects the USTs and leak detection systems on a regular basis. During June 2014, a certified inspector performed a storage tank facility operations inspection that included the results of line tightness testing and leak detection system inspection. The inspection did not reveal any significant compliance issues associated with the UST system. The inspection indicated that the Veeder Root interstitial leak detection system was operational with maintenance and calibration records for the past year. The only noted item was that the tank top sumps contained water, which was removed. Line tightness testing was completed on March 3, 2014 with no leaks detected. The Facility Operations Inspection Report and the results of the line tightness testing is included in Appendix B.

### 3.0 GEOLOGIC AND HYDROGEOLOGIC SETTING

According to publications of the Pennsylvania Bureau of Topographic and Geologic Survey ${ }^{1}$, the property is located in the Piedmont Upland Section of the Piedmont Physiographic Province of Pennsylvania, and is underlain by complexly folded and faulted schist, gneiss, quartzite and some saprolite. Local topography is characterized by broad, rounded to flat-topped hills and shallow valleys. According to the USGS 7.5minute Rising Sun Quadrangle (Figure 1), the truck garage is situated at an approximate elevation of 510 feet. Surface topography slopes gently to the southeast. The headwater of an unnamed tributary (UNT) to North East Creek is located approximately 300 feet east of the truck garage and flows generally to the southeast.

Soils mapped at the truck garage by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service's Web Soil Survey are described as the Glenelg silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes ( GgB ), the Glenville silt loam, 3 to 8 percent slopes (GIB), the Urban land, 0 to 8 percent slopes (UrB), and the Urban land-Glenelg complex, 0 to 8 percent slopes (UrmB) ${ }^{2}$. The Urban land map units are comprised of highly disturbed or worked soils that show the effects of earth grading and compaction. Urban land is mapped over the majority of the truck garage. The Glenelg soil series consists of very deep, well drained soils formed in residuum weathered from micaceous schist on uplands of the Blue Ridge and the Northern Piedmont. Depth to bedrock is six to 10 feet or more. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately high in the subsoil and moderately high to high in the substratum. The Glenville soil series consists of very deep, moderately well drained or somewhat poorly drained soils formed primarily in colluvium or residuum affected by soil creep that is weathered from phyllite, micaceous schist, granitic gneiss and other acid crystalline rocks. Depth to bedrock is more than five feet. The profile contains a dense, restrictive horizon called a fragipan that restricts root and water movement. Saturated hydraulic conductivity is moderately low to moderately high.

The geology mapped by the Chester County Water Resources Authority, in cooperation with the USGS³, indicates that the property is underlain by the Wissahickon Schist of the Glenarm Supergroup (see Figure 4). The Wissahickon Schist is described as light to medium gray schist and gneiss. The rocks of the Glenarm Supergroup surround massifs of older pre-Cambrian felsic and ultramafic gneiss basement rock. Ultramafic rock (i.e., serpentinite) associated with the Baltimore Mafic Complex is mapped approximately 1,000 feet south of the property. Geologic strike and structural trend of the Wissahickon Formation is generally oriented to the east-northeast.

In the area of the property, the fractured bedrock aquifers are characterized as crystalline rocks (Wissahickon Schist ${ }^{3}$ ). In the crystalline rocks, groundwater moves through the granular primary porosity of the weathered saprolite to a network of interconnected secondary fractures and joints in the bedrock aquifer. The groundwater flow systems are local and discharge to streams. Groundwater flows from areas of higher elevation to adjacent streams and flow paths tend to be short. Groundwater basins and surface water basins tend to coincide. Crystalline rock aquifers are generally under water-table (unconfined) conditions and the water table generally mimics surface topography; however, semi-confined conditions may be present locally.

[^0]In the Piedmont Upland, the soils (regolith) are composed of granular to clayey soil, saprolite, and decomposed bedrock ${ }^{4}$. The regolith allows the infiltration of precipitation and is capable of storing large quantities of water in the intergranular pore spaces. Water is then slowly released to the underlying fractured bedrock aquifer. Generally, the porosity of the regolith exceeds the porosity of the fractured bedrock aquifer. The direction and rate of groundwater flow within the regolith can be affected by the degree of bedrock weathering, mineral composition of the parent bedrock, orientation of mineral grains (mica), the presence of shear zones, quartz veins and fractures.

The UNT to North East Creek is classified as an intermittent stream according to the USGS National Hydrography Dataset (NHD). Intermittent streams have flowing water during the wet season (i.e., winter and spring), but are normally dry during hot summer months. Intermittent streams do not have continuous flowing water year-round. The headwater of the UNT is located approximately 300 feet east of the truck garage adjacent to a railroad right of way (Figure 3) and is characterized as an area of seasonal groundwater discharge. During the wet season, standing water forms in this area and flows to a culvert under the railroad, and continues to flow as surface water to the south and southeast toward North East Creek, located 6,700 feet southeast of the property.

### 4.0 SITE CHARACTERIZATION METHODS AND FINDINGS

Site characterization was performed in iterative phases between February 2015 and April 2016 to delineate soil and groundwater impacts resulting from the release. The discovery of VOCs (i.e., benzene and naphthalene) during the Phase II ESA downgradient of the UST system suggests that the source of the subsurface petroleum impacts likely originated from the UST system area of the Site. For this reason, site characterization focused on delineating soil and groundwater impacts surrounding the unleaded gasoline and diesel UST systems. Site characterization methods included the installation of 20 soil borings to delineate soil quality, and the installation of 13 groundwater monitoring wells to define the hydraulic gradient. Quarterly groundwater monitoring was also initiated at the truck garage in March 2015 to delineate groundwater quality. Site characterization methods are described in the following sections.

### 4.1 SOIL BORING INSTALLATION AND SOIL SAMPLE ANALYSIS

A total of 20 soil borings (identified as SB-11 through SB-30) were advanced at the truck garage to delineate soil quality as shown on Figure 3. The 20 soil borings were advanced using a track-mounted Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$ operated by Odyssey Environmental Services, Inc. (Odyssey) of Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. The 20 soil borings were completed during two separate mobilizations that occurred in February 2015 and June 2015. Undisturbed soil samples were recovered continuously with the Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$, and RETTEW personnel documented the lithology encountered in each soil boring and field-screened the soil using a PID to detect the presence of VOCs. The soil borings were advanced to a maximum depth of 20 feet, except where equipment refusal was encountered. Lithology encountered consisted of asphalt, sub-base and gravel fill, and soils were generally classified by RETTEW as micaceous silt and sand overlying saprolitic schist and gneiss. Groundwater was noted in most borings at depths ranging from 10 feet to 18 feet below grade. Boring logs are attached in Appendix C.

The soil sample with the highest PID reading in each soil boring was submitted for laboratory analysis. A soil sample was collected at the terminal depth in the borings if PID readings were less than one part per million (ppm). Where PID readings were elevated, more than one soil sample was submitted for laboratory

[^1]analysis in an effort to vertically delineate soil impacts. Each soil sample was preserved in the field, placed in a cooler with ice, and delivered to Lancaster Laboratories Environmental (LLE) of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, following standard chain-of-custody procedures. All soil samples collected at the truck garage were submitted for laboratory analyses of the PADEP Short List of Petroleum Products for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel. One soil sample, collected at a depth of 14 feet below grade immediately above the water table at SB-11 and considered to be representative of upgradient aquifer material, was submitted to LLE for analysis of organic carbon content. A soil sample analytical data summary is presented as Table 2. Soil sample analytical data sheets are provided in Appendix D.

In addition to the soil sampling and analysis described above, an undisturbed soil sample was collected in the acetate liner of the Geoprobe tooling at SB-11 at a depth of 14 feet below grade. This sample was delivered to Jay Kay Testing, Inc. (Jay Kay) of Spring Grove, Pennsylvania, following standard chain-ofcustody procedures. The undisturbed sample was submitted for laboratory analyses of bulk density and grain size analysis. Analytical results for the sample of representative aquifer material are summarized below.

| Physical Parameter | Result |
| :---: | :---: |
| Organic Carbon Content | $0.0118 \%$ (by weight) |
| Dry Bulk Density | 111.6 PCF |
| Percent Sand | $56.2 \%$ |
| Percent Gravel | $0.3 \%$ |
| USCS Classification | Silty Sand (SM) |
| Moisture Content | $17.4 \%$ |

Notes:
PCF - Pounds per cubic foot
USCS - Unified Soil Classification System

### 4.2 GROUNDWATER MONITORING WELL INSTALLATION

A total of 13 groundwater monitoring wells (MW-1 through MW-13) were installed by Eichelbergers, Inc., a Pennsylvania licensed driller, under the direction of a RETTEW geologist to delineate groundwater quality. Monitoring wells MW-1 through MW-5 were installed during February 2015. Monitoring wells MW-6 through MW-10 were installed during June 2015. Off-site monitoring wells MW-11 through MW13 were installed on an adjacent parcel owned by Herr's during December 2015. On-site monitoring well locations are shown on Figure 3. Off-site monitoring wells are shown on Figure 2. Drilling logs are attached in Appendix $\mathbf{C}$.

MW-1 through MW-10 were installed in eight-inch diameter boreholes drilled using air-rotary drilling methods. Due to saturated conditions and borehole collapse below a depth of 10 feet, six-inch steel casing was installed into each boring and reamed with six-inch drilling tools to keep the borehole open. MW-11 through MW-13 were installed in a six-inch diameter borehole drilled using air-rotary drilling methods and a roller bit to minimize disturbance. Weathered schist and quartz fragments were observed at MW4, MW-5, MW-6, MW-7, MW-9 and MW-10 at depths of ranging from 15 to 18 feet below grade. During drilling, borehole cuttings were containerized in 55 -gallon open-top drums and staged on-site pending proper disposal.

Each well was constructed with appropriate lengths of two-inch diameter Schedule 40 PVC 0.020 -inch slotted well screen and solid two-inch PVC well casing. The annular space between the borehole wall and the well casing was filled with a gravel filter pack and a hydrated bentonite seal, and capped with
bentonite slurry to fill the remaining annular space to surface grade. A flush-mount manhole and locking well cap was installed on MW-1 through MW-10 to prevent unauthorized access. MW-11 through MW13 were completed with a protective stickup steel riser and locking lid. Monitoring well construction details are summarized in the following table.

| Well No. | Date <br> Drilled | Borehole <br> Diameter | Well <br> Diameter | Total <br> Depth | Screen <br> Interval | Solid <br> Interval | Initial <br> Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-1 | $2 / 24 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 27 feet | $7-20$ feet | $0-7$ feet | 17 feet |
| MW-2 | $2 / 25 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 23 feet | $3-23$ feet | $0-3$ feet | 12 feet |
| MW-3 $^{2}$ | $2 / 25 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 25 feet | $5-25$ feet | $0-5$ feet | 13 feet |
| MW-4 $^{1}$ | $2 / 26 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 19 feet | $0-19$ feet | None | 10 feet |
| MW-5 | $2 / 26 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 27 feet | $7-27$ feet | $0-7$ feet | 12 feet |
| MW-6 | $6 / 18 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 20 feet | $3-20$ feet | $0-3$ feet | Unknown |
| MW-7 | $6 / 18 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 20 feet | $3-20$ feet | $0-3$ feet | 18 feet |
| MW-8 | $6 / 18 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 20 feet | $3-20$ feet | $0-3$ feet | Unknown |
| MW-9 | $6 / 18 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 20 feet | $3-20$ feet | $0-3$ feet | 10 feet |
| MW-10 | $6 / 18 / 15$ | 8 inch | 2 inch | 20 feet | $3-20$ feet | $0-3$ feet | Unknown |
| MW-11 | $12 / 16 / 15$ | 6 inch | 2 inch | 15 feet | $2-15$ feet | $0-2$ feet | 9 feet |
| MW-12 | $12 / 16 / 15$ | 6 inch | 2 inch | 12 feet | $2-12$ feet | $0-2$ feet | 6 feet |
| MW-13 | $12 / 16 / 15$ | 6 inch | 2 inch | 12 feet | $2-12$ feet | $0-2$ feet | 8 feet |
| P-1 | $3 / 25 / 16$ | 2 inch | 1 inch | 8 feet | $4-8$ feet | $0-4$ feet | Unknown |

Upon completion of drilling and well construction, each well was developed by surging and over-pumping to remove drilling residuals. The purged water generated during well development was containerized in 55 -gallon open-top drums and staged on-site pending proper disposal. Due to the low volume of purged water generated during the development of MW-11 through MW-13, purge water was treated with granular activated carbon and discharged to the surface. All drilling waste was transported and disposed as residual waste at a PADEP-approved facility by Environmental Recovery Corporation (ERC) of Lancaster, Pennsylvania. Non-hazardous waste disposal manifests are provided in Appendix E.

The top-of-casing elevation of each monitoring well was surveyed by a RETTEW professional land surveyor and referenced to sea-level datum. Groundwater monitoring well top-of-casing elevations are shown on Table 3.

Two drive point wells (DPW-1 and DPW-2) were manually installed on April 6, 2016 in the area of seasonal groundwater discharge (see Section 6.0) as shown on Figure 3. The purpose of the drive point wells was to provide water level measurements and to aide in the delineation of discharging groundwater. Each drive point consisted of a 24 -inch length of 1.25 -inch diameter galvanized steel inner pipe with 60 -mesh stainless steel gauze and screen and a cast iron point. Each drive point was installed in a three-inch diameter hand-augured hole to a depth of 24 inches below grade, and then driven to a depth of 30 inches with a threaded coupling and a 24 -inch galvanized steel riser pipe and threaded cap. The annular space around the screen was filled with clean gravel and capped with bentonite hole plug to prevent surface infiltration of water. The top of each drive point was surveyed by RETTEW and referenced to sea-level datum.

### 4.3 GROUNDWATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

RETTEW initiated groundwater sampling at the truck garage on March 9, 2015, with subsequent sampling events conducted on April 1, 2015, July 9, 2015, October 6, 2015, January 14, 2016 and April 6, 2015. In
addition, groundwater levels were collected from the monitoring well network on June 25 and September 10,2015 . During each monitoring event, groundwater levels were measured with an electronic water level indicator capable of measuring water levels to the nearest 0.01 foot. Water levels were also measured at the former supply well beginning on June 25,2015 . Low flow groundwater purging and sampling techniques were used during each monitoring event as described below. Groundwater monitoring data collected to date is summarized on Table 3. Since the expansion of the monitoring well network in December 2015, quarterly groundwater monitoring was conducted on January 14, 2016 and April 6, 2016. Groundwater elevation contours and resulting groundwater sample analytical data for each groundwater sampling event is illustrated on Figure 5 through Figure 12.

RETTEW performed groundwater sampling on March 9, 2015 and April 1, 2015, and retained Suburban Testing Labs (STL) of Gilbertsville, Pennsylvania to conduct the groundwater monitoring events on July 9, 2015, October 6, 2015, January 14, 2016 and April 6, 2016. After gauging static water levels, groundwater was purged from each well with a peristaltic pump to maintain flow rates at approximately 250 milliliters per minute ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) with minimal drawdown in accordance with the PADEP Groundwater Monitoring Guidance Manual (December 2001) low-flow purging methods. The pump tubing was set at a depth of eight to 10 feet below grade, corresponding to the depth of the greatest observed soil impacts at the truck garage. Purged groundwater was routed through a flow-cell and a YSI 556 water quality meter to monitor intrinsic groundwater quality parameters including pH , conductivity, dissolved oxygen (DO), total dissolved solids (TDS), temperature, and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP). Intrinsic parameters were monitored frequently until stabilization, indicative of representative groundwater chemistry. Purged groundwater was then treated with granular activated carbon (GAC) and discharged to the surface. Low flow purging and monitoring data sheets for each groundwater monitoring event are included as Appendix F.

Upon intrinsic parameter stabilization, groundwater samples were collected from the pump discharge tubing. To reduce the likelihood of cross-contamination, new tubing was used and nitrile gloves were worn during the collection of each groundwater sample. Groundwater samples were collected in laboratory bottleware, placed in a cooler with ice, and delivered to STL for analysis of PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel. A summary of groundwater sample analytical data is provided as Table 3. Groundwater sample analytical reports are provided in Appendix G.

Groundwater samples were collected from the drive point wells (DPW-1 and DPW-2) by RETTEW on April 13,2016 by manually bailing three well volumes from each point, and collecting a sample with a disposable bailer and nitrile gloves. Groundwater samples were collected in laboratory bottleware, placed in a cooler with ice, and delivered to LLE for analysis of PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel. It is noted that drive point wells are generally not properly constructed for groundwater quality analyses. The purpose of collecting groundwater samples was to improve delineation of groundwater quality as it discharges for use in subsequent phases of site characterization. Groundwater sample analytical reports are provided in Appendix G. Drive point well water level measurements and groundwater sample analytical results are discussed further in Section 6.0.

### 4.4 SPL BAILDOWN TESTING AND ANALYSIS

Separate phase liquid (SPL) petroleum was observed in MW-4 during the June 25 and July 9, 2015 groundwater monitoring events. An SPL baildown test was performed by RETTEW at MW-4 on July 9, 2015 to evaluate the recoverability of SPL at the Site. Prior to the baildown test, SPL and water levels were measured with an electronic interface probe capable of measuring SPL and water levels to the nearest 0.01 foot. An apparent SPL thickness of 0.15 feet was noted in MW-4 (Table 3). A bailer was then used to manually remove SPL from the well. After removing SPL, water and SPL measurements were recorded in

MW-4 each minute for the first 10 minutes, then every 10 minutes thereafter to monitor SPL recharge to the well.

The plotted baildown test data indicated that a mobile SPL thickness of 0.04 feet recharged and stabilized in MW-4 after approximately 20 minutes. At the conclusion of the baildown test, the remaining SPL was removed from MW-4. All recovered SPL was placed into laboratory bottleware and transported in a cooler with ice to LLE for analysis of PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel, and for quantitative fingerprint analysis. It is noted that on July 10, 2015, an apparent SPL thickness of 0.02 feet was measured at MW-4 by STL prior to groundwater purging and sampling, over 24 hours after the MW4 baildown test. This suggests that the mobile SPL thickness ranges from 0.02 to 0.04 feet and the potential for SPL recovery at MW-4 is low. SPL was not detected in MW-4 during any subsequent groundwater monitoring events. Baildown test data and data plot are provided in Appendix $\mathbf{H}$.

The findings of the quantitative fingerprint analysis characterized the SPL as gasoline. Based on the chromatograph peak intensities and ratios, the fingerprint analysis concluded that the SPL did not appear to be weathered, and that hydrocarbons in the $\mathrm{C}_{8}-\mathrm{C}_{40}$ range were present at 56 percent by weight. A summary of SPL sample analytical data is provided below.

| Analytical Parameter | Concentration in SPL | Aqueous Solubility | Abundance in SPL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $600,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $1780.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $0.4 \%$ |
| Ethylbenzene | $12,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}$ | $161 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| Isopropylbenzene | $1,300,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $0.9 \%$ |
| MTBE | $<10,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $45,000 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $0 \%$ |
| Naphthalene | $380,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| Toluene | $22,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $532 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $15.1 \%$ |
| $1,2,4-\mathrm{TMB}$ | $38,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $26.1 \%$ |
| $1,3,5-\mathrm{TMB}$ | $12,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $48.9 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $8.3 \%$ |
| Xylenes | $59,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $175 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | $40.6 \%$ |

The above parameters comprise over 14.5 percent of the SPL sample by weight. It is noted that the two most soluble parameters, benzene and MTBE, are either present at relatively low concentrations or are not detected in the SPL sample. Most of MTBE in the SPL appears to have dissolved and migrated from the source in the aqueous-phase. Both benzene and MTBE were detected in groundwater at downgradient wells MW-10 and MW-11. It is noted that the original composition of the gasoline released at the Site and the mole fraction of each parameter in the released gasoline are unknown. The SPL sample analytical report is included in Appendix I.

### 4.5 AQUIFER TESTING

Three short-duration constant-rate groundwater pumping tests were performed by RETTEW at selected wells (MW-3, MW-5 and MW-7) on July 14, 2015 to determine the hydraulic conductivity of aquifer materials. A submersible Mega Monsoon pump and control box was used for each test to maintain a constant pumping rate less than one gallon per minute ( gpm ). The duration of each test was approximately 40 minutes. During the tests, water levels were continuously monitored using an In -Situ, Inc. LevelTroll ${ }^{\circledR}$ pressure transducing data logger. All groundwater withdrawn during the test was treated with GAC and discharged to the surface. After pumping was terminated, water levels were continuously monitored until each well recovered to within 90 percent of the static water level. Plotted pumping test data is summarized below.

| Well | Static <br> Water Level | Pump <br> Setting | Available <br> Drawdown | Pumping <br> Rate | Pumping <br> Level | Drawdown |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-3 | 3.68 feet | 24 feet | 20.32 feet | 0.875 gpm | 8.08 feet | 4.40 feet |
| MW-5 | 3.61 feet | 25 feet | 21.39 feet | 0.875 gpm | 6.87 feet | 3.28 feet |
| MW-7 | 4.47 feet | 19 feet | 14.53 feet | 0.625 gpm | 11.90 feet | 7.43 feet |

A review of the plotted pumping test data included as Appendix J shows the following:

- The downward slope of the plotted data for MW-5 remained constant as pumping continued, suggesting that groundwater was removed from storage as the cone of depression expanded into areas of groundwater recharge;
- The downward slope of the plotted data for MW-7 decreases after four minutes of pumping, suggesting that casing storage was depleted and the cone of depression expanded into areas of groundwater recharge; and
- The slope of the plotted data for MW-3 stabilizes after three minutes of pumping, then decreases sharply after 15 minutes of pumping, suggesting that a shallow water-bearing zone was dewatered.

Aquifer parameters were calculated using the Cooper and Jacob straight-line method ${ }^{5}$. The Cooper and Jacob method was derived from the Theis nonequilibrium equation and can be used to predict drawdown in the aquifer at any time after pumping begins but before the cone of depression fully stabilizes. Drawdown data for each well was plotted to determine the slope of the time-drawdown curve and calculate the aquifer transmissivity.

Transmissivity is defined as the rate at which water flows through a vertical section of the aquifer with a width of one foot extending through the full saturated thickness under a hydraulic gradient of one. When the pumping rate $(Q)$ is held constant, transmissivity $(T)$ is constant, and a best-fit line is drawn through the plotted data points. The slope of the line ( $\Delta s$ or drawdown) is used to estimate the aquifer transmissivity using the modified nonequilibrium equation as follows:

$$
T=264 Q / \Delta s
$$

, where $T$ is in units of gallons per day (gpd) per foot.
Recovery data collected at the completion of each pumping test were used to calculate residual drawdowns, which were plotted to provide an independent check on the transmissivity calculated from the pumping test results. In theory, complete recovery will occur when residual drawdown reaches " 0 " as the time ratio approaches " 1 " in an ideal aquifer, and the transmissivity can be estimated from the slope of the curve. The estimated transmissivities from the pumping and recovery tests are provided below.

|  | MW-3 | MW-5 | MW-7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pumping Test Transmissivity | $7.5 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day | $29.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day | $10.0 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day |
| Recovery Test Transmissivity | $23 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day | $28 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{day}^{2}$ | $8.7 \mathrm{ft}^{2} / \mathrm{day}^{2}$ |

The time-recovery plot is often more accurate than the time-drawdown plot. Recovery data can be collected without being disturbed or influenced by pump vibrations and momentary variations in pumping

[^2]rate ${ }^{5}$. The hydraulic conductivity $(\boldsymbol{K}$ ) of the saprolite aquifer was calculated from the recovery test data using the saturated aquifer thickness ( $b$ ) as follows:
$$
K=T / b
$$
, where $K$ is in units of feet per day.
A saturated aquifer thickness of 61 feet was used based on the casing depth ( 74 feet) and static water level ( 3.3 feet) observed in the former supply well as described in Section 4.6, assuming the casing is set at a depth of 10 feet into competent bedrock. Calculated hydraulic conductivities are provided below.

|  | MW-3 | MW-5 | MW-7 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recovery Test Transmissivity | $23 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day | $28 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day | $8.7 \mathrm{ft}^{2} /$ day |
| Recovery Test Hydraulic Conductivity | $0.37 \mathrm{ft} /$ day | $0.45 \mathrm{ft} /$ day | $0.14 \mathrm{ft} /$ day |

### 4.6 FORMER SUPPLY WELL DECOMMISSIONING, SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

RETTEW retained Odyssey to decommission the former supply well on February 29, 2016 using a portable pump hoist. Upon removal of the pump, power cable and the one-inch diameter black poly piping, the pump setting was determined to be 200 feet below the pitless adapter (total depth 203 feet below grade). RETTEW and Odyssey returned on March 1, 2016 and video-logged the former supply well. During video logging, the water table was observed at a depth of 3.3 feet below grade and the bottom of the six-inch diameter well casing was observed at 74 feet below grade. Significant iron flaking and scaling resulted in poor visibility in the open rock portion of the well; however, fractures and weathered zones were noted at 121 feet, 132 feet, 145 to 148 feet, and 172 feet. At a depth of 196 feet, water quality became clear and a steep open fracture was observed, followed by a broken and weathered zone from 198 feet to 204 feet. The well was observed to have a total depth of 210 feet, and it appears that drilling was terminated after a significant water bearing zone was encountered from 198 feet to 204 feet.

The former supply well was purged on March 25, 2016 by Odyssey using an electric submersible pump set at a depth of 100 feet. A static water level of 5.17 feet was measured prior to purging the well. Groundwater was purged from the well at a rate of 9.3 gpm for approximately 180 minutes, resulting in a pumping level of 33.09 feet and a drawdown of 28.92 feet. Over 1,700 gallons (equivalent to 5.7 well volumes) of water was purged and treated through a 55 -gallon GAC vessel and discharged to the surface. Based on a drawdown of 28.92 feet and a pumping rate of 9.3 gpm , the specific capacity of the well is approximately $0.32 \mathrm{gpm} / \mathrm{ft}$.

During the April 6, 2016 groundwater sampling event, STL collected a groundwater sample from the former supply well. Low flow groundwater purging and sampling techniques were used to collect the sample. Groundwater was purged with an electric submersible Mega Monsoon SS ${ }^{\text {M }}$ pump to maintain flow rates at approximately 250 milliliters per minute ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) with minimal drawdown in accordance with the PADEP Groundwater Monitoring Guidance Manual (December 2001) low-flow purging methods. The pump was set at a depth of 100 feet below grade, below the casing depth observed in the well. Purged groundwater was routed through a flow-cell and a YSI 556 water quality meter to monitor intrinsic groundwater quality parameters including pH , conductivity DO, TDS, temperature, and ORP. Intrinsic parameters were monitored frequently until stabilization, indicative of representative groundwater chemistry. Purged groundwater was then treated with GAC and discharged to the surface.

Upon intrinsic parameter stabilization, a groundwater sample was collected from the pump discharge tubing. Groundwater samples were collected in laboratory bottleware, placed in a cooler with ice, and delivered to STL for analysis of the PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel. Groundwater sample analytical results are provided in Table 3. Groundwater sample analytical reports are provided in Appendix G.

### 4.7 WATER SUPPLY SAMPLING

During the April 6, 2016 groundwater sampling event, RETTEW collected a raw groundwater sample from the water supply well for Plant 1 and the truck garage. The supply well is located 3,100 feet southeast of the truck garage on a separate parcel owned by Herr's as shown on Figure 1. Raw water is conveyed from the supply well 3,700 feet to Plant 2, located on an adjacent parcel (Parcel 68-2-96, Figure 2). A raw groundwater sample was collected inside Plant 2 from in-line sample ports installed prior to chlorination and distribution to Plant 1 and the truck garage. The raw groundwater sample was collected in laboratory bottleware, placed in a cooler with ice, and delivered to STL for analysis of the PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel using EPA Method 524.2. The sample analytical results indicate that none of the PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel were detected. The raw groundwater sample analytical report is provided in Appendix G.

### 5.0 SOIL QUALITY

During the collection of continuous soil samples with the Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$, lithology was observed to be primarily micaceous silty sand overlying completely decomposed saprolitic schist. Saprolitic schist was generally encountered at depths ranging from seven to 14 feet below grade. Groundwater was first encountered in the borings at depths ranging from 10 to 18 feet below grade. Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$ refusal was noted in several borings (SB-12, SB-16, SB-17, SB-20 and SB-21) at depths ranging from 15 to 18 feet below grade, where weathered schist bedrock was encountered. During the drilling of MW-4 and MW-5, weathered schist and quartz fragments were observed during air rotary drilling at depths ranging from 14 to 18 feet below grade. A strike-perpendicular geologic cross-section is provided as Figure 13.

Static groundwater levels measured at the truck garage between March 2015 and April 2016 (Table 3) show that the water table fluctuated between two and six feet below grade. Soil between two and six feet below grade is within the zone of groundwater saturation during the wetter seasons, and soil below a depth of six feet is in contact with groundwater on a year-round basis. For these reasons, one-tenth of the Generic Value was used in the process to determine the Act 2 soil-to-groundwater MSC for each parameter in soil as shown on Table 1 and Table 2.

Soil sample analytical results (Table 1 and Table 2) show that benzene was detected in six borings (SB-7, SB-8, SB-18, SB-20, SB-23 and SB-24) at concentrations exceeding the Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standard of $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Toluene was detected in SB-7 and SB-8 at concentrations exceeding the Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standard of $100,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Ethylbenzene was detected in SB-7, SB-8 and SB-17 at concentrations exceeding the Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standard of 70,000 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$. Naphthalene was detected in SB-7 and SB-8 at concentrations exceeding the Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standard of $10,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene ( $1,2,4-\mathrm{TMB}$ ) and $1,3,5-\mathrm{TMB}$ were detected in SB-12, SB-23, SB-27 and SB-29 at concentrations exceeding their respective Act 2 nonresidential Statewide Health Standards of $6,200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ and $5,300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. The regulated substances exceeding their Act 2 remediation standards noted above occurred at depths ranging from five to 13 feet below grade. Shallow soil impacts were observed at three feet below grade at SB-29 inside the truck garage. Isopropylbenzene, MTBE and xylenes were also detected in soil, but at concentrations below their respective non-residential Statewide Health Standards.

Of the six regulated substances exceeding the Statewide Health Standard, benzene is present in soil at the greatest distance from the UST system area, while $1,2,4-\mathrm{TMB}$ and $1,3,5-\mathrm{TMB}$ are present at the shortest distance. The aerial distribution of benzene in soil is shown on Figure 13. Maximum xylene concentrations were also detected near the UST system area, while maximum ethylbenzene and toluene concentrations were detected at an intermediate distance from the UST system area.

The aerial distribution of BTEX in soil is reflective of the aqueous solubility of each substance, which are provided below.

| Parameter | Benzene | Toluene | Ethylbenzene | Xylenes | 1,2,4-TMB | 1,3,5-TMB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Solubility ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $1,780.5$ | 532 | 161 | 175 | 56 | 48.9 |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{\text {oc }}(1 / \mathrm{kg})$ | 58 | 130 | 220 | 350 | 2,200 | 660 |

Notes:
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {oc }}=$ Soil-water partitioning coefficient
Due to their lower solubilities, ethylbenzene, xylenes and TMB are preferentially retained in soil and are more resistant to degradation than benzene and toluene. In contrast, the higher solubility of benzene may account for its presence in soil at a greater distance from the current and former UST systems.

The vertical distribution of benzene in soil is shown on Figure 14. The maximum benzene concentrations were observed in the eight to 12 -foot depth range.

### 6.0 GROUNDWATER FLOW

Groundwater at the property occurs in pores and relict fractures in the weathered decomposed schist saprolite overlying a fractured bedrock aquifer system. Based on static water level data, the water table is generally within six feet of surface grade. Groundwater flow patterns and hydraulic gradients are similar during each monitoring event, with an apparent groundwater flow direction to the east ( $\mathrm{N} 80^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ) and an average hydraulic gradient of 0.018 as shown on Figure 5 through Figure 12. As groundwater flows off the property, the hydraulic gradient shows an apparent groundwater flow direction to the southeast as shown on Figure 17. Groundwater elevations shown on Figure 17 were based in part on water levels measured during the April 6, 2016 monitoring event at selected monitoring wells surrounding Herr's wastewater disposal fields.

The median thickness of the regolith (including saprolite) in the Piedmont Upland is reported to be 40 feet ${ }^{6}$. The degree of fracturing in the parent rock contributes to the development of saprolite, as more highly fractured parent rocks tend to weather and produce a thicker saprolite mantle. The saprolite thickness at the property is assumed to be 61 feet based on the observed water level ( 3.3 feet) and casing depth ( 74 feet) of the former supply well described in Section 4.5.

The property is located in an upland area within 1,000 feet of the drainage divide between Octoraro Creek and North East Creek (Figure 1). The groundwater recharge area for the property extends to the northwest and southwest toward the divide, with elevations of the contributing areas ranging from 540 to 560 feet. Based on local topography, regional drainage patterns and interpreted fracture traces (Figure 4), regional groundwater is expected to flow to the east and southeast toward the UNT to North East Creek, located 150 feet east of the property boundary. An inferred fracture trace trends from northwest to southeast through the property, resulting in a topographic depression (Figure 2). Surface elevations at the property

[^3]range from 513 feet (MW-1) to 508 feet (MW-10). As described in Section 3.0, groundwater flow systems in the area of the property are local and discharge to streams. Groundwater from contributing areas of higher elevation flows across the property to the inferred fracture trace, and ultimately discharges to form the headwater of the UNT to North East Creek southeast of the property on the downgradient parcel owned by Herr's. Culverted stormwater flow from Plant 1 and the parking area north of the truck garage also discharges at the endwall (Figure 3) located at the headwater of the UNT.

The UNT is classified as an intermittent stream according to the USGS (see Section 3.0). Intermittent streams do not have continuous flowing water year-round. Water level data collected from MW-11 and the drive point wells between April 6 and April 13, 2016 is presented in the following table.

| Well | Screened <br> Interval | Depth to <br> Water | Casing <br> Elevation | Groundwater <br> Elevation | Surface <br> Elevation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-11 | 2 to 13 feet | 0.34 feet | 501.65 feet | 501.31 feet | 498.65 feet |
| DPW-1 | 0 to 2 feet | 2.76 feet | 499.03 feet | 496.26 feet | 497.15 feet |
| DPW-2 | 0 to 2 feet | 1.86 feet | 500.00 feet | 498.14 feet | 498.26 feet |

The observed water levels indicate the depth of groundwater is 0.89 feet below grade at DPW-1 and essentially at-grade at DPW-2. Based on the greater depth of MW-11 and the higher groundwater elevation, an upward hydraulic gradient is apparent, which supports the surface water flow observed adjacent to MW-11 and DPW-2.

### 7.0 GROUNDWATER QUALITY

Groundwater sample analytical results (Table 3) for wells at the truck garage show that all of the PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel except isopropylbenzene were detected at concentrations exceeding their Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standards in MW-3, MW-4, MW5, MW-7 and the former supply well. SPL petroleum was observed in MW-4 during the June 25 and July 9, 2015 groundwater monitoring events. None of the PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel were detected in groundwater at MW-1, MW-2, MW-6 and MW-8. Benzene and MTBE are the only parameters detected at concentrations exceeding their Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standards in MW-10 at the downgradient property boundary (the Act 2 point of compliance [POC]). Groundwater monitoring data collected during site characterization is shown on Figure 5 through Figure 12.

Groundwater sample analytical results (Table 3) for the off-site wells (MW-11, MW-12 and MW-13) show that benzene and MTBE were detected at MW-11, located 150 feet downgradient of MW-10. Maximum concentrations of dissolved benzene and MTBE detected at MW-11 were $19.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ and $137 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, respectively, and exceed their Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standards. To date, none of the PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel have been detected at MW- 12 or MW13. Groundwater sample analytical results for parameters detected in the samples collected on April 13, 2016 from the shallow drive point wells DPW- 1 and DPW- 2 are presented in the table below.

| Detected Parameters | DPW-1 | DPW-2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $13 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| MTBE | $2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Xylenes | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{LJ}$ |

## Notes:

$\mathrm{J}=$ Parameter not detected above the laboratory limit of quantitation.

The results indicate that off-site groundwater discharge is impacted by dissolved benzene and MTBE.
Intrinsic groundwater quality parameters monitored during low-flow purging and sampling on October 10, 2015 are provided in Appendix F and are summarized below.

| Well | DO | ORP | pH |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-1 | $5.47 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 99.1 mV | 6.86 |
| MW-2 | $1.12 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 128.4 mV | 6.10 |
| MW-3 | $3.21 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | -151.8 mV | 6.62 |
| MW-4 | $4.53 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | -145.2 mV | 6.51 |
| MW-5 | $1.51 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | -51.5 mV | 7.60 |
| MW-6 | $6.54 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 73.3 mV | 6.76 |
| MW-7 | $2.22 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | -14.0 mV | 6.75 |
| MW-8 | $3.86 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 98.9 mV | 6.48 |
| MW-9 | $1.32 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 97.8 mV | 6.39 |
| MW-10 | $1.18 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 13.0 mV | 8.84 |

The intrinsic parameters indicate that dissolved oxygen decreases with groundwater flow along the centerline of the plume ( $\mathrm{MW}-3, \mathrm{MW}-5$ and MW-10). The lowest ORP was observed in the source area (MW-3 and MW-4). This suggests that as groundwater flows from the UST systems toward downgradient areas, aerobic biodegradation ( $D O>2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ ) is occurring ${ }^{7}$. As oxygen is depleted, anaerobic conditions ( $\mathrm{DO}<2.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{I}$ ) have developed at MW-5, MW-9 and MW-10. Although MW-10 is downgradient of the source area, the plume is covered by an impervious asphalt surface, which restricts infiltration and recharge of groundwater to replenish dissolved oxygen in the subsurface.

As described in Section 5.0, benzene diffuses most rapidly out of SPL and partitions into groundwater, followed by toluene, ethylbenzene and xylenes. Table 3 shows that the highest dissolved benzene concentrations in groundwater typically occur at MW-7 and MW-10. Lower detected dissolved benzene concentrations were observed at MW-3 nearest the UST system area. The highest dissolved toluene concentrations were observed at MW-3, and with lower concentrations observed at MW-10. Toluene is known to have the highest biodegradation rate of the BTEX compounds, and coupled with evidence of anaerobic conditions along the centerline of the plume, may be evidence of natural attenuation. The highest dissolved ethylbenzene and xylenes concentrations were observed at MW-4 and MW-7.

Maximum dissolved concentrations of BTEX in water were estimated based on the SPL fingerprint analysis (see Section 4.4) and the reported fuel-water partition coefficient ( $K_{f w}$ ) for each substance ${ }^{8}$. The fuelwater partition coefficient of a substance is related to the solubility in water using the equation $K_{f w}=C_{f}$ / $\boldsymbol{C}_{w}$, where $\boldsymbol{C}_{f}$ is the concentration in fuel and $\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{w}}$ is the concentration in water. Using published values of $K_{f w}$ for a typical gasoline blend, the estimated BTEX concentrations in water are provided in the following table.

[^4]| Substance | Concentration <br> in SPL <br> $\left(\mathbf{C}_{f}\right)$ | Fuel $/$ Water <br> Partition <br> Coefficient <br> $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{fw}}\right)$ | Estimated <br> Concentration <br> in Water <br> $\left(\mathbf{C}_{w}\right)$ | Maximum <br> Observed <br> Concentration | Location of <br> Maximum <br> Observed <br> Concentration |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $600,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 248 | $2,419 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $2,770 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{MW}-7$ |
| Toluene | $22,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1,062 | $20,715 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $17,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{MW}-3$ |
| Ethylbenzene | $12,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 3,488 | $3,440 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $2,820 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{MW}-4$ |
| Xylenes | $59,000,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 3,859 | $15,289 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $10,300 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{MW}-7$ |
| MTBE | $<10,000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 15.5 | $<645 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $137 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{MW}-11$ |

The original composition of the gasoline released at the truck garage and the fraction of each substance in the released gasoline are unknown; however, the maximum observed concentrations of BTEX that occur within the source area compare closely to the estimated concentrations. Although MTBE was not detected in the SPL, its concentration within the source area is uncertain. MTBE was detected in MW-5 at a maximum concentration of $21 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ near the source area, and at a concentration of $137 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ at $\mathrm{MW}-11$, located 320 feet downgradient of MW-4 where SPL was observed.

### 8.0 SOIL GAS SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Soil vapor intrusion to non-residential indoor air was evaluated in accordance with the PADEP guidance document titled Vapor Intrusion into Buildings from Groundwater and Soil under the Act 2 Statewide Health Standard. Soil impacted by the release is within the zone of groundwater saturation, and compounds of potential indoor air concern (COPIACS) are present in groundwater (see Table 3). There is less than five feet of soil-like material present. Therefore, the Site cannot "screen out" of vapor intrusion. As an alternative, an assessment was conducted inside the truck garage through the collection and analysis of soil gas samples.

On February 29, 2016, RETTEW installed a permanent, sub-slab soil gas sampling implant (SG-1) below the truck garage as shown on Figure 15. SG-1 was installed below the truck garage within the area of maximum observed impacts of unleaded gasoline and diesel to soil and groundwater (near SB-7 and MW4). The truck garage is a slab-on-grade structure. The exterior area surrounding the truck garage is paved with impervious asphalt cover with minimal air permeability. No preferential pathways were observed at the truck garage.

SG-1 was installed using direct-push Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$ methodology to a depth of 3.5 feet below grade based on observed water levels at MW-4. A smooth, eight-inch diameter core was removed from the building slab prior to installation. The slab was observed to be eight inches thick with an additional four inches of crushed stone subbase. A six-inch stainless steel screened implant, manufactured by Geoprobe ${ }^{\circledR}$, was advanced 2.5 feet into native soil with associated Teflon tubing and attached to an anchor point used during installation when the target depth was reached. As the drilling tools were removed from the borehole, the implant and 0.275 -inch inner-diameter Teflon tubing remained firmly anchored at the bottom. The annular space around the stainless steel screen was packed with silica sand to a depth of two feet below grade, and capped with a granular bentonite seal above the subbase to prevent atmospheric short-circuiting during soil vapor sample collection. Construction of the soil vapor implant was completed with a flush mount manhole set in concrete. A schematic of SG-1 is presented in Appendix C.

RETTEW attempted to collect a soil gas sample at SG-1 on March 1, 2016; however, the sample point became vacuum-locked due to the presence of shallow water and a sample could not be collected. For this reason, a sub-slab soil gas sample port (SG-2) was installed on March 25, 2016 by using a hammer drill
to advance a 0.375 -inch hole through the slab and subbase material into native soil at a depth of 13 inches. The upper two inches of the hole was over-drilled to one-inch diameter. Swagelo ${ }^{\text {rm }}$ stainless steel fittings were attached to 0.250 -inch outer-diameter 316 stainless steel tubing and inserted into the 0.375 -inch hole to a depth of 12.5 inches. The fittings and tubing were sealed with Quickrete ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ sealant and allowed to cure for two hours. SG-2 was completed with a threaded plug flush to the slab floor. A schematic of SG2 is presented in Appendix C.

Two rounds of sub-slab soil gas sampling were conducted at SG-2 on March 25 and April 13, 2016 using evacuated 6 liter (L) stainless steel Summa canisters provided by LLE, a PADEP-certified laboratory, connected directly to the stainless steel sub-slab tubing with a one-hour regulator set at a sampling flow rate of $83 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. Prior to each sampling event, a shut-in test was performed to verify the airtightness of the compression fittings by applying a vacuum to the sample train tubing between SG-2 and the Summa canister. During the shut-in test, valves to SG-2 and Summa canister are closed and air is removed (using a 60 ml syringe) from the sampling train, inducing a vacuum of 15 inches of mercury. No changes in vacuum were observed for two minutes. The sampling train was then purged a minimum of three volumes of air through SG-2 and connecting tubing with a 60 ml syringe. The purge volume $(\mathrm{V})=3.0 \pi r 2 h$, where $r$ is the inner radius of the probe and connecting tubing, and $h$ is the length of the implant and the connecting tubing. The soil vapor samples were submitted to LLE for analysis of PADEP Short List petroleum products for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel by EPA Method TO-15 following standard chain-of-custody protocols.

The laboratory analytical results indicated that none of the PADEP Short List petroleum products for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel were detected in the sample collected on March 25, 2016. Toluene and xylenes were the only parameters detected in the soil gas sample collected on April 13, 2016; however, both parameters were detected at concentrations below the laboratory limit of quantitation. Concentrations of toluene and total xylenes were estimated to be 0.98 micrograms per cubic meter ( $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ), or 0.61 parts per billion volume ( ppbv ), and $2.7 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ (or 0.64 ppbv ), respectively. Soil gas sample laboratory analytical reports are attached in Appendix K.

The PADEP has set Statewide Health Standard MSCs for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel parameters in indoor air. The MSCs for soil gas are calculated as $100 x$ the MSC for indoor air and are compared to the soil gas sample results below.

| Parameter | MSCs for Non- <br> Residential Indoor Air | $3 / 25 / 2016$ <br> Sample Results | $4 / 13 / 2016$ <br> Sample Results |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 0.011 | $<0.00064$ | $<0.00064$ |
| Cumene | 1.1 | $<0.00098$ | $<0.00098$ |
| Ethylbenzene | 0.073 | $<0.00087$ | $<0.00087$ |
| MTBE | 0.31 | $<0.00072$ | $<0.00072$ |
| Naphthalene | 0.0088 | $<0.00260$ | $<0.00260$ |
| Toluene | 1.2 | $<0.00075$ | 0.00098 J |
| 1,2,4-TMB | 0.017 | $<0.00098$ | $<0.00098$ |
| 1,3,5-TMB | 0.017 | $<0.00098$ | $<0.00098$ |
| Total Xylenes | 0.3 | $<0.00174$ | 0.00270 J |

## Notes:

All units in milligrams per cubic meter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ ).
MSCs for Soil Gas MSC SG $_{6}=$ MSC $_{1 A Q} / 0.01$ transfer factor.
$\mathrm{J}=$ Parameter not detected above the laboratory limit of quantitation.

Detected concentrations of toluene and total xylenes are below their respective non-residential soil gas MSCs of $120 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. Based on the soil vapor sample analytical results, the non-residential vapor intrusion pathway is not a concern at the truck garage in accordance with the Act 2 vapor intrusion guidance.

### 9.0 SEDIMENT AND SURFACE WATER SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS

Sediment and surface water samples were collected at various points in the UNT to North East Creek to determine the extent of petroleum impacts resulting from discharging groundwater. Two sediment samples (Sed-1 and Sed-2) and two surface water samples (Stream-1 and Stream-2) were collected on February 22, 2016 as shown on Figure 15 and Figure 16. Sampling was initiated at the downstream location (Sed-2 and Stream-2) and proceeded toward the upstream location (Sed-1 and Stream-1) to preclude disturbance of the stream and the potential sample interference. Sediment samples were collected at a depth of approximately six inches below the stream bed using a hand auger and placed directly into laboratory bottleware. The hand auger was decontaminated with an Alconox ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ solution and rinsed with clean water between sampling locations. Surface water samples were collected with a decontaminated 500 ml plastic bottle, which was used to fill laboratory bottleware. All samples were stored in a cooler with ice and delivered to LLE for analysis of the PADEP Short List parameters for unleaded gasoline and diesel fuel. The sediment and surface water sample analytical reports are provided in Appendix L for February 22, 2016, and detected parameters are summarized in the following table.

| Analytical Parameter | Stream-1 | Stream-2 | Sed-1 | Sed-2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| MTBE | $25 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}$ | $12 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}$ | $160 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{gg}$ |

The results show petroleum impacts to surface water and sediment at the upstream sampling locations Stream-1 and Sed-1. Petroleum impacts were not detected in the downstream sample Sed-2. Benzene and MTBE were detected in surface water in the downstream sample Stream-2, but at lower concentrations than Stream-1. The lower dissolved petroleum concentrations at Stream-2 are likely due to dilution and mixing with discharging groundwater as surface water flows downstream.

An additional set of sediment samples (Sed-3 and Sed-4), surface water samples (Stream-1, Stream-3 and Stream-4) and a stormwater sample (Stormwater-1) were collected on April 6, 2016 to delineate petroleum impacts to surface water and sediment as shown on Figure 18. Sampling was initiated at the downstream location (Stream-1) and proceeded toward the first upstream location (Sed-3 and Stream-3 adjacent to MW-11), and then to the second upstream location (Sed-4 and Stream-4 adjacent to DPW-2) to preclude potential sample interference. The stormwater sample was collected from within the endwall culvert piping prior to discharging to the UNT. All samples were collected, transported and analyzed using the same methodology as the February 22, 2016 sampling event described above. The sample analytical reports are provided in Appendix L for April 6, 2016 and detected parameters in surface water are summarized in the following table.

| Analytical Parameter | Stormwater | Stream-1 | Stream-3 | Stream-4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $14 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| MTBE | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $19 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $0.7 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |

The surface water sample analytical results show that dissolved benzene and MTBE are discharging with groundwater to surface water between the endwall and Stream-4, adjacent to DPW-2. No dissolved petroleum substances were detected in surface water from upstream areas (i.e., stormwater flow or
surface water upstream of Stream-3). The detected parameters in sediment are summarized in the following table.

| Analytical Parameter | Sed-1 | Sed-2 | Sed-3 | Sed-4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $38 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| MTBE | $160 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<2 \mu \mathrm{gg}$ | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<1 \mu \mathrm{gg}$ | $<3 \mu \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ |

The sediment impacts are not likely the result of source erosion, since source soils are covered by paved surfaces and the truck garage. In addition, benzene and MTBE partition into water easily and have a low affinity to sorb to soil; therefore, these detections in sediment are probably more indicative of petroleum impacts to pore water resulting from discharging groundwater.

### 10.0 FATE AND TRANSPORT ANALYSIS

Fate and transport analysis was performed for detected substances in groundwater (Table 3) that exceeded the Statewide Health Standards at monitoring wells MW-3, MW-4, MW-5, MW-7 and MW-10. Groundwater sample analytical data was plotted over time for each well. Concentration trends over time were analyzed to determine if concentrations are increasing, decreasing, or stable. A trend line was fitted to each plot and an $R$-squared value was determined to describe the trend variation as "high" ( R -squared value ranging from 0 to $30 \%$ ), "moderate" ( $R$-squared value ranging from $30 \%$ to $60 \%$ ), or "low" ( R squared value ranging from $60 \%$ to $100 \%$ ). Concentration versus time plots are presented in Appendix M. The findings of the trend analysis are summarized in Table 4.

The concentration versus time plots indicate a decreasing trend at MW-3 nearest the UST systems. Trends are also generally decreasing at MW-5, although the trends have high variation. Trends are generally decreasing at MW-4 with the exception of benzene and $1,3,5-\mathrm{TMB}$; however, the decreasing trends have high variation. Increasing trends are generally noted at MW-7, although there is high variation in the trends. In particular, benzene concentrations are increasing at MW-4 and MW-7, and migrating downgradient with groundwater flow.

Trends could not be evaluated at MW-10 for most of the substances analyzed in groundwater due to nondetect data and/or insufficient data; however, benzene and MTBE have been detected at MW-10 during each of the four groundwater sampling events since MW-10 was installed. Dissolved benzene and MTBE concentrations are increasing at MW-10, and both substances have been detected above their respective Statewide Health Standards during each sampling event.

The concentration versus time plots show high variation in data from source area wells MW-4 and MW5. This could indicate that trends are somewhat stable, or that a weak decreasing trend is occurring. Increasing trends are apparent at MW-7, and MW-10 at the POC, suggesting that the plume is expanding downgradient. This is particularly true for benzene. Due to the presence of SPL at MW-4 during site characterization, and benzene detected in groundwater at concentrations exceeding estimated concentrations based on SPL fingerprint analysis (see Section 7.0), there is little evidence of source decay in the area of MW-4 and MW-7. Further groundwater monitoring is required to verify trends over time.

RETTEW evaluated the migration of dissolved benzene and MTBE using the PADEP Quick Domenico (QD) model spreadsheet following the methodologies presented in the PADEP guidance document titled User's Manual for the Quick Domenico Groundwater Fate and Transport Model. The QD model was selected based on the presence of an unconsolidated (saprolite) aquifer, organic petroleum contaminants and a
non-decaying source. The QD model was used to estimate steady state concentrations of benzene and MTBE at the point of groundwater discharge (MW-11). The findings will be used to support subsequent modelling to evaluate the loading of the benzene and MTBE plumes to surface water (see Section 11.0).

A systematic approach was used to calibrate the QD model by matching the model to actual field data along the centerline of the plume. A range of selected model calibration parameters were used in various combinations to identify the model(s) that closely approximates observed concentrations. This approach utilized nine combinations of parameters, referred to as Model 1 through Model 9. The QD model input parameters and their sources used in the calibration process are described below.

| Parameter | Symbol | Value | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Source Concentration | $C_{o}$ | $2.419 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | Estimated benzene concentration in SPL |
| Source Concentration | $C_{o}$ | $0.200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | Estimated MTBE concentration in SPL |
| Longitudinal Dispersivity | $\alpha_{x}$ | 3 to 150 feet | Variable calibration parameter scaled to plume |
| Transverse Dispersivity | $\alpha_{y}$ | $\alpha_{x} / 10$ | Estimate |
| Vertical Dispersivity | $\alpha_{z}$ | 0.001 foot | Minimized for 2-D transport |
| Source Width | $Y$ | 40 feet | Estimated SPL plume width |
| Source Depth | $Z$ | 10 feet | Site characterization data |
| Hydraulic Conductivity | $K$ | 0.03 to $3.1 \mathrm{ft} /$ day | Site characterization data, calibration parameter |
| Hydraulic Gradient | $i$ | $0.018 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{ft}$ | Groundwater monitoring data |
| Effective Porosity | $n_{e}$ | 0.08 | Published value for Wissahickon Formation |
| Density | $\rho$ | $1.788 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | Site characterization data |
| Organic Carbon Coefficient | $K_{o c}$ | $58 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Chapter 25 Table VA for Benzene |
| Organic Carbon Coefficient | $K_{o c}$ | $12 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{kg}$ | Chapter 25 Table VA for MTBE |
| Fraction Organic Carbon | $f_{o c}$ | 0.0118 | Site characterization data |
| Degradation Coefficient | $\lambda$ | 0.00096 day $^{-1}$ | Chapter 25 Table VA initial value for Benzene |
| Degradation Coefficient | $\lambda$ | 0.0019 day $^{-1}$ | Chapter 25 Table VA initial value for MTBE |

Notes:
Shaded parameters are used as model calibration variables.

The source is considered to be the extent of SPL. The estimated benzene concentration in groundwater based on SPL fingerprint analysis ( $2,419 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$, see Section 7.0) is slightly less than the maximum benzene concentrations detected in MW-5 and MW-7. This suggests that the SPL plume surrounds MW-4 and extends outward toward MW-5, MW-7 and the former supply well as shown on Figure 17. The average benzene concentrations in MW-4, MW-5 and MW-7 are below the estimated concentration by at least a factor of 1.7. For this reason, and the observed increasing benzene trends in MW-4, MW-7 and MW-10, the estimated benzene concentration of $2.419 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ was used as the source concentration.

MTBE has been mostly non-detect in groundwater within the source area, with a maximum concentration of $21 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ at MW-5. MTBE was detected in soil at SB-18 within the source area at a depth of 10 feet and a concentration of $260 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$. An initial source concentration of $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}(0.200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ was used based on the observed occurrence of MTBE in saturated soil and the estimated concentration in groundwater based on SPL fingerprint analysis (< $645 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ ).

The range of values used for longitudinal dispersity were scaled with the distance to the surface water receptor within the plume at a distance of 300 feet measured from the source to MW- 11 (i.e., the point of groundwater discharge) as shown on Figure 17. An initial value of $\alpha_{x}$ was set equal to 10 percent of the distance from the source to MW-11 (30 feet). A range of values from one-tenth ( 0.3 feet) to five times ( 150 feet) the initial value was used to represent an order of magnitude uncertainty factor. It is noted that calibration well MW-10 is located along the plume centerline 140 feet from the source.

The range of hydraulic conductivity $(K)$ values used was based on the calculated values from the aquifer testing described in Section 4.5. The average calculated hydraulic conductivity of $0.31 \mathrm{ft} /$ day was varied by an order of magnitude to produce a range of values from $0.031 \mathrm{ft} /$ day to $3.1 \mathrm{ft} /$ day. The average porosity of saprolite developed from rocks of the Wissahickon Formation is reported to be about 48 percent, and estimates of the effective porosity of the saturated decomposed saprolite in the Piedmont Upland range from eight percent to 10 percent ${ }^{9}$.

The time used in the calibration models was based on the number of elapsed days $(6,888)$ between the discovery of contamination (May 28, 1997) and the most recent groundwater monitoring event (April 6, 2016). The model domain was set to 300 feet, equal to the distance to MW-11. Average centerline concentrations for MW-10 (140 feet) and MW-11 (300 feet) were used to calibrate the models. The QD model output is attached in Appendix $\mathbf{N}$. Calibration data and the resulting degradation coefficients are summarized in the following table.

| Model No. | K <br> $(\mathrm{ft} /$ day $)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Benzene $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ <br> $\left(\right.$ day $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | MTBE $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ <br> $\left(\right.$ day $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 0.31 | 30 | 0 | 0 | Baseline model calibrated to MW-10 |
| 2 | 0.31 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 3 | 0.31 | 150 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4 | 0.031 | 30 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5 | 0.031 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6 | 0.031 | 150 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 7 | 3.1 | 30 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 8 | 3.1 | 3 | 0.00006 | 0.00056 | Benzene is not at steady state |
| 9 | 3.1 | 150 | 0 | 0 |  |

Model 8 is the only calibration model that achieves a centerline concentration for benzene and MTBE at MW-10 with some degree of degradation. All other calibration models result in centerline concentrations below observed concentrations with no degradation. In addition, Model 8 is the only calibration model that is not at steady state for benzene. For this reason, Model 8 was selected for further calibration.

Model 8 was further calibrated for benzene and MTBE by adjusting the hydraulic conductivity downward to closely approximate the plume centerline concentrations at MW-10 and MW-11. During the final step in the calibration process, the source concentration of MTBE was adjusted due to uncertainty to more closely match centerline concentrations. An MTBE source concentration of $0.16 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ results in the best match. The recalibrated Model 8 is referred to as Model 10, with calibration data summarized in the following table.

| ModeI No. | K <br> $(\mathrm{ft} /$ day $)$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{ft})$ | Benzene $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{day}^{-1}\right)$ | MTBE $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ <br> $\left(\right.$ day $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 2.46 | 3 | 0.00006 | 0.00056 | Calibrated to centerline at MW-10 and MW-11 |

Model 10 results indicate that the benzene plume has not reached steady state conditions, whereas the MTBE plume has reached steady state conditions. A predictive model was developed by increasing the time parameter in Model 10 to incrementally evaluate steady state conditions. Predictive model plots are

[^5]provided for benzene and MTBE at various times in Appendix $\mathbf{N}$ and are summarized in the following table.

| Time | Benzene <br> concentration <br> at MW-11 | MTBE <br> Concentration <br> at MW-11 | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 7,670 days | $218 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $79 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 21-year projection (5/28/2018) |
| 10,958 days | $1,167 \mu \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{L}$ | $79 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 30 -year projection (5/29/2027) |
| 11,689 days | $1,210 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $79 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | Benzene at steady state $(5 / 29 / 2029)$ |
| 14,000 days | $1,233 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $79 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 38 -year projection $(9 / 26 / 2035)$ |

Benzene concentrations at MW-11 are expected to increase to over $200 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ by May 28, 2018, and continue to increase until the benzene plume essentially reaches steady state during 2029. The steady state benzene plume is not expected to exceed the Statewide Health Standard ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) beyond a distance of 610 feet and should not be detected in groundwater at MW-12, located 700 feet downgradient of the source. The MTBE plume has reached steady state, and MTBE concentrations are not expected to increase at MW-11. Additional groundwater monitoring will be required to verify predicted QD model trends.

### 11.0 SURFACE WATER IMPACTS FROM GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE

RETTEW evaluated impacts to surface water from diffuse groundwater flow using the PADEP's SWLOAD5 model spreadsheet. The SWLOAD5 model was used to develop average concentrations of benzene and MTBE in a cross-sectional flow of the plume discharging to surface water, and to estimate the mass loading of benzene and MTBE to surface water under steady state conditions. The SWLOAD5 output was then used to determine applicable surface water quality standards for benzene and MTBE using the PADEP's PENTOXSD model.

The selection of the SWLOAD5 model is based on the same assumptions as the QD model (i.e., an unconsolidated [saprolite] aquifer and organic petroleum contaminants). The SWLOAD5 model input parameters and their sources are generally the same as the QD model to describe two dimensional flow. The sources of the SWLOAD5 input parameters are, for the most part, taken from the calibrated QD models described in Section 10. Key parameter input differences between the models pertain to time, vertical dispersivity, and the "edge criterion" established for each substance at the edge of the plume as described in the Act 2 Technical Guidance Manual (TGM), Section IV.A.3. These SWLOAD5 input parameters are described below.

| Parameter | Symbol | Value | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Time | $t$ | $1 \times 10^{99}$ days | Assures model output is at steady state |
| Vertical Dispersivity | $\alpha_{z}$ | 0.00001 foot | Variable calibration parameter |
| Benzene Plume View Width | None | 112.1 feet | Variable calibration parameter |
| Benzene Plume View Depth | None | 10.2 feet | Variable calibration parameter |
| Benzene Edge Criterion | None | $5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | Per Table IV-1 of the TGM |
| MTBE Plume View Width | None | 60 feet | Variable calibration parameter |
| MTBE Plume View Depth | None | 10.1 feet | Variable calibration parameter |
| MTBE Edge Criterion | None | $20 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | Per Table IV-1 of the TGM |

A vertical dispersivity value of 0.00001 was used to match the edge criterion concentration for each substance at a plume depth of 10 feet, since the entire source thickness and plume depth is assumed to discharge to surface water. The plume view width was adjusted to match the edge criterion
concentrations for each substance at the lateral bounds of each plume. The SWLOAD5 output is attached in Appendix $\mathbf{O}$ and summarized in the following table.

| Substance | Highest Modeled <br> Concentration | Average Concentration | Plume Flow |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $1,233.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $421.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.00059 cfs |
| MTBE | $78.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $47.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.00031 cfs |

## Notes:

cfs = Cubic feet per second

The PENTOXSD surface water mixing model was then used to calculate Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) for the portions of the benzene and MTBE plumes that exceeded their edge criterion based on the SWLOAD5 model output. Specifically, the average groundwater concentrations and plume flow rates noted above were used as PENTOXSD input parameters. The analysis was performed for a reach of the UNT to North East Creek shown on Figure 18, from Node 1, located at the Stream-1 sampling point, to Node 2, located at the confluence of the UNT with North East Creek. Hydrodynamic input parameters used in the PENTOXSD model are listed in the following table.

| Parameter | Node 1 | Node 2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| River Mile Index | 1.44 mi | 0.0 mi | GoogleEarth measurement |
| Elevation | 495 ft | 390 ft | GoogleEarth measurement |
| Drainage Area | $0.04 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | $0.98 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | StreamStats |
| Qh Flow | 0.0083 cfs | 0.29 cfs | StreamStats |
| Q7-10Flow | 0.0012 cfs | 0.0059 cfs | StreamStats |
| Qh Width | 3.3 ft | 6 ft | GoogleEarth measurement |
| Q7-10 Width | 1.5 ft | 3 ft | Estimated (approx. $1 / 2$ Qh width) |

The PENTOXSD output and the StreamStats datasheets used in the model are attached in Appendix $\mathbf{P}$. The waste load allocations (WLAs) calculated by PENTOXSD are provided in the following table.

| Water Quality Criteria | Benzene WLAs | MTBE WLAs |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Acute Fish Criterion (AFC) | $14,351 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |
| Chronic Fish Criterion (CFC) | $2,915 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |
| Threshold Human Health (THH) | NA | $448 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| Cancer Risk Level (CRL) | $185 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | NA |
| Governing Criteria | $185 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $47.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ |

## Notes:

NA = Not applicable
The PENTOXSD model selects the most restrictive WLA as the Governing Criterion, which is the applicable water quality criteria. The PENTOXSD model results indicate that the maximum average benzene concentration at steady state ( $421.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) exceeds the Governing Criterion (CRL $-185 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ); therefore, attainment of surface water criteria has not been obtained for benzene. The maximum average MTBE concentration at steady state ( $47.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) is more restrictive than any of the calculated WLAs; therefore, attainment of surface water criteria is successful for MTBE.

### 12.0 EXPOSURE PATHWAY EVALUATION

The findings of site characterization were used to conduct an evaluation of present and future exposure pathways to aid in the selection of a remediation standard. Potential exposure pathways are described in the following sections.

### 12.1 DIRECT CONTACT

None of the PADEP Short List Petroleum Products for diesel and unleaded gasoline detected in soil during site characterization exceeded the non-residential Statewide Health Standard Direct Contact MSCs (see Table 1 and Table 2). Based on these results, the exposure pathway of potential direct contact with petroleum impacted soil is acceptable.

### 12.2 INGESTION - GROUNDWATER AND SURFACE WATER

According to the West Nottingham Township Public Works Department, the area surrounding the property is not provided with a public water supply system. Local water supplies are provided through the use of private water wells. A search of available well records using the Pennsylvania Ground Water Information System (PaGWIS) revealed 13 existing private water wells within one-quarter mile of the Site. Six of the wells identified are owned by Herr's and are no longer in service. The remaining seven wells are privately owned and located upgradient of the truck garage to the north and west. The PaGWIS database information is attached in Appendix $\mathbf{Q}$.

According to Chester County parcel data, Herr's owns and controls all properties south and east of the truck garage, from the property boundary to Stoney Lane and east of Stoney Lane, approximately 3,000 feet downgradient (Figure 2). The results of fate and transport analysis (Section 10.0) show that no groundwater impacts above the applicable MSCs are expected downgradient of MW-12. Based on these findings, the release does not pose an immediate threat to private water supply wells.

The surface water ingestion pathway was evaluated by performing a review of the eMapPA ${ }^{10}$ database for public water supplies and surface water intakes downstream of the property in North East Creek. The eMapPA database did not reveal the presence of any surface water withdrawals in North East Creek between the property and the Maryland state line, located 2.5 miles downstream.

### 12.3 INHALATION - VAPOR INTRUSION

Vapor intrusion to non-residential indoor air from groundwater was evaluated for the Site in accordance with the PADEP guidance document titled Vapor Intrusion into Buildings from Groundwater and Soil under the Act 2 Statewide Health Standard. As described in Section 8.0, detected concentrations of toluene and total xylenes in sub-slab soil gas samples collected at the truck garage are below their respective nonresidential soil gas MSCs of $120 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $30 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$. No other parameters were detected in the soil gas samples. Based on the soil vapor sample analytical results, the vapor intrusion pathway is incomplete at the truck garage in accordance with the Act 2 vapor intrusion guidance.

### 12.4 EVALUATION OF ECOLOGICAL RECEPTORS

Groundwater quality does not meet the Statewide Health Standard MSCs for benzene and MTBE at the POC (parcel boundary). Benzene and MTBE have been detected in surface water and sediment samples in an area of groundwater discharge downgradient of the truck garage on an adjacent parcel owned by

[^6]Herr's. The presence of dissolved benzene and MTBE in surface water and sediment samples warranted an evaluation of ecological receptors identified in 25 PA Code Chapter 250.311, which was performed as described in Section 13.0.

### 13.0 ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

A site-specific ecological risk assessment was performed in accordance with the process outlined in TGM Section IV.H and the Statewide Ecological Screening Process to evaluate eco-exposure to the media (groundwater) and substances (benzene and MTBE) addressed under the Site-Specific Standard. The assessment focused on the field identification of wetlands and habitat types present in the area of plume discharge, and an evaluation of the overall health and ecological value of the wetlands and habitats in reference areas adjacent to the area of plume discharge. A search of the Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Index (PNDI) was also conducted for species and habitats of concern within 1,000 feet. A summary memo of the ecological risk assessment is included as Appendix R.

The findings of the ecological risk assessment indicated that there is no substantial ecological risk associated with the release based on the following:

- No species of concern were identified.
- No evidence of stressed, discolored or deformed vegetation was observed.
- Benzene and MTBE are not known to bioaccumulate and are not known to have an adverse effect on the food chain or present a food-chain exposure hazard.
- The wetland in the area of plume discharge compared closely to the reference wetland with respect to the abundance and diversity of species present. No substantial ecological impacts were identified.
- The AFC and CFC surface water quality standards (see Section 11.0) are met and are protective of aquatic ecological receptors.
- Published sediment screening values indicate that the potential adverse effect of the release on terrestrial ecological receptors is low.
- No additional ecological risk assessment is warranted.

Three wetland areas were identified that contain suitable bog turtle habitat within the study area. It is noted that bog turtles were not observed during the ecological risk assessment. Potential impacts of remediation on wetlands and bog turtle habitat will be considered during the development of a Remedial Action Plan.

### 14.0 CONCEPTUAL SITE MODEL

A release of petroleum was discovered at the truck garage during UST system closure activities in May 1997. During UST closure, holes were noted in two USTs containing diesel fuel and unleaded gasoline. Approximately 1,200 tons of petroleum impacted soil and fill material were removed and disposed; however, complete source removal was not feasible due to space constraints and concerns regarding the structural integrity of the truck garage. Although water was observed in the UST excavation, it was not characterized as groundwater. A UST Closure Report was prepared in July 1997, which indicated concentrations of MTBE exceeding the unsaturated soil standard/action level in three soil samples. A new UST system was installed in the excavation following UST closure activities, and no further assessment or remediation was conducted at that time.

Site characterization performed between February 2015 and April 2016 by RETTEW at the truck garage has delineated the extent of soil and groundwater impacts resulting from the 1997 release as shown on Figure 17. Some of the key findings of site characterization are summarized below.

- The truck garage is underlain by micaceous schist bedrock and approximately 64 feet of saprolite comprised of micaceous silt and sand that forms an unconsolidated, unconfined aquifer.
- The area of maximum soil impacts (benzene concentrations greater than $500 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) is delineated and located directly downgradient of the UST system at depths ranging from three to 13 feet below grade. The aerial distribution of BTEX in soil is reflective of the aqueous solubility of each substance.
- Soil impacted by the release is below the seasonally high water table (two feet below grade) and within the zone of groundwater saturation.
- SPL has been observed in MW-4 within the area of maximum soil impacts. The occurrence of SPL at MW-4 coincides with the highest concentrations of BTEX in soil (SB-7). The SPL thickness is low ( 0.02 feet), and the recoverability of the SPL is low. Fingerprint analysis characterized the SPL as unweathered gasoline.
- All of the substances monitored in groundwater (except isopropylbenzene) have been detected at concentrations exceeding their Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standards in groundwater. Groundwater quality meets the Statewide Health Standard MSCs at the POC (downgradient property boundary) for all of the PADEP Short List Petroleum Products for diesel and unleaded gasoline except benzene and MTBE.
- Based on local topography, regional drainage patterns and interpreted fracture traces (Figure 4), regional groundwater is expected to flow to the east and southeast across the property toward the UNT to North East Creek, located 150 feet east of the property boundary.
- Groundwater discharges to the UNT downgradient of the property on an adjacent, separate parcel owned by Herr Foods, Inc. Discharging groundwater also supports a wetland, which forms the headwater to the UNT to North East Creek.
- Benzene and MTBE have been detected above Statewide Health Standard MSCs in groundwater at the point of groundwater discharge (MW-11).
- Benzene and MTBE have been detected in surface water and sediment in the northern portion of the wetland area.

The property obtains its water supply from an off-site groundwater source owned and operated by Herr's located 3,100 feet downgradient of the truck garage. Raw water sample analytical results indicated no detectable concentrations of diesel or gasoline parameters in the water supply. The area surrounding the property obtains domestic water supplies from water wells. There are no downgradient water supplies threatened by the release, and Herr's owns and controls land downgradient of the property.

Fate and transport analysis shows that the plume is not stable for benzene in groundwater. The benzene plume is expanding and is not expected to reach steady state for 13 years. The MTBE plume is currently at steady state. Groundwater monitoring data shows that there is some evidence of natural attenuation and degradation of petroleum substances in groundwater; however, fate and transport modelling of benzene and MTBE suggests that degradation rates are low.

Fate and transport analysis also shows that surface water will continue to be impacted by dissolved benzene and MTBE into the future. Steady state discharge of MTBE is not expected to exceed applicable water quality criteria; however, steady state discharge of benzene is expected to exceed the calculated Cancer Risk Level (CRL) water quality criteria for the UNT to North East Creek. Steady state discharge of benzene is expected to meet the calculated Acute Fish Criteria (AFC) and Chronic Fish Criteria (CFC) water
quality criteria for fish and aquatic life in the UNT to North East Creek. A search of the eMapPA database did not reveal the presence of any public water supplies or surface water withdrawals in North East Creek between the property and the Maryland state line, located 2.5 miles downstream. An ecological risk assessment of the area of plume discharge indicated that no species of concern were identified within the study area, no evidence of stressed vegetation was observed, and no substantial ecological impacts were identified.

### 15.0 REMEDIATION STANDARD SELECTION

Per Act 2, the extent of petroleum impacts resulting from the release within the property boundaries, and all areas in close proximity to the impacts necessary for the implementation of remediation action, is defined as the "Site". At the truck garage, the Site includes the area defined by UST system, the benzene plume in groundwater, and the UNT to North East Creek as shown on Figure 17. The Site represents the area proposed for remediation and attainment of an Act 2 standard.

Petroleum impacted soil is present below the seasonally high water table (i.e., soil in the zone of groundwater saturation). Because petroleum impacted soil will continue to leach to groundwater, remediation will focus on groundwater quality; therefore, Herr's proposes to attain the Act 2 Site-Specific Standard for groundwater. The following actions are proposed to demonstrate attainment of the SiteSpecific Standard for groundwater.

- Remediation of groundwater at the source to reduce dissolved benzene in groundwater to concentrations below the CRL WLA ( $185 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) surface water quality criteria prior to discharge to surface water.
- Revised fate and transport analysis to demonstrate that the CRL for benzene in surface water will be met in the future.
- A post-remedial care plan that includes the following:
- Groundwater monitoring to verify that the CRL will be met;
- An activity use restriction to prohibit the use of groundwater at the Site and eliminate potential human exposure to the release;
- A land use restriction to prohibit future residential use; and
- An activity use restriction requiring worker health and safety provisions and waste handling protocols during future disturbance of the Site to eliminate potential human exposure to the release.

SPL has been detected at the Site at MW-4. Based on the findings of baildown testing, SPL recovery does not appear to be feasible using conventional pumping technology. SPL has not been detected at MW-4 since baildown testing was conducted on July 9, 2015. Continued monitoring of MW-4 for the presence of SPL is recommended.

Remedial alternatives analysis and a selected remedial approach to protect surface water will be presented in a Remedial Action Plan (RAP) and submitted to the PADEP. It is anticipated that the RAP will be submitted within 45 days of PADEP approval of this Revised SCR.

H:\Projects \10172\101722001\GS\Revised SCR\Rpt-Revised SCR-06-10-16.docx

FIGURES



















TABLES

Table 1
Phase II ESA Soil Sample Analytical Data Summary
Herr Foods, Inc. - 273 Old Baltimore Pike, Nottingham, Pennsylvania RETTEW Project No. 101722001

| PADEP Short List Petroleum Products for Lubricating Oils and Fluids | Act 2 Statewide Health Standard Medium Specific Concentrations (MSCs) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-6 } \\ & 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-7 } \\ & 8 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-8 } \\ 7 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-9 } \\ 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-10 } \\ 5 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Soil to Groundwater (Used Aquifers) |  |  |  | Direct Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TDS $\leq 2500$ |  |  |  | Residential | Non-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  | Non-residential |  |  | Surface Soil | Subsurface Soil |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $100 \mathrm{X}$ <br> GW MSC | 1/10 Generic Value | 100 X GW MSC | 1/10 Generic Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0-15 feet | 0-2 feet | 2-15 feet |  |  |  |  |  |
| BENZENE | 500 | 13 | 500 | 13 | 57,000 | 290,000 | 330,000 | 120 | 22,000 | 21,000 | < 0.6 | 8 |
| ETHYLBENZENE | 70,000 | 4,600 | 70,000 | 4,600 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 6,500 | 85,000 | 120,000 | NA | NA |
| NAPHTHALENE | 10,000 | 2,500 | 10,000 | 2,500 | 4,400,000 | 56,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 1,500 | 18,000 | 30,000 | < 5 | < 45 |
| TOLUENE | 100,000 | 4,400 | 100,000 | 4,400 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 2,600 | 270,000 | 450,000 | NA | NA |
| XYLENES | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,900,000 | 8,000,000 | 9,100,000 | 28,000 | 390,000 | 560,000 | NA | NA |
| ANTHRACENE | 6,600 | 35,000 | 6,600 | 35,000 | 66,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 190,000,000 | < 4 | 29 | 29 | < 5 | <45 |
| BENZO(A)ANTHRACENE | 29 | 32,000 | 360 | 32,000 | 5,700 | 110,000 | 190,000,000 | <4 | 10 J | 10 J | < 5 | $<45$ |
| BENZO(A)PYRENE | 20 | 4,600 | 20 | 4,600 | 570 | 11,000 | 190,000,000 | < 4 | 6 J | $<4$ | < 5 | <45 |
| BENZO(B)FLUORANTHENE | 29 | 17,000 | 120 | 17,000 | 5,700 | 110,000 | 190,000,000 | < 4 | $<4$ | < 4 | < 5 | $<45$ |
| BENZO(G, H, l) PERYLENE | 26 | 18,000 | 26 | 18,000 | 13,000,000 | 170,000,000 | 190,000,000 | <4 | 81 | $<4$ | < 5 | $<45$ |
| CHRYSENE | 190 | 23,000 | 190 | 23,000 | 570,000 | 11,000,000 | 190,000,000 | $<4$ | 11 J | 10 J | < 5 | $<45$ |
| FLOURENE | 150,000 | 380,000 | 190,000 | 380,000 | 8,800,000 | 110,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 71 | 92 | 89 | < 5 | $<45$ |
| PHENANTHRENE | 110,000 | 1,000,000 | 110,000 | 1,000,000 | 66,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 11 J | 150 | 150 | < 5 | $<45$ |
| PYRENE | 13,000 | 220,000 | 13,000 | 220,000 | 6,600,000 | 84,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 5 J | 49 | 45 | < 5 | <45 |

Notes:

1) All units in milligrams per kilogram (ug/kg)
2) Bold $\&$ shaded MSCs represent the applicable Act 2 non-residential Statewide Health Standard.
3) Shaded results represent an exceedence of the applicable non-residential Statewide Health Standard.
4) Soil samples were collected on October 6, 2014
5) PADEP Short List petroleum products in itafics are COPIACs.
6) $N A=$ Not analyzed.
7) $\mathbf{1 / 1 0}$ of the Soil to Groundwater Generic Value used for soil in the zone of groundwater saturation below a depth of two feet.

Table 2
Soil Sample Analytical Data Summary Herr Foods, Inc. Nottingham Plant RETTEW Project No. 101722001

| PADEP Short List Petroleum Products for Diesel Fuel and Unleaded Gasoline | Act 2 Statewride Health Standard Medium Speific Concentrations (MSCs) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Soil sample Identifications (Depth in feet below grade below sample 1.D.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Soil to Groundwater (Used Aquifers) |  |  |  | Direct Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TDS $\leq 2500$ |  |  |  | Residential | Non-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  | Non-residential |  |  | Surface <br> Soil | Subsurface Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \mathrm{x} \\ \text { GW MSC } \end{gathered}$ | 1/10 Generic <br> Value | $100 \mathrm{x}$ <br> GW MSC | 1/10 Generic <br> Value |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-11 } \\ 14 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-12 } \\ 5 \mathrm{ft.} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-12 } \\ 8 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SB}-12 \\ 12 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-12 } \\ & 18 \mathrm{ft.} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SE-13 } \\ 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SB-14 } \\ & 11 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { se-15 } \\ & 11 \mathrm{ft.} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SB-16 } \\ 12 \mathrm{ft} . \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-17 } \\ 7 \mathrm{ft.} \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0-15 feet | 0-2 feet | 2-15 feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 500 | 13 | 500 | 13 | 5,700 | 290,000 | 330,000 | $<29$ | $<29$ | < 58 | $<29$ | <30 | < 30 | $<29$ | <28 | $<28$ | $<27$ |
| ETHYLEEMZENE | 70,000 | 4,600 | 70,000 | 4,600 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | < 57 | 480 | 16,000 | 3,800 | <61 | < 60 | < 58 | < 56 | < 56 | 100 |
| ISOPROPYLEENZENE | 84,000 | 60,000 | 350,000 | 250,000 | 7,700,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | < 57 | 2501 | 5,200 | 2,200 | < 61 | < 60 | < 58 | <56 | <56 | < 54 |
| METHYLTERT BuTYLETHER | 2,000 | 28 | 2,000 | 28 | 620,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,700,000 | <29 | $<29$ | < 58 | <29 | <30 | < 30 | <29 | < 28 | <28 | <27 |
| NAPHTHALENE | 10,000 | 2,500 | 10,000 | 2,500 | 4,400,000 | 56,000,000 | 190,000,000 | < 57 | 880 | 9,000 | 2,400 | 1001 | < 60 | < 58 | <56 | <56 | 350 |
| toluene | 100,000 | 44,000 | 100,000 | 4,400 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | <57 | < 57 | <120 | <58 | <61 | <60 | < 58 | < 56 | <56 | 1,100 |
| 1,2,4-TRIMETHYLEENZENE | 1,500 | 840 | 6.200 | 3,500 | 130,000 | 560,000 | 640,000 | 79. | 6,600 | 120,000 | 68,000 | 500 | < 60 | < 58 | < 56 | <56 | 450 |
| 1,3,5-TRIMETHYLEENZENE | 1,300 | 230 | 5,300 | 930 | 110,000 | 480,000 | 550,000 | < 57 | 2,600 | 39,000 | 15,000 | 1901 | <60 | < 58 | < 56 | < 56 | 250 , |
| XVILENES | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,900,000 | 8,000,000 | 9,100,000 | < 57 | 1,100 | 34,000 | 8,900 | < 61 | <60 | < 58 | < 56 | <56 | 1,100 |
| MOISTURE (\%) |  |  |  | No Standard |  |  |  | 15.4 | 18.7 | 20.0 | 27.3 | 16.5 | 19.4 | 22.9 | 28.2 | 27.9 | 17.6 |

Nates

1) All units in milligrams per kilogram ( $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
2) Bald \& staded MS Cs represent the applicable Act 2 non residential Statevide Health Standard.
3) Shaded results represent an exceedence of the applicable non-residential Statewide $H$ ealth standard.
4) Soil samples were onllected from SB-11 through SE 21 on February 5, 2015
5) PADEP Short List petroleum products in itaics are OOPACS.
6) $1 / 10$ of the Soil to Grounduater Generic Value used for sail in the zone of groundwater saturation belowra depth of turo feet.

Table 2
Soil Sample Analytical Data Summary
Herr Foods, Inc. Nottingham Plant
RETTEW Project No. 101722001

| PADEP Short List Petroleum Products for Diesel Fuel and Unleaded Gasoline | Act 2 Statewride Health Standard Medium Speific Concentrations (MSCs) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Identifications (Depth in feet below grade below sample i. i. ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Soil to Groundwater (Used Aquifers) |  |  |  | Direct Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TDS $\leq 2500$ |  |  |  | Residential | Non-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  | Non-residential |  |  | Surface <br> Soil | Subsurface Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \mathrm{x} \\ \text { GW MSC } \end{gathered}$ | 1/10 Generic <br> Value | $100 \mathrm{x}$ <br> GW MSC | 1/10 Generic <br> Value |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-17 } \\ 11 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-17 } \\ 15 \mathrm{ft.} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-18 } \\ 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SB-18 } \\ 13 \mathrm{ft} . \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-18 } \\ 15 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB-19 } \\ 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { se-20 } \\ 8 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SB-20 } \\ & 11 \mathrm{ft} . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SB}-20 \\ 15 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SE-21 } \\ 8 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0-15 feet | 0-2 feet | 2-15 feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 500 | 13 | 500 | 13 | 5,700 | 290,000 | 330,000 | 2401 | $<25$ | 1,900 | 3,200 | <30 | < 25 | 1,300 | 1,900 | $<26$ | $<33$ |
| EHYYLEMZENE | 70,000 | 4,600 | 70,000 | 4,600 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 130,000 | $<51$ | 710 | 810 | < 59 | < 49 | 630 | 680 | < 53 | < 66 |
| ISOPROPYLEENZENE | 84,000 | 60,000 | 350,000 | 250,000 | 7,700,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 7,400 | < 51 | <56 | < 59 | <59 | <49 | < 55 | <61 | <53 | < 66 |
| METHYLTERT BuTYLETHER | 2,000 | 28 | 2,000 | 28 | 620,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,700,000 | <60 | < 25 | 260 J | 31. | <30 | < 25 | < 28 | < 31 | $<26$ | < 33 |
| NAPHTHALENE | 10,000 | 2,500 | 10,000 | 2,500 | 4,400,000 | 56,000,000 | 190,000,000 | 120 | < 51 | 160 J | 120.1 | <59 | < 49 | 1301 | ${ }^{93} 1$ | <53 | 761 |
| toluene | 100,000 | 44,000 | 100,000 | 4,400 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 12,000 | < 51 | 280 | 9,500 | <59 | <49 | 4,500 | 5,000 | <53 | <66 |
| 1,2,4-TRIMETHYLEENZENE | 1,500 | 840 | 6.200 | 3,500 | 130,000 | 560,000 | 640,000 | 1,200 | $<51$ | 990 | 890 | <59 | 2301 | 590 | 500 | <53 | <66 |
| 1,3,5-TRIMETHYLEENZENE | 1,300 | 230 | 5,300 | 930 | 110,000 | 480,000 | 550,000 | 1,200 | < 51 | 300 | 2901 | < 59 | 891 | 1801 | 150.1 | < 53 | < 56 |
| XVILENES | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,900 | 110,000 | 580,000 | 1,200 | < 51 | 3,500 | 3,800 | $<59$ | $<49$ | 2,500 | 2,900 | $<53$ | $<66$ |
| MOISTURE (\%) |  |  |  | No Standard |  |  |  | 26.2 | 16.0 | 22.4 | 25.2 | 15.7 | 18.7 | 21.4 | 24.2 | 12.3 | 22.2 |

Nates

1) All units in milligrams per kilogram ( $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
2) Bald \& staded MS Cs represent the applicable Act 2 non residential Statevide Health Standard.
3) Shaded results represent an exceedence of the applicable non-residential Statewide $H$ ealth standard.
4) Soil samples were onllected from SB-11 through SB 21 on February 5 , 2015.
5) PADEP Short List petroleum products in itaics are OOPACS.
6) $1 / 10$ of the Soil to Grounduater Generic Value used for sail in the zone of groundwater saturation belowr depth of turo feet

Table 2
Soil Sample Analytical Data Summary
Herr Foods, Inc. Nottingham Plant
RETTEW Project No. 101722001

| PADEP Short List Petroleum Products for Diesel Fuel and Unleaded Gasoline | Act 2 Statewride Health Standard Medium Speific Concentrations (MSCs) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sample Identifications (Depth in feet below grade below sample i. i.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Soil to Groundwater (Used Aquifers) |  |  |  | Direct Contact |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TDS $\leq 2500$ |  |  |  | Residential | Non-Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Residential |  | Non-residential |  |  | Surface <br> Soil | Subsurface Soil |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 100 \mathrm{x} \\ \text { GW MSC } \end{gathered}$ | 1/10 Generic <br> Value | $100 \mathrm{x}$ <br> GW MSC | 1/10 Generic <br> Value |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-21 } \\ 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-22 } \\ 7 \mathrm{ft.} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-22 } \\ 19 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{sB}-23 \\ 8 \mathrm{ft.} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { se-23 } \\ 15 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB-24 } \\ 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SB-24 } \\ 19 \mathrm{ft} . \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-25 } \\ 9 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-25 } \\ 15 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB-26 } \\ 10 \mathrm{ft} . \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0-15 feet | 0-2 feet | 2-15 feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 500 | 13 | 500 | 13 | 5,700 | 290,000 | 330,000 | $30 \cdot 1$ | $<29$ | <25 | 3,400 | $<29$ | 1,300 | <21 | <31 | $<29$ | < 31 |
| EHYYLEMZENE | 70,000 | 4,600 | 70,000 | 4,600 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 180. | $<58$ | < 50 | 50,000 | < 57 | 200 | $<42$ | < 62 | < 57 | < 62 |
| ISOPROPYLEENZENE | 84,000 | 60,000 | 350,000 | 250,000 | 7,700,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | < 51 | < 58 | < 50 | 3,400 | $<57$ | < 58 | < 42 | < 62 | <57 | < 52 |
| METHYLTERT BuTYLETHER | 2,000 | 28 | 2,000 | 28 | 620,000 | 3,200,000 | 3,700,000 | < 26 | $<29$ | < 25 | <120 | $<29$ | <29 | <21 | < 31 | <29 | < 31 |
| NAPHTHALENE | 10,000 | 2,500 | 10,000 | 2,500 | 4,400,000 | 56,000,000 | 190,000,000 | < 51 | < 58 | < 50 | 9,700 | $<57$ | < 58 | < 42 | < 62 | <57 | < 62 |
| toluene | 100,000 | 44,000 | 100,000 | 4,400 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 10,000,000 | 270 | < 58 | < 50 | 100,000 | <57 | 3,300 | < 42 | < 62 | <57 | < 62 |
| 1,2,4-TRIMETHYLEENZENE | 1,500 | 840 | 6.200 | 3,500 | 130,000 | 560,000 | 640,000 | 670 | < 58 | < 50 | 90,000 | 59. | 1201 | $<42$ | <62 | <57 | < 62 |
| 1,3,5-TRIMETHYLEENZENE | 1,300 | 230 | 5,300 | 930 | 110,000 | 480,000 | 550,000 | 240 | < 58 | < 50 | 28,000 | < 57 | < 58 | 442 | $\leqslant 62$ | < 57 | < 52 |
| XVILENES | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,000,000 | 99,000 | 1,900 | 110,000 | 580,000 | 1,100 | < 58 | < 50 | 220,000 | ${ }^{721}$ | 1,000 | < 42 | < 62 | <57 | < 62 |
| MOISTURE (\%) |  |  |  | No Standard |  |  |  | 10.6 | 22.3 | 13.7 | 21.8 | 18.5 | 21.8 | 13.1 | 27.6 | 16.6 | 18.7 |

Nates

1) All units in milligrams per kilogram ( $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
2) Bald \& staded MS Cs represent the applicable Act 2 non residential Statevide Health Standard.
3) Shaded results represent an exceedence of the applicable non-residential Statewide $H$ ealth standard.
4) Soil samples were onllected from SB-11 through SB 21 on February 5 , 2015.
5) PADEP Short List petroleum products in itaics are OOPACS.
6) $1 / 10$ of the Soil to Grounduater Generic Value used for sail in the zone of groundwater saturation belowr depth of turo feet

Table 2
Soil Sample Analytical Data Summary
Herr Foods, Inc. Nottingham Plant
RETTEW Project No. 101722001


Nates

1) All units in milligrams per kilogram ( $\mathrm{u} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
2) Bald \& staded MS Cs represent the applicable Act 2 non residential Statevide Health Standard.
3) Shaded results represent an exceedence of the applicable non-residential Statewide $H$ ealth standard.
4) Soil samples were onllected from SB-11 through SB 21 on February 5 , 2015.
5) PADEP Short List petroleum products in itaics are OOPACS.
6) $1 / 10$ of the Soil to Grounduater Generic Value used for sail in the zone of groundwater saturation belowr depth of turo feet

Table 3
Groundwater Sample Analytical Data Summary Herr Foods，Inc．Nottingham Plant RETTEW Project No． 101722001

| well | Toc <br> Elev． <br> （feet） | Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depth to } \\ \text { SPL } \\ \text { (feet) } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | Depth to Water （feet） | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPL } \\ \text { Thikness } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | Water Table Elev． ［feet） | Adj． Water Table Elev． （feet） | 岂 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 嵌 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 威 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW－1 | 512.95 | 3／9／2015 | 0.00 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 510.75 | 510.75 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜1．0 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 |
|  |  | 4／1／2015 | 0.00 | 1.39 | 0.00 | 511.56 | 511.56 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜1．0 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 |
|  |  | 6／25／2015 | 0.00 | 2.96 | 0.00 | 509.99 | 509.99 | ns | ns | NS | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
|  |  | 7／9／2015 | 0.00 | 2.85 | 0.00 | 510.10 | 510.10 | ＜0．5 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | ＜0．5 | $<0.5$ | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | $<0.5$ | ＜1．0 |
|  |  | 9／10／2015 | 0.00 | 4.94 | 0.00 | 508.01 | 508.01 | NS | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
|  |  | 10／6／2015 | 0.00 | 4.79 | 0.00 | 508.16 | 508.16 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜1．0 |
|  |  | 1／14／2016 | 0.00 | 3.84 | 0.00 | 509.11 | 509.11 | ns | Ns | NS | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
|  |  | 4／6／2016 | 0.00 | 3.24 | 0.00 | 509.71 | 509.71 | Ns | Ns | ns | Ns | ns | ns | ns | ns | ns |
| MW－2 | 512.64 | 3／9／2015 | 0.00 | 2.96 | 0.00 | 509.68 | 509.68 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜1．0 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 |
|  |  | 4／1／2015 | 0.00 | 2.18 | 0.00 | 510.46 | 510.46 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜1．0 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 |
|  |  | 6／25／2015 | 0.00 | 3.08 | 0.00 | 509.56 | 509.56 | NS | Ns | ns | Ns | ns | Ns | ns | ns | ns |
|  |  | 7／9／2015 | 0.00 | 3.21 | 0.00 | 509.43 | 509.43 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜ 0.5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜1．0 |
|  |  | 9／10／2015 | 0.00 | 5.28 | 0.00 | 507.36 | 507.36 | NS | NS | Ns | NS | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | ns |
|  |  | 10／6／2015 | 0.00 | 5.62 | 0.00 | 507.02 | 507.02 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | $<1.0$ |
|  |  | 1／14／2016 | 0.00 | 5.24 | 0.00 | 507.40 | 507.40 | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns | NS | NS | ns | ns |
|  |  | 4／6／2016 | 0.00 | 2.45 | 0.00 | 510.19 | 510.19 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns | NS | NS |
| MW－3 | 511.61 | 3／9／2015 | 0.00 | 3.38 | 0.00 | 508.23 | 508.23 | 180 | 1，100 | 33. | ＜25 | 140」 | 14，000 | 670 | 180 | 6，500 |
|  |  | 4／1／2015 | 0.00 | 2.96 | 0.00 | 508.65 | 508.65 | 270 | 1，600 | 49.0 | ＜5 | 240 | 17，000 | 1100 | 310 | 9，000 |
|  |  | 6／25／2015 | 0.00 | 3.44 | 0.00 | 508.17 | 508．17 | NS | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | NS | NS |
|  |  | 7／9／2015 | 0.00 | 3.61 | 0.00 | 508．00 | 508．00 | 141 | 1，400 | 50.8 | ＜ 5.0 | 254 | 11，100 | 866 | 252 | 6，840 |
|  |  | 9／10／2015 | 0.00 | 5.34 | 0.00 | 506.27 | 506.27 | NS | Ns | NS | Ns | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 10／6／2015 | 0.00 | 5.26 | 0.00 | 506.35 | 506.35 | 42.7 | 430 | 21.5 | ＜ 5.0 | 88.7 | 3，890 | 292 | 111 | 1，610 |
|  |  | 1／14／2016 | 0.00 | 4.91 | 0.00 | 506.70 | 506.70 | 88.5 | 802 | 20.8 | ＜0．5 | 116 | 6，550 | 337 | 93.3 | 4，020 |
|  |  | 4／6／2016 | 0.00 | 2.55 | 0.00 | 509.06 | 509.06 | 160 | 1，110 | 42.8 | 1.3 | 196 | 8，400 | 514 | 197 | 4，750 |
| MW－4 | 511.96 | 3／9／2015 | 0.00 | 3.94 | 0.00 | 508.02 | 508.02 | 580 | 2，500 | 63.0 | ＜ 5.0 | 310 | 7，300 | 1，400 | 400 | 9，900 |
|  |  | 4／1／2015 | 0.00 | 3.37 | 0.00 | 508.59 | 508.59 | 1，000 | 2，200 | 67.0 | ＜ 5.0 | 310 | 9，000 | 1，500 | 440 | 9，200 |
|  |  | 6／25／2015 | 4.07 | 4.22 | 0.15 | 507.74 | 507.64 | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns | NS | Ns | NS | Ns |
|  |  | 7／9／2015 | 4.25 | 4.37 | 0.12 | 507.59 | 507.51 | 237 | 1，330 | 65.8 | ＜ 5.0 | 346 | 2，280 | 1，550 | 406 | 7，320 |
|  |  | 9／10／2015 | 0.00 | 6.27 | 0.00 | 505.69 | 505.69 | NS | NS | Ns | Ns | NS | NS | NS | Ns | NS |
|  |  | 10／6／2015 | 0.00 | 6.04 | 0.00 | 505.92 | 505.92 | 1，760 | 1，600 | 68.1 | ＜ 5.0 | 199 | 8，610 | 857 | 228 | 6，180 |
|  |  | 1／14／2016 | 0.00 | 5.81 | 0.00 | 506.15 | 506.15 | 1，790 | 1，290 | 36.5 | ＜0．5 | 129 | 8，710 | 725 | 220 | 5，860 |
|  |  | 4／6／2016 | 0.00 | 3.08 | 0.00 | 508.88 | 508．88 | 1，170 | 2，820 | 79.5 | 1.9 | 313 | 4，870 | 1，970 | 2，180 | 9，430 |
| MW－5 | 510.57 | 3／9／2015 | 0.00 | 3.44 | 0.00 | 507.13 | 507.13 | 1，100 | 740 | 25. | 15． | 100 | 1，900 | 280 | 68 | 1，600 |
|  |  | 4／1／2015 | 0.00 | 2.94 | 0.00 | 507.63 | 507.63 | 1，700 | 1300 | 42 | 21 | 190 | 3，500 | 500 | 130 | 3，000 |
|  |  | 6／25／2015 | 0.00 | 3.37 | 0.00 | 507.20 | 507.20 | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | NS | Ns | Ns |
|  |  | 7／9／2015 | 0.00 | 3.54 | 0.00 | 507.03 | 507.03 | 2，620 | 1，580 | 58.2 | ＜ 5.0 | 306 | 9，020 | 1，090 | 284 | 8，260 |
|  |  | 9／10／2015 | 0.00 | 5.09 | 0.00 | 505.48 | 505.48 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns |
|  |  | 10／6／2015 | 0.00 | 4.86 | 0.00 | 505.71 | 505.71 | 1，040 | 694 | 21.7 | 9.0 | 86.8 | 1，550 | 299 | 77.3 | 1，650 |
|  |  | 1／14／2016 | 0.00 | 4.60 | 0.00 | 505.97 | 505.97 | 187 | 94.7 | 5.6 | 4.1 | 21.8 | 6.4 | 56.8 | 17.9 | 151 |
|  |  | 4／6／2016 | 0.00 | 4.61 | 0.00 | 505.96 | 505.96 | 594 | 304 | 12.5 | 10.6 | 36.7 | 155 | 156 | 35.2 | 643 |
| MW－6 | 509.57 | 6／25／2015 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 507.57 | 507.57 | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns | Ns | NS | Ns | NS |
|  |  | 7／9／2015 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0.00 | 507.67 | 507.67 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜ 0.5 | ＜ 0.5 | ＜0．5 | ＜1．0 |
|  |  | 9／10／2015 | 0.00 | 3.90 | 0.00 | 505.67 | 505.67 | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns |
|  |  | 10／6／2015 | 0.00 | 3.42 | 0.00 | 506.15 | 506.15 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | ＜0．5 | $<1.0$ |
|  |  | 1／14／2016 | 0.00 | 2.70 | 0.00 | 506.87 | 506.87 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns | NS | Ns | ns |
|  |  | 4／6／2016 | 0.00 | 4.54 | 0.00 | 505.03 | 505.03 | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns | NS | Ns | Ns | Ns |
| MW－7 | 511.31 | 6／25／2015 | 0.00 | 4.37 | 0.00 | 506.94 | 506.94 | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | Ns | NS |
|  |  | 7／9／2015 | 0.00 | 4.47 | 0.00 | 506.84 | 506.84 | 1，820 | 1，300 | 59.3 | ＜ 0.5 | 352 | 3，200 | 1，060 | 275 | 7，940 |
|  |  | 9／10／2015 | 0.00 | 6.55 | 0.00 | 504.76 | 504.76 | NS | NS | NS | Ns | NS | NS | NS | NS | Ns |
|  |  | 10／6／2015 | 0.00 | 6.20 | 0.00 | 505.11 | 505.11 | 514 | 728 | 53.4 | ＜0．5 | 240 | 741 | 622 | 169 | 3，050 |
|  |  | 1／14／2016 | 0.00 | 5.96 | 0.00 | 505.35 | 505.35 | 692 | 681 | 50.0 | ＜0．5 | 171 | 784 | 623 | 167 | 3，760 |
|  |  | 4／6／2016 | 0.00 | 4.33 | 0.00 | 506.98 | 506.98 | 2，770 | 2，050 | 91.4 | 0.9 | 389 | ＜0．5 | 1，680 | 373 | 10，300 |

Table 3
Groundwater Sample Analytical Data Summary
Herr Foods, Inc. Nottingham Plant
RETTEW Project No. 101722001

| Well | TOC Elev. (feet) | Date | Depth to SPL (feet) | Depth to Water (feet) | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPL } \\ \text { Thickness } \\ \text { (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | Water <br> Table <br> Elev. <br> (feet) | Adj. <br> Water <br> Table <br> Elev. <br> (feet) | \|üd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MW-8 | 508.04 | 6/25/2015 | 0.00 | 2.86 | 0.00 | 505.18 | 505.18 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 7/9/2015 | 0.00 | 2.89 | 0.00 | 505.15 | 505.15 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | $<0.5$ | < 0.5 | $<1.0$ |
|  |  | 9/10/2015 | 0.00 | 4.15 | 0.00 | 503.89 | 503.89 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 10/6/2015 | 0.00 | 3.84 | 0.00 | 504.20 | 504.20 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | < 0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | < 1.0 |
|  |  | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 3.23 | 0.00 | 504.81 | 504.81 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 3.70 | 0.00 | 504.34 | 504.34 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| MW-9 | 508.62 | 6/25/2015 | 0.00 | 2.31 | 0.00 | 506.31 | 506.31 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 7/9/2015 | 0.00 | 2.55 | 0.00 | 506.07 | 506.07 | 4.8 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | 1.4 | <0.5 | 2 |
|  |  | 9/10/2015 | 0.00 | 4.49 | 0.00 | 504.13 | 504.13 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 10/6/2015 | 0.00 | 4.16 | 0.00 | 504.46 | 504.46 | 2.6 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | < 0.5 | < 1.0 |
|  |  | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 3.94 | 0.00 | 504.68 | 504.68 | 5.4 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | <0.5 | 0.7 | <0.5 | <1.0 |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 2.05 | 0.00 | 506.57 | 506.57 | 5.3 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <0.5 | <1.0 |
| MW-10 | 508.03 | 6/25/2015 | 0.00 | 4.22 | 0.00 | 503.81 | 503.81 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 7/9/2015 | 0.00 | 3.05 | 0.00 | 504.98 | 504.98 | 1,450 | 23 | 13.2 | 122 | 59.1 | 11 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 17 |
|  |  | 9/10/2015 | 0.00 | 5.51 | 0.00 | 502.52 | 502.52 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 10/6/2015 | 0.00 | 4.88 | 0.00 | 503.15 | 503.15 | 2,040 | < 50 | < 50 | 91 | < 50 | < 50 | < 50 | < 50 | <100 |
|  |  | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 4.01 | 0.00 | 504.02 | 504.02 | 2,190 | 13.6 | 22.6 | 114 | 75.4 | 43.6 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 42.2 |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 3.63 | 0.00 | 504.40 | 504.40 | 2,050 | 10.4 | 28.0 | 122 | 62.6 | 22.3 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 23.9 |
| MW-11 | 500.78 | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 500.54 | 500.54 | 0.9 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | 137 | <0.5 | 0.6 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | < 1.0 |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 0.34* | 0.00 | 501.31 | 501.31 | 19.4 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | 19.4 | 0.7 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <1.0 |
| MW-12 | 489.67 | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 2.20 | 0.00 | 487.47 | 487.47 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | < 1.0 |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 2.09 | 0.00 | 487.58 | 487.58 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ | <0.5 | < 1.0 |
| MW-13 | 486.88 | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 3.41 | 0.00 | 483.47 | 483.47 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | < 1.0 |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 3.98 | 0.00 | 482.90 | 482.90 | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | $<0.5$ | < 0.5 | <0.5 | < 0.5 | < 0.5 | <0.5 | < 1.0 |
| Former Supply Well | 511.21 | 6/25/2015 | 0.00 | 5.08 | 0.00 | 506.13 | 506.13 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 7/9/2015 | 0.00 | 5.19 | 0.00 | 506.02 | 506.02 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 9/10/2015 | 0.00 | 6.61 | 0.00 | 504.60 | 504.60 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 10/6/2015 | 0.00 | 6.28 | 0.00 | 504.93 | 504.93 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 5.09 | 0.00 | 506.12 | 506.12 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 5.31 | 0.00 | 505.90 | 505.90 | 2,310 | 1,280 | 33.4 | 47.5 | 118 | 4,730 | 518 | 120 | 3,160 |
| End Wall (Stream) | 499.32 | 6/25/2015 | 0.00 | 3.55 | 0.00 | 495.77 | 495.77 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 7/9/2015 | 0.00 | 3.53 | 0.00 | 495.79 | 495.79 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 9/10/2015 | 0.00 | 3.44 | 0.00 | 495.88 | 495.88 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 10/6/2015 | 0.00 | 3.57 | 0.00 | 495.75 | 495.75 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 1/14/2016 | 0.00 | 5.39 | 0.00 | 493.93 | 493.93 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
|  |  | 4/6/2016 | 0.00 | 3.66 | 0.00 | 495.66 | 495.66 | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| Act 2 Statewide Health Standards for Used, Non-Residential Aquifers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 700 | 3,500 | 20 | 100 | 1,000 | 62 | 53 | 10,000 |

Notes:

1) TOC = Top of casing.
2) SPL = Separate phase liquid.
3) All units in milligrams per kilogram (ug/f).
4) Shaded results represent an exceedence of the applicable non-residential Statewide Health Standard.
5) PADEP Short List petroleum products in italics are COPIACs.
6) $\mathrm{J}=$ Estimated concentration detected between the method detection limit and the limit of quantitation.
7) NS = Not sampled.
8) Adjusted water table elevation based on an assumed SPL specific gravity of 0.68 .

* Water level measured from the top of the protective casing felevation 501.65) due to static water above TOC.

Table 4
Groundwater Trend Analysis Herr Foods, Inc. Nottingham Plant RETTEW Project No. 101722001

| Parameter | Mw-3 |  |  | MW-4 |  |  | MW-5 |  |  | MW-7 |  |  | MW-10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trend | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Variation | Trend | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Variation | Trend | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Variation | Trend | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Variation | Trend | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ Value | Variation |
| Benzene | Decreasing | 28.55\% | High | Increasing | 33.67\% | Moderate | Decreasing | 36.62\% | Moderate | Increasing | 12.65\% | High | Increasing | 59.69\% | Moderate |
| Toluene | Decreasing | 55.99\% | Low | Decreasing | 1.74\% | High | Decreasing | 23.48\% | High | Decreasing | 77.58\% | Low |  | fficient Da |  |
| Ethylbenzene | Decreasing | 22.50\% | Moderate | Decreasing | 0.07\% | High | Decreasing | 49.44\% | Moderate | Increa sing | 18.20\% | High |  | fficient Dat |  |
| Naphthalene | Decreasing | 7.29\% | High | Decreasing | 19.47\% | High | Decreasing | 34.75\% | Moderate | Increa sing | 0.09\% | High |  | fficient Da |  |
| 1,2,4-TMB | Decreasing | 46.39\% | Moderate | Decreasing | 0.06\% | High | Decreasing | 21.15\% | High | Increa sing | 21.38\% | High |  | fficient Da |  |
| 1,3,5-TMB | Decreasing | 27.77\% | High | Increasing | 34.36\% | Moderate | Decreasing | 20.77\% | High | Increa sing | 13.30\% | High |  | fficient Da |  |
| Xylenes | Decreasing | 40.24\% | Moderate | Decreasing | 14.20\% | High | Decreasing | 17.64\% | High | Increa sing | 7.68\% | High |  | fficient Da |  |
| MTBE | Insufficient Data |  |  | Insufficient Data |  |  | Decreasing | 21.15\% | High | Insufficient Data |  |  | Increasing | 4.40\% | High |

NOTES:

1) High variation defined as an $R$-squared vaule in the range of 0 to $30 \%$.
2) Moderate variation defined as an $R$-squared vaule in the range of $30 \%$ to $60 \%$.
3) Low variation defined as an $R$-squared vaule in the range of $60 \%$ to $100 \%$.

## APPENDIX A

1997 UST Closure Report and Supporting Documentation

# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM 



Closure Method (Check all that apply):

【 Removal
$\square$ Closure-In-Place
$\square$ Change-In-Service

Site Assessment Results (Check all that apply):
$\square$ No Obvious Contamination - Sample Results Meet Standards/Levels

No Obvious Contamination - Sample Results Do Not Meet Standards/LevelsObvious, Localized Contamination - Sample Results Meet Standards/Levels

【 Obvious, Localized Contamination - Sample Results Do Not Meet Standards/Leveis - Some

Obvious, Extensive Contamination

## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM

Owners who are permanently closing underground storage tanks may use this form to demonstrate that an underground storage tank closure was performed in accordance with the "Closure Requirements For Underground Storage Tank Systems" document. PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE. COMPLETE ALL QUESTIONS.

## SECTION I. Owner/Facility/Tank/Waste Management and Disposal Information

1. Facility ID Number
15-24418
2. Facility Name

Herr Foods Inc.
3. Facility County

Chester
4. Facility Municipality W. Nottingham
5. Facility Address

Route 272 \& Herr Drive, PO Box 300, Nottingham, PA 19362
6. Facility Contact Person

Steve Moran
7. Facility Telephone Number
(610)9326500
8. Owner Name

Herr Foods Inc.
9. Owner Mailing Address PO Box 300, Nottingham, PA 19362
10. Description of Underground Storage Tanks (Complete for each tank closed)



Yes N/A
11. Briefly describe the storage tank facility and the nature of the operations which were conducted at the facility (both historical and present) including use of tanks: Herr Foods Inc. is a potato chip, pretzel and other snack food manufacturer. The facility was a farm prior to the current use.
$\boxtimes \quad$ 12. A site location and sampling map of the site, drawn to scale, is attached. See page 11 of 11.
13. Original, color photographs of the closure process are attached (i.e., inside of excavation/piping runs, pit water, tanks showing condition).
$\boxtimes$ 14. An amended "Registration of Storage Tanks" form was submitted to the DEP, Bureau of Water Quality Management, Division of Storage Tanks, P.O. Box 8762, Harrisburg, PA 17105-8762.

Date: 6/16/97
$\boxtimes \quad \square \quad$ 15. If a reportable release was confirmed, the appropriate regional office of DEP was notified by the owner or operator.
Date: 6/6/97
Office: Southeast Regional

Yes N/A
16. If tanks were cleaned on-site:
a. Briefly describe the disposition of usable product: All usable product was utilized by the owner prior to tank cleaning and removal.
b. Briefly describe the disposal of unusable product, sludges, sediments, and wastewater generated during cleaning. Provide the name and permit number of the processing, treatment, storage or disposal facility. (Attach documentation of proper disposal): All tank liguids and bottom sediments were transported by Associated Environmental Technologies (MD2000006908) and transported to Internation Petroleum Corporation as Nonhazardous waste (MDD 985389816). Documentation attached.
c. If tank contents were determined/deemed to be hazardous waste, provide:
(1) Generator ID Number: N/A
(2) Licensed Hazardous Waste Transporter Name and ID Number: N/A
17. If tanks were removed from the site for cleaning:
a. Provide the name and permit number of the processing, treatment, storage or disposal facility performing the tank cleaning:
b. If tank contents were determined/deemed to be hazardous waste, provide:
(1) Generator ID Number:
(2) Licensed Hazardous Waste Transporter Name and ID Number:
18. Briefly describe the disposition of tanks/piping (Attach documentation of proper disposal): All tanks and associated piping were transported by Zadinsky Contractors for ultimate disposal at Luria Brothers located in Modena, PA. Proper docuemntation is attached.
$\boxtimes \quad \square \quad$ 19. If contaminated soil is excavated:
a. Briefly describe the disposition and amount approximatelv 1200 (tons) of contaminated soil. Provide the name and permit number of the processing, treatment, storage or disposal facility. (Attach documentation of proper disposal):
All excavated contaminated soil is properly stockpiled under plastic awaiting disposal at a licensed recycling facility. Proper disposal documentation will be forwarded when completed.
b. If contaminated soil is determined/deemed to be hazardous waste, provide:
(1) Generator ID Number:
(2) Licensed Hazardous Waste Transporter Name and ID Number:

Yes N/A
■ $\square$
20. Briefly describe the disposition of and amount 15 (tons) of uncontaminated soil (attach analyses): Approximately 15 tons of uncontaminated soil was backfilled into the waste oil UST excavation. Backfilling was completed after excavation and piping samples did not reveal impact from the removed UST. No backfill sample was required as per PADEP Technical Document, Page 17, section C.

1, STEUE MORAN, hereby certify, under penalty of law as provided in 18 Pa. C.S. S4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities) that I am the owner of the above referenced storage tank(s) and that the information provided by me in this closure report (Section I) is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM 

SECTION II. Tank Handling Information

Facility ID Number 15-24418

## Yes N/A

1. Briefly describe the excavation and initial on-site staging of uncontaminated/contaminated soil: All excavated soils were placed under 6 mil plastic awaiting testing and disposal options.
2. Briefly describe the method of piping system closure and the closure of the piping systems including the quantity and condition of the piping:
All piping systems were drained back to their respective tanks and any residuals were then vacuumed out. Upon removal, all piping exhibited external corrosion with the piping associated with Tanks 005 \& 006 being suspect at unions and connections under pumps. No visible holes were observed in any piping.
3. Briefly describe the condition of the tanks and any problems encountered during tank removal:

All tanks exhibited external corrosion and minor pitting upon inspection. Tank 005 (unl gas) exhibited several weep holes along the entire bottom. Tank 006 exhibited several holes along the bottom centerline of the tank.
4. Briefly describe the method used to purge the tanks of and monitor for explosive vapors:

Tanks were vacuumed out, purged with an air eductor (venturi) and monitored with an LEL/O2 meter prior to, during, and after cleaning..
$\boxtimes \quad$ 5. If tanks were cleaned on-site:
a. Briefly describe the tank cleaning process: The tanks were vacuumed out, squeegeed clean, and rag wiped dry with absorbent material.
b. If subcontracted, name and address of company that performed the tank cleaning:
$\boxtimes$ 6. If tanks were closed-in-place, briefly describe the tank fill material:

7. If contamination was suspected or observed, the "Notification of Contamination" form was submitted.

## SECTION II. (continued)

1, Michael Donovan, hereby certify, under penalty of law as provided in 18 PA. C.S. 54904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities) that I am the certified installer who performed the tank handling activities associated with the closure of the above referenced storage tanks) and that the information provided by me in this closure report (Section II) is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


2830
Installer Certification Number


36
Company Certification Number

Enercon Services, Inc.
Company Name

PO Box 174
Street

Bear, DE 19701
City/Town, State, Zip

302-834-9402

# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM 

## SECTION III. Site Assessment Information <br> Tank Registration \# SO 3 (complete one sheet for EACH tank system and attach ALL laboratory sheets pertaining to that system)

Facility ID Number
A. Provide depth of BEDROCK and WATER IE encountered during excavation or soil boring (write "N/A" if NOT encountered).
Bedrock N/A feet below land surface Water $N / A$ feet below land surface
B. Provide Length of PIPING IE piping was closed-in-place (write "N/A" if NOT closed-in-place).

Length of piping $N / A$ feet
C. TANK SYSTEM REMOVED FROM THE GROUND

1) Was obvious contamination observed while excavating?

Х NO ——. Conduct confirmatory sampling .......... See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records-...-...... Do not complete item C.2. below.
$\square \quad$ YES YES -...... Report release to DEP within 2 hours ——_ Describe contamination observed and likely source(s) (tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): ___ Complete item C.2. below.
2) Was contamination localized (within three feet of the tank system in every direction with no obvious water contamination)?
$\square \quad$ YES $\quad$ Remove or remediate contaminated soil -_....... Conduct confirmatory sampling -......... See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records---------- Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
$\square \quad \mathrm{N}$
NO -_-_Continue interim remedial actions See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records $\qquad$ Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
D. TANK SYSTEM CLOSED-IN-PLACE OR CHANGED-IN-SERVICE

Was obvious contamination observed during sampling, boring or assessing water depths?
$\square \quad$ NO - Conduct confirmatory sampling $\qquad$ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records.
$\square$ YES
Report release to DEP within 2 hours $\qquad$ Describe contamination observed and likely sources (i.e., tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): $\qquad$ .-......- Continue with corrective action See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records _-_-_ Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
E. If the answer to C.1. is "no", the answer to C.2. is "yes" or the answer to D. is "no", confirmatory samples are required. Use the sample/analysis information sheet on page 10 of $\mathbf{1 1}$ to provide the information on confirmatory sampling and complete the diagram on Page 11 of 11.

Options for Submission and Maintenance of Closure Site Assessment Records
Records of the site assessment must be maintained for at least three years after completion of permanent closure or change-in-service in one of the following ways:
(a) By the owners and operators who took the UST system out of service;
(b) By the current owners and operators of the UST system site; or
(c) By mailing these records to the implementing agency if they cannot be maintained at the closed facility.

At least one option must be chosen. If option (c) is chosen, the closure report form should be sent to the DEP regional office responsible for the county in which the tank was located.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that obvious, localized soil contamination was encountered and the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels below the statewide standard/action levels, this closure report form (Sections I, II, and III) or some other acceptable site characterization report must be received by the Department within 180 days of verbally reporting the release.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that no obvious contamination or obvious, localized contamination was encountered, but the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels above the statewide standard/action levels, or where there is obvious, extensive contamination, Section 245.310 (a)(8) of the CAP regulation requires that details of removal from service be included in the site characterization report. A copy of the completed closure report form should be submitted as part of the site characterization report to satisfy the requirements of Section 245.310(a)(8) of the CAP regulations.

I, Michael Williams, hereby certify, under penalty of law as provided in 18 Pa . C.S. S 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities) that $I$ am the person who performed the site assessment activities associated with the closure of the above referenced storage tanks) and that the information provided by me in this closure report (Section III) is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Signature of Person Performing Site Assessment

Project Manager
Title of Person Performing Site Assessment

# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM 

## SECTION III. Site Assessment Information <br> Tank Registration \# OOL (complete one sheet for EACH tank system and attach ALL laboratory sheets pertaining to that system)

Facility ID Number
A. Provide depth of BEDROCK and WATER IE encountered during excavation or soil boring (write "N/A" if NOT encountered).
Bedrock $N / A$ feet below land surface Water $N / A$ feet below land surface
B. Provide Length of PIPING if piping was closed-in-place (write "N/A" if NOT closed-in-place).

Length of piping $N / A$ feet

## C. TANK SYSTEM REMOVED FROM THE GROUND

1) Was obvious contamination observed while excavating?
$\square$ NO Conduct confirmatory sampling _-_ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records_—_D._Do not complete item C.2. below.

区 YES _ Report release to DEP within 2 hours $\quad$ Describe contamination obsenved and likely source(s) (tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): ___ Complete item C.2. below. - Elevated frela readings. Appeales to have migrateo from Tank oos Dispenser
2) Was contamination localized (within three feet of the tank system in every direction with no obvious water contamination)?
(X. YES Ree Rend of this section for options on submission and $\square$ Conduct confirmatory sampling See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records-an-_ Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
$\square \mathrm{N}$
NO Continue interim remedial actions $\qquad$ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records $\qquad$ Call indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).

## D. TANK SYSTEIA CLOSED-HN-PLACE OR CHANGED-IN-SERVICE

Was obvious comtemination observed during sampling, boring or assessing water depths?
$\square$ NO Conduct confirmatory sampling _ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of ctosure records.
$\square$ YES $\qquad$ Report release to DEP within 2 hours
-_Describe contamination observed and likely sources (i.e., tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfilis): $\qquad$ - Continue with corrective action See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
E. If the answer to C.1. is "no", the answer to C.2. is "yes" or the answer to D. is "no", confirmatory samples are required. Use the sample/analysis information sheet on page 10 of 41 to provide the information on confirmatory sampling and complete the diagram on Page 11 of 11.

## Options for Submission and Maintenance of Closure Site Assessment Records

Records of the site assessment must be maintained for at least three years after completion of permanent closure or change-in-service in one of the following ways:
(a) By the owners and operators who took the UST system out of service;
(b) By the current owners and operators of the UST system site; or
(c) By mailing these records to the implementing agency if they cannot be maintained at the closed facility.

At least one option must be chosen. If option (c) is chosen, the closure report form should be sent to the DEP regional office responsible for the county in which the tank was located.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that obvious, localized soil contamination was encountered and the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels below the statewide standard/action levels, this closure report form (Sections I, II, and III) or some other acceptable site characterization report must be received by the Department within 180 days of verbally reporting the release.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that no obvious contamination or obvious, localized contamination was encountered, but the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels above the statewide standard/action levels, or where there is obvious, extensive contamination, Section 245.310(a)(8) of the CAP regulation requires that details of removal from service be included in the site characterization report. A copy of the completed closure report form should be submitted as part of the site characterization report to satisfy the requirements of Section 245.310(a)(8) of the CAP regulations.

1. Michael Williams, hereby certify, under penalty of law as provided in 18 Pa . C.S. S4904 (relating to unswom falsification to authorities) that I am the person who performed the site assessment activities associated with the closure of the above referenced storage tanks) and that the information provided by me in this closure report (Section III) is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Signature of Person Performing Site Assessment

Project Manager
Title of Person Performing Site Assessment

7/7/97
Date

Clayton Services Corporation

[^7]
# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM 

## SECTION III. Site Assessment Information <br> Tank Registration \# OOS (complete one sheet for EACH tank system and attach ALL laboratory sheets pertaining to that system)

Facility ID Number

A. Provide depth of BEDROCK and WATER IF encountered during excavation or soil boring (write "N/A" if NOT encountered).
Bedrock $N / A$ feet below land surface Water $N / A$ feet below land surface
B. Provide Length of PIPING IE piping was closed-in-place (write "N/A" if NOT closed-in-place).

Length of piping $N / A$ feet

## C. TANK SYSTEM REMOVED FROM THE GROUND

1) Was obvious contamination observed while excavating?
$\square$ NO .--.... Conduct confirmatory sampling
 See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records-............. Do not complete item C.2. below.

X YES Mn...... Report release to DEP within 2 hours Mm..... Describe contamination observed and likely source (s) (tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): $\qquad$ - Complete item C.2. below. - Wisp holes in tank seams
2) Was contamination localized (within three feet of the tank system in every direction with no obvious water contamination)?
YES $\quad$ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records-ampling - Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
$\square$ NO $\qquad$ Continue interim remedial actions
__ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records $\qquad$ Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
D. TANK SYSTEM CLOSED-IN-PLACE OR CHANGED-IN-SERVICE

Was obvious contamination observed during sampling, boring or assessing water depths?
$\square$ NO -... Conduct confirmatory samplingSee end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records.
$\square$ YES $\qquad$ Report release to DEP within 2 hours
 Describe contamination observed and likely sources (ie., tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): $\qquad$ -----...-. Continue with corrective action -...... See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records $\qquad$ Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
E. If the answer to C.1. is "no", the answer to C.2. is "yes" or the answer to D. is "no", confirmatory samples are required. Use the sample/analysis information sheet on page 10 of 11 to provide the information on confirmatory sampling and complete the diagram on Page 11 of 11.

## Options for Submission and Maintenance of Closure Site Assessment Records

Records of the site assessment must be maintained for at least three years after completion of permanent closure or change-in-service in one of the following ways:
(a) By the owners and operators who took the UST system out of service;
(b) By the current owners and operators of the UST system site; or
(c) By mailing these records to the implementing agency if they cannot be maintained at the closed facility.

At least one option must be chosen. If option (c) is chosen, the closure report form should be sent to the DEP regional office responsible for the county in which the tank was located.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that obvious, localized soil contamination was encountered and the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels below the statewide standard/action levels, this closure report form (Sections I, II, and III) or some other acceptable site characterization report must be received by the Department within 180 days of verbally reporting the release.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that no obvious contamination or obvious, localized contamination was encountered, but the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels above the statewide standard/action levels, or where there is obvious, extensive contamination, Section $245.310(a)(8)$ of the CAP regulation requires that details of removal from service be included in the site characterization report. A copy of the completed closure report form should be submitted as part of the site characterization report to satisfy the requirements of Section 245.310(a)(8) of the CAP reguiations.

I, Michael Williams, hereby certify, under penalty of law as provided in 18 Pa . C.S. S 4904 (relating to unswom falsification to authorities) that I am the person who performed the site assessment activities associated with the closure of the above referenced storage tank(s) and that the information provided by me in this closure report (Section III) is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Signature of Person Performing Site Assessment

Project Manager
Title of Person Performing Site Assessment

7/7/97
Date

Clayton Services Corporation
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# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM 

## SECTION III. Site Assessment Information <br> Tank Registration \# OOL (complete one sheet for EACH tank system and attach ALL laboratory sheets pertaining to that system)

## Facility ID Number

A. Provide depth of BEDROCK and WATER IE encountered during excavation or soil boring (write "N/A" if NOT encountered),
Bedrock $P / A$ feet below land surface Water $N / \Delta$ feet below land surface
B. Provide Length of PIPING $\mathbb{E}$ piping was closed-in-place (write "N/A" if NOT closed-in-place).

Length of piping $N / A$ fee
C. TANK SYSTEM REMOVED FROM THE GROUND

1) Was obvious contamination observed while excavating?
$\square$ NO - Conduct confirmatory sampling - $\qquad$ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records-_-...-...... Do not complete item C.2. below.
( YES ——_ Report release to DEP within 2 hours --_ Describe contamination observed and likely sources) (tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): $\qquad$ - Complete item C.2. below. - Hokes in bottom of Tank.
2) Was contamination localized (within three feet of the tank system in every direction with no obvious water contamination)?
区

## YES

$\qquad$ Remove or remediate contaminated soil $\qquad$ Conduct confirmatory sampling See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure recordsCall Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
$\square \quad$ NO _-_ Continue interim remedial actions _-_._. See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records $\qquad$ Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
D. TANK SYSTEM CLOSED-IN-PLACE OR CHANGED-IN-SERVICE

Was obvious contamination observed during sampling, boring or assessing water depths? $\square$ NO Conduct confirmatory sampling ——_ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records.
$\square$ YES $\qquad$ Report release to DEP within 2 hours $\qquad$ sources (i.e., tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): $\qquad$ - Describe contamination observed and rely sources (ie., tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overniss and maintenance of closure records ant Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
E. If the answer to C.1. is "no", the answer to C.2. is "yes" or the answer to D. is "no", confirmatory samples are required. Use the sample/analysis information sheet on page 10 of 11 to provide the information on confirmatory sampling and complete the diagram on Page 11 of 11.

## Options for Submission and Maintenance of Closure Site Assessment Records

Records of the site assessment must be maintained for at least three years after completion of permanent closure or change-in-service in one of the following ways:
(a) By the owners and operators who took the UST system out of service;
(b) By the current owners and operators of the UST system site; or
(c) By mailing these records to the implementing agency if they cannot be maintained at the closed facility.

At least one option must be chosen. If option (c) is chosen, the closure report form should be sent to the DEP regional office responsible for the county in which the tank was located.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that obvious, localized soil contamination was encountered and the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels below the statewide standard/action levels, this closure report form (Sections I, II, and III) or some other acceptable site characterization report must be received by the Department within 180 days of verbally reporting the release.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that no obvious contamination or obvious, localized contamination was encountered, but the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels above the statewide standard/action levels, or where there is obvious, extensive contamination, Section $245.310(a)(8)$ of the CAP regulation requires that details of removal from service be included in the site characterization report. A copy of the completed closure report form should be submitted as part of the site characterization report to satisfy the requirements of Section 245.310(a)(8) of the CAP regulations.

I, Michael Williams, hereby certify, under penalty of law as provided in 18 Pa . C.S. S4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities) that I am the person who performed the site assessment activities associated with the closure of the above referenced storage tank(s) and that the information provided by me in this closure report (Section III) is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Project Manager
Title of Person Performing Site Assessment

# UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK SYSTEM CLOSURE REPORT FORM 

## SECTION III. Site Assessment Information <br> Tank Registration $\#$ 307 (complete one sheet for EACH tank system and attach ALL laboratory sheets pertaining to that system)

Facility ID Number
A. Provide depth of BEDROCK and WATER IF encountered during excavation or soil boring (write "N/A" if NOT encountered).
Bedrock $N / A$ feet below land surface Water $N / A$ feet below land surface
B. Provide Length of PIPING IF piping was closed-in-place (write "N/A" if NOT closed-in-place).

Length of piping $N / A$ feet

## C. TANK SYSTEM REMOVED FROM THE GROUND

1) Was obvious contamination observed while excavating?

X NO - Conduct confirmatory sampling _-_ See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records_-........... Do not complete item C.2. below.

YES $\qquad$ Report release to DEP within 2 hours $\qquad$ Describe contamination observed and likely source(s) (tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): ___ Complete item C.2. below.
2) Was contamination localized (within three feet of the tank system in every direction with no obvious water contamination)?
$\square$ YES $\qquad$ Remove or remediate contaminated soil $\qquad$ Conduct confimatory sampling See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure recordsCall Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
$\square \quad$ NO _._ Continue interim remedial actions _-... See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records --.-.-.-. Call Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).

## D. TANK SYSTEM CLOSED-IN-PLACE OR CHANGED-IN-SERVICE

Was obvious contamination observed during sampling, boring or assessing water depths?
NO -... Conduct confirmatory samplingSee end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records.
$\square$ YES -m Report release to DEP within 2 hours $\qquad$ Describe contamination observed and likely sources (i.e., tank, piping, dispenser, spills, overfills): $\qquad$ .-....... Continue with corrective action See end of this section for options on submission and maintenance of closure records Indemnification Fund (717-787-0763).
E. If the answer to C.1. is "no", the answer to C.2. is "yes" or the answer to D. is "no", confirmatory samples are required. Use the sample/analysis information sheet on page 10 of 11 to provide the information on confirmatory sampling and complete the diagram on Page 11 of 14.

## Options for Submission and Maintenance of Closure Site Assessment Records

Records of the site assessment must be maintained for at least three years after completion of permanent closure or change-in-service in one of the following ways:
(a) By the owners and operators who took the UST system out of service;
(b) By the current owners and operators of the UST system site; or
(c) By mailing these records to the implementing agency if they cannot be maintained at the closed facility.

At least one option must be chosen. If option (c) is chosen, the closure report form should be sent to the DEP regional office responsible for the county in which the tank was located.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that obvious, localized soil contamination was encountered and the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels below the statewide standard/action levels, this closure report form (Sections I, II, and III) or some other acceptable site characterization report must be received by the Department within 180 days of verbally reporting the release.

Where the results of the site assessment indicate that no obvious contamination or obvious, localized contamination was encountered, but the analytical results of the confirmatory sampling show levels above the statewide standard/action levels, or where there is obvious, extensive contamination, Section $245.310(a)(8)$ of the CAP regulation requires that details of removal from service be included in the site characterization report. A copy of the completed closure report form should be submitted as part of the site characterization report to satisfy the requirements of Section 245.310(a)(8) of the CAP regulations.

1, Michael Williams, hereby certify, under penalty of law as provided in 18 Pa . C.S. S4904 (relating to unswom falsification to authorities) that I am the person who performed the site assessment activities associated with the closure of the above referenced storage tanks) and that the information provided by me in this closure report (Section III) is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Signature of Person Performing Site Assessment

Project Manager
Title of Person Performing Site Assessment

7/7/97
Date

## Clayton Services Corporation

[^9]UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLOSURE REPORT FORM

## SAMPLE/ANALYSIS INFORMATION (Attachment for Section III)

LOCATION: Herr Foods Inc., Route 272 \& Herr Drive, Nottingham, PA

| SAMPLE ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC METHOD | 開EDIA | RESULTS (unlts) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE SAMPLE TAKEN | DATE SAMPLE ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007-1 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-1 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-1 | XYLENES | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-1 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-1 | PYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <,03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { BENZO- } \\ \text { FLUORANTHENE } \end{gathered}$ | EPA 8270 B | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-1 | BENZO- <br> ANTHRACENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-1 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL. | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-1 | INDENOPYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <.03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-1 | BENZOPERYLENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-1 | LEAD (TOTAL) | 7421 | SOIL | < 6 ppm | 6 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/5/97 |


| SAMPLE ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS (units) | DETECTION LIMIT (units) | DATE SAMPLE TAKEN | DATE SAMPLE ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007-2 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-2 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <,005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-2 | XYLENES | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-2 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <,005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-2 | PYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-2 | BENZOFLUÓRANTHENE | EPA 82708 | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-2 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA $8270 B$ | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-2 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA $8270 B$ | SOIL | <, 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-2 | INDENOPYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | .03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-2 | BENZOPERYLENE | EPA $8270 B$ | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-2 | LEAD (TOTAL) | 7421 | SOIL | 14 ppm | 6 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/5/97 |


| SAMPLE ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS (unlts) | DETECTION LIMIT (units) | DATE SAMPLE TAKEN | DATE SAMPLE ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 007-P | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <,005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-P | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-P | XYLENES | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <,005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-P | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 5/28/97 | 5/30/97 |
| 007-P | PYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-P | BENZOFLUORANTHENE | EPA $8270 B$ | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-P | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-P | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL. | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-P | INDENOPYRENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-P | BENZOPERYLENE | EPA 8270B | SOIL. | <.03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 007-P | LEAD (TOTAL) | 7421 | SOIL | 7 ppm | 6 ppm | 5/28/97 | 6/5/97 |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $003-1$ | TPH | EPA 418.1 | SOIL | $<5 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 5 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 6 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $003-2$ | TPH | EPA 418.1 | SOIL | $<5 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 5 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 6 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $003-3$ | TPH | EPA 418.1 | SOIL | $<5 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 5 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 6 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (unlts) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (unlts) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $003-P$ | TPH | EPA 418.1 | SOIL | $<5 \mathrm{ppm}$ | 5 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 6 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 004-1 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $004-1$ | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $004-1$ | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $004-1$ | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $004-1$ | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $\mathbf{0 0 4 - 1}$ | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .014 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $\mathbf{0 0 4 - 1}$ | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .024 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $\mathbf{0 0 4 - 1}$ | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $\mathbf{0 0 4 - 1}$ | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS (units) | DETECTION LIMIT (units) | DATE SAMPLE TAKEN | DATE SAMPLE ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 004-2 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-2 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <,005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-2 | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-2 | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-2 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-2 | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | 2.8 ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-2 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | . 031 ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-2 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | <, 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 004-2 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/12/97 |
| SAMPLE ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE SAMPLE TAKEN | DATE SAMPLE ANALYZE |
| 004-3 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <,005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-3 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | .005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-3 | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-3 | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOlL | <,005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-3 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | <.005ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-3 | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | . 044 ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-3 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | . 018 ppm | . 005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| 004-3 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | <, 03 ppm | .03 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/12/97 |
| 004-3 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | <. 03 ppm | . 03 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/12/97 |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $005-1$ | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 9 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 9 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 9 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 9 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 9 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 9 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 9 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL. | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $005-1$ | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (unlts) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $005-2$ | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | $<.005 p \mathrm{pm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $005-2$ | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (unlts) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $005-3$ | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 p p m$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $005-3$ | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI-1 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .007 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .027 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| PI-1 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI-4 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 p p m$ | .005 ppm | 6/4/97 | 6/13/97 |
| Pl-4 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 p p m$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-4 | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 p p m$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-4 | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 p p m$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-4 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | $<.005 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-4 | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .019 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-4 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .009 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-4 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| Pl-4 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pl-5 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .007 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | 6/13/97 |
| PI-5 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .082 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-5 | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .540 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-5 | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | 5.70 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-5 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .660 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-5 | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | 2.30 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-5 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | 9.80 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-5 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| Pl-5 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI-6 | BENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .010 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-6 | TOLUENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .010 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-6 | ETHYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .049 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-6 | XYLENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .480 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-6 | ISOPROPYLBENZENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | .021 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| Pl-6 | MTBE | EPA 8021A | SOIL. | 4.40 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-6 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8021A | SOIL | 1.20 ppm | .005 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 13 / 97$ |
| PI-6 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| PI-6 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (unIts) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI-2 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03$ PPM | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | 6/12/97 |
| PI-2 | FLUORENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| PI-2 | PHENANTHRENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| PI-2 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| PI-2 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $006-1$ | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03$ PPM | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-1$ | FLUORENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL. | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-1$ | PHENANTHRENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-1$ | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-1$ | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (units) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $006-2$ | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03$ PPM | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-2$ | FLUORENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-2$ | PHENANTHRENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL. | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-2$ | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-2$ | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL. | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (unIts) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $006-3$ | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{PPM}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-3$ | FLUORENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-3$ | PHENANTHRENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-3$ | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| $006-3$ | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |


| SAMPLE <br> ID | PARAMETER | ANALYTIC <br> METHOD | MEDIA | RESULTS <br> (units) | DETECTION <br> LIMIT <br> (unIts) | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> TAKEN | DATE <br> SAMPLE <br> ANALYZE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PI-3 | NAPHTHALENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | .08 PPM | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| PI-3 | FLUORENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | .23 ppm | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| Pl-3 | PHENANTHRENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | .33 ppm | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| Pl-3 | BENZOANTHRACENE | EPA 8270 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |
| Pl-3 | BENZOPYRENE | EPA 8020 | SOIL | $<.03 \mathrm{ppm}$ | .03 ppm | $6 / 4 / 97$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ |

# ILFC Laboratory Report 

## Clayton Services Corp.

1201 Bethlehem Pike, Suite 105 North Wales PA
(215) 362-6400

| Project No: | Not Given <br> Her Foods Inc. <br> Nottingham, PA |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Location: |  |  |
| Sampler: | Michael Williams <br> D/215) | (21562-6400 |
| Date Sampled: | $5 / 28 / 97$ |  |
| Date Received: | $5 / 30 / 97$ |  |
| Date Reported: | $06 / 16 / 1997$ |  |
| Report\#: | 97091 |  |



ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | 5/28/97 | Clayton Services Corp. |  | 007-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registed Datertime: | 05/30/1997 10:57:06 AM | Herr Foods Ine. |  |  |
|  |  | Sont | ILFC 矣 | 10418 |
| Eatch | 97091 |  |  |  |


| EPA Method 82703 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.12 | 6/12/97 | Kay Baker |
| FFluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 60.03 |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | 0.03 mg/kg | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | <0.03 |  |  |
| Pyrene | $10.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 00.03 |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fluoranthene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Indeno(123-col)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.03 |  |  |
| Qenzo(gh)perylene | $10.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 10.03 |  | --- |

Total Lead - Method 6010

| Analyte | Concentration | MDL | Date Anatyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead | 66 | $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $6 / 6 / 97$ | Robert Furlong |

Percent Hfoisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16.4 |  | Cindy Logan |


| Waste Motor Oil (PA) Mrethod 8260A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Resutit | MDL | Units | $E$ |
| Benzene | 45 | 5 | Mofkg (ppb) |  |
| Toluene | 45 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
| Xyienes | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
| Naphthalene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
|  |  | 5 | ugikg (ppb) |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker | 5 | ugikg (ppb) |  |
| Date Analyzed | 513097 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | 5/28/97 | Clayton Services Corp. |  | $007-2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Daterime: | 05/3014997 10:57:23 AM | Herr Foods inc. |  |  |
|  |  | Soil | ILFC W | 10420 |


| EPA Method 82708 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12997$ | Kay Baker |
| Fluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 10.03 |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |  |  |
| Berzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 00.03 |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1<0.03$ |  |  |
| Pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(b)fuoranthene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | <0.03 |  |  |
| Indeno(123-ed)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 60.03 |  |  |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 10.03 |  |  |

Total Lead - Method 6010

| Analyte |  | Concentration | MDL | Date Analyzed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lead | 14 | $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $6 / 6 / 97$ | Analyst |

Percent Moisture

| Porcent Moisture |  | Date Analyzed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 191.8 | $8 / 5 / 97$ | Anatyst |


| Wasto Mfotor Oil (PA)-method 8260A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | MDL | Units | $E$ |
| Benzene | $<5$ | 5 | pugkg (ppb) |  |
| Toluene | 55 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
| Xyienes | -5 | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |  |
| Naphthalene | < | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
|  |  | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
| Date Anzlyzed | 5/30/97 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |

ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | 5/28/97 | Clayton Services Corp. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | 05/30/4997 10:57:31 AM | Her Foods Inc. | 007-P |
|  | 97091 | Soil | HLFCH |


| EPA 俱ethod 82708 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | 6/12/97 | Kay Baker |
| Fluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 180.03 |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.07 |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 40.03 |  |  |
| Benzo(b)nuoranthene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |  |  |
| Pndeno( 123 -cd)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |  |  |
| Benzo(ghi)perylene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.03 |  |  |

Total Lead - Method 6010

| Analyte | Concentration | MDL | Date Analyzed | Andyst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lead | 7 | $6 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $6 / 6 / 97$ | Robert Furlong |

Percent Moisture

| $\%$ Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15.8 | $6 / 5 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

Waste Miotor Oil (PA) Hethod 8260A

| Waste Motor Oil (PA)-method 8260A |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anatyto | Result | MDL | Units | E |
| Benzene | 45 | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |  |
| Toluene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
| Xyienes | $<5$ | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |  |
| Naphthalene | $\leqslant 5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
|  |  | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |  |
| Oate Anatyzed | 5/3097 | 5 | ughkg (ppb) |  |

# ILFC Laboratory Report 

## Clayton Services Corp.

1201 Bethlehem Pike, Suite 105 North Wales PA (215) 362-6400

| Project No: | Not Given |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Project Location: | Herr Foods, Inc. <br> Nottingham, PA |  |
| Sampler: | Michael Williams | (215) 362-6400 |
| Date Sampled: | $6 / 4 / 97$ |  |
| Date Received: | $6 / 6 / 97$ |  |
| Date Reported: | $06 / 16 / 1997$ |  |
| Report \#: | 97094 |  |



## ILFC Laboratory Report




End of Analyses

## ILFC Laboratory Report



## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: <br> Registered Date/Time: |  | 6/4/97 |  | Clayton Services Corp. |  |  | 003-P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 06/06/1997 11:19:12 AM |  | Herr Foods, Inc. |  |  |  |
|  | ch \# | 97094 |  | Soil |  | ILFC \# | 10437 |
| Method: EPA 418.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | alysis | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |  |
|  | TPH |  | $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<5$ | '6/6/97 | Cindy Logan |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report




## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | 6/4/97 | Clayton Services Corp. |  | 004-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | 06/06/1997 11:29:36 AM | Herr Foods, Inc. |  |  |
| ch\# | 97094 | Soil | ILFC \# | 10440 |


| Unleaded Gasoline (PA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | - Result | MDL | Units |
| Benzene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Toluene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Ethylbenzene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| m,p-Xylene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| o-Xylene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| MTBE | 44 | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |
| Isopropylbenzene | < 5 | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |
| Naphthalene | 18 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Data Analyzed | 6/13/97 |  |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker |  |  |

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 14.1 | $6 / 9 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |


| 8270PA (Gasoline) |
| :--- |
| Analyte MDL Concentration Date Analyzed Analyst <br> Benzo(a)anthracene $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ $<0.03$ $6 / 12 / 97$ Kay Baker <br> Benzo(a)pyrene $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ $<0.03$   |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | $6 / 4 / 97$ | Clayton Services Corp. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | 06/06/1997 11:29:42 AM | Herr Foods, Inc. | 005-1 |
|  | 97094 | Soil | ILFC \# |


| Unleaded Gasoline (PA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | MDL | Units |
| Benzene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Toluene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Ethylbenzene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| m,p-Xylene | < 5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| o-Xylene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| MTBE | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| lsopropylbenzene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Naphthalene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Data Analyzed | '6/9/97 |  |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker |  |  |

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.0 | $6 / 9 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

8270PA (Gasoline)

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

Sample Date: 6/4/9

Registered Date/Time: 06/06/1997 11:29:48 AM
Clayton Services Corp.
Herr Foods, Inc.

Soil
ILFC \#

| Unleaded Gasoline (PA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | MDL | Units |
| Benzene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Toluene | $<5$ | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |
| Ethylbenzene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| m,p-Xylene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| O-Xylene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| MTBE | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Isopropylbenzene | <5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Naphthalene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Data Analyzed | 6/13/97 |  |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker |  |  |

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 14.6 | $6 / 9 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

8270PA (Gasoline)

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | 6/4/97 | Clayton Services Corp. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | 06/06/1997 11:29:54 AM | Herr Foods, Inc. | 005-3 |  |
| $\therefore$ ch\# | 97094 | Soil | LLFC \# | $\mathbf{1 0 4 4 3}$ |


| Unleaded Gasoline (PA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | MDL | Units |
| Benzene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Toluene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Ethylbenzene | < 5 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| m,p-Xylene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| o-Xylene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| MTBE | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Isopropylbenzene | < 5 | 5 | Jug/kg (ppb) |
| Naphthalene | $<5$ | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Data Analyzed | 6/13/97 |  |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker |  |  |

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15.4 | $6 / 9 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

8270PA (Gasoline)

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report




ILFC \# 10444

Unleaded Gasoline (PA)

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16.0 | $6 / 9 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

8270PA (Gasoline)

| 8270PA (Gasoline) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | 6/4/97 | Clayton Services Corp. |  | PI-5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | 06/06/1997 11:30:08 AM | Herr Foods, Inc. |  |  |
| , ch\# | 97094 | Soil | ILFC \# | 10445 |

## Unleaded Gasoline (PA)

| Analyte | Result | MDL | Units |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzene | 7.0 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| Toluene | 82.0 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| Ethylbenzene | 540.0 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| m,p-Xylene | 3200.0 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| $0-$-ylene | 2500 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| MTBE | 2300 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{(ppb)}$ |
| lsopropylbenzene | 660 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
| Naphthalene | 9800 | 5 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}(\mathrm{ppb})$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Data Analyzed | $6 / 13 / 97$ |  |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker |  |  |

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 18.6 | $6 / 9 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

8270PA (Gasoline)

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | $6 / 4 / 97$ | Clayton Services Corp. | PI-6 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | $06 / 06 / 1997$ 11:30:21 AM | Herr Foods, Inc. |  |
|  |  | Soil | ILFC \# |
| ch \# | 97094 |  | 10446 |


| Unleaded Gasoline (PA) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analyte | Result | MDL | Units |
| Benzene | 10.0 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Toluene | 10.0 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Ethylbenzene | 49.0 | 5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ppb) |
| m,p-Xylene | 270.0 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| o-Xylene | 210 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| MTBE | 4400 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Isopropylbenzene | 21 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
| Naphthalene | 1200 | 5 | ug/kg (ppb) |
|  |  |  |  |
| Data Analyzed | 6/13/97 |  |  |
| Analyst | Kay Baker |  |  |

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 22.4 | $6 / 10 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

8270PA (Gasoline)

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | 6/4/97 | Clayton Services Corp. |  | P1-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | 06/06/1997 11:31:11 AM | Herr Foods, Inc. |  |  |
| tch \# | 97094 | Soil | ILFC \# | 10448 |

## Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 18.3 | $6 / 10 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

EPA Method 8270B

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Fluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | $6 / 4 / 97$ | Clayton Services Corp. | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Registered Date/Time: | $06 / 06 / 1997$ | Herr Foods, Inc. | $006-1$ |
| ch $\#$ | 97094 | Soil | ILFC \# |

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19.4 | $6 / 10 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

EPA Method 8270B

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Fluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | $6 / 4 / 97$ | Clayton Services Corp. | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Registered Date/Time: | $06 / 06 / 199711: 31: 38 \mathrm{AM}$ | Herr Foods, Inc. | $006-2$ |
| $\vdots$ |  | Soil | ILFC \# |

Percent Moisture

| $\%$ Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20.8 | $6 / 10 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

EPA Method 8270B

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Fluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

## ILFC Laboratory Report

| Sample Date: | $6 / 4 / 97$ | Clayton Services Corp. | $006-3$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Registered Date/Time: | $06 / 06 / 199711: 31: 44$ AM | Herr Foods, Inc. | 0 |
| tch \# | 97094 | Soil | ILFC \# |


| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 21.1 | $6 / 10 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

EPA Method 8270B

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Fluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |

# ILFC Laboratory Report 

| Sample Date: | $6 / 4 / 97$ | Clayton Services Corp. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Registered Date/Time: | $06 / 06 / 1997$ 11:31:20 AM | Herr Foods, Inc. |$\quad$ PI-3

Percent Moisture

| \% Moisture | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22.2 | $6 / 10 / 97$ | Cindy Logan |

EPA Method 8270B

| Analyte | MDL | Concentration | Date Analyzed | Analyst |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Naphthalene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.08 | $6 / 12 / 97$ | Kay Baker |
| Fluorene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.23 |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 0.33 |  |  |
| Benzo(a)anthracene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |
| Benzo(a)pyrene | $0.03 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<0.03$ |  |  |




# CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION 

Environmental Compliance Consulting \& Contracting


1201 Bethlehem Pike, Suite 105, North Walles, PA 19454
(215) $362-6400$
(215) 362-6481 FAX

Project: Herr Foods Inc. Nottingham, PA

- 30 day Closure notification
- Ammended registration
- Notice of Reportable Release/Notice of Contamination

ATTACHMENT 2

DEPARPARENT OP ENVIMENAENYAL RESOURCES
bUREAU OF WATEr QUALITY MAANAGEAENY
DIVISION OF STORAGE TANKS

DATE ARCEIVID: $\qquad$

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK CLOSURE NOTIFICATION FORM

NOTE: Notification of permanent closure must be received by the appropriate regional office of the Department at least 30 days prior to initiation of the closure activities.



| VI．Description of Underground Storage Tanks（Complete tor each tank undorgong closure） |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pank Repistration Number |  | 003 | O04 | 005 |  |
| Date of Tank installation | MonthNear | N／A | $N / \mathrm{A}$ | $N / \mathrm{a}$ | N／4 |
| Estrimated Total Capacriy（Gallons） |  | 4，000 | 4，000 | 15，000 | 12，000 |
| Tank Materiel dof Construction |  | STEC1． | STEs．1 | Stsel | Diess |
| Substance（s）Stored Throughout Operating Lfe of Tank <br> （Check All That Apply） | B．Petrolaum Unleaded Gssoline Leaded Gasoline Avistion Gasoline Kerosene jet Fuel <br> Diesel Fuel <br> fuel Oil No． 1 <br> Fuel Oil No． 2 <br> FuelOil No． 4 <br> Fuel Oil No． 5 <br> Fuel Oil No 6 <br> New Motor Oil <br> Used Motor Oil <br> Other，Please Specify <br> b．Hazerdous Substance Name of Prancipal CERCLA Substance AND <br> Chemicel Abstract Service（CAS）No． <br> c．Unknown |  | 風 <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ | $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> 0 <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> 0 <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> 0 <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br>  |  |
| Proposed Tank Closure Method （Check Only One） | a．Removal <br> b．Closure－in－Place <br> c．Chenge－In－Service | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 区 } \\ & \square \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 迢 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & K_{1} \\ & \square \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Tank Registration Number |  | 607 |  |  |  |
| Date of Tank Installation（Manth／rear） |  | $\sim / \mathrm{A}$ |  |  |  |
| Estimated Total Capacity（Galions） |  | 1，000 |  |  |  |
| Tank Material of Construction |  | STES |  |  |  |
| Substance（s）Stored Throughout Operating Life of Tank <br> （Check All That Apply） | a．Petroleum Unleaded Gasoline Leaded Gasoline Aviation Gasoline Kerosene Jet fuel Diesel fuel fuel Oil No． 1 Fuel Oil No 2 Fuel Oil No． 4 Fuel OilNo． 5 Fuel Oil No． 6 New Motar Oll Used Motor Oil Other．Please Specify <br> b．Hazardous Substance Name of Principal CERCLA Substance AND Chemical Abstract Service（CAS）No <br> c．Unknown |  |  | $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ | $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ <br> $\square$ |
| Proposed Tank Closure Method （Check Only One） | a．Removal <br> b．Closure－in－place <br> c．Change－In－Service | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 苞 } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & 0 \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |

## REGISTRATION OF STORAGE TANKS





## 1. PURPOSE OF SUBMITTAL (Check (V) Those That Apply)



- Initial Hegistretion
- Regirtration for Remonal of Uniogistered Tenk(k)
- Regigtration for UnRegistered Tank(s) closed in Place
 - Cherve in mevious info Ye Adeling Tenk(t)
 0 Chengefrem Reguleted wo R Rogutaced furtity) Unrogulated Subatence or Use a Some Tanks (huloceted to a New Fecility - Relocented Tenk(a)


CMAMr: Of OHANERH:
0 sed a Purchesed

- Some Tonks Muth Romotn at Sume Fecility
and the Tanks are to be Regietered)


## II. TANK OWNER / BUSINESS INFORMATION (Type or Print Legiby)

A. DEP CLENT ID NO. (STATE USE ONLY)

Federal Tex ID No. (EIN or SSN)
Owner Name Hshk Foads Inc.
Address RouTz $272+$ HERR DRive
City Nottingham state PA Zip 19362 Phone No. (lic) 932 . lasce
County CHESTER Municipality W. Nostingham jype of Owner/Business (Check Onty One )

| כ | Vol. Fire Co./EMS Org. | Y Corparate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Feder l Government | - Private (Pusiness) |
| $\square$ | State Government | - Private (Residential) |
| - | Local Government |  |

I State Government $\square$ Private (Residential)

- Local Government
B. CHANGE OF OWNERSHIP

This section is to be complered in addition to all sections if some or all tenks have been sodedtransferred or purchesed.)

Effective Date of Cherge
Sold/Transtersed To
( New Owner Name)
(Naw Owner Address)

Purchased/Transfeyred From
(Previous Owner Nome)
(Provious Owener Address)
(Previous facility to wo.)
(Previous Tank No.(N))

1L. FACILTY INFORMATION (Type of Print Leqibly)


DEP Facility ID No． $1 \leq-24418$ Facility Name＿HERE Focosis INe
IV．DESCRIPTION OF STORAGE TANKS Gype or print legibly each regulated storage tank at this facility under your ownership．）
ABOVEGROUND TANKS List all tanks．If amending information，identify the Amended rank（s）with an asterisk（ ${ }^{\circ}$ ）to the left of the tank

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tank } \\ \text { Number } \end{gathered}$ | ［ |  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Remove } \\ \text { DDate } \\ \text { (Mo-Day.ver }) \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { capaity } \\ \text {（Colom）}}}{\substack{\text { and }}}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { rank Exempt } \\ \text { Referencre } \\ \text { Code } \\ \text { (See madewtions) } \end{array} \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bigcirc \bigcirc 62 A$ | C |  |  | －－－ 4.500 |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  | －－－－－ |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  | －－－－－ |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  | －－－－－－ |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  | －ハーー－－ |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  | －－－m－－－ |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  | －－－－－ |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  | －－－－－ |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status C | codes： | c． 0 | in | T－Temporarily Out of Use； | R －Rem | or Cosed in Place |  |  |

B．UNDERGROUND TANKS List all tanks．If amending information，dentify the Amended Tank（s）with an asterisk（ ${ }^{\circ}$ ）to the left of the tank number．

|  | S | $\begin{gathered} \text { Install } \\ \text { (Moate } \\ \text { (Mo. Dey. } \end{gathered}$ | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Remove } \\ \text { (motere } \\ \text { (motyr-ver } \end{array}\right\|$ | $\underset{\text { capacty }}{\substack{\text { capaity } \\ \text {（allom）}}}$ |  |  |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} \text { Tank Exempt } \\ \text { Reference } \\ \text { Coode } \\ \text { (Soe nstructionss } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Con | C |  |  | 20，0으 |  |  |  |  |
| 003 | R |  | 5last | $-2.4 .000$ | F | Nisa motar ail |  |  |
| 004 | $R$ |  | 5788197 | －－－－ 4.608 | A | Gasalios |  |  |
| 005 | $R$ |  | $6 \cdot 4 / 97$ | －－15．000 | A | Gasuohine |  |  |
| 006 | $R$ |  | 6／4／97 | －－L2．000 | R | Diesel |  |  |
| ¢07 | R |  | 5／08／97 | －－－ 1.000 | $G$ | USES motor oil |  |  |
| 008 |  | 6／19／97 |  | －－10，으의 | A | GAS |  |  |
| 309 |  | $6 / 19 / 97$ |  | －－－10．000 | B | Diesel |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | －－－ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | －ーイーー＇ー－ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status Codes：C－Currently in Uise；$\quad$ T－Temporarily Out of Use；$\quad$ R－Removed or Closed in Place |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| V．OWNER CERTIFICATION（Read and Sign After Completing Sectionst through v．） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I ceraty under penalty of law that i have personally examined and an familiar with the information submitted in fis and dil attached decuments，and that based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information，I believe that the submitted information is true，accurate，and complete．This registration is conditioned upon compliarke with provisions ofthe storage Tank and Spill Prevention Act of 1989 ．with any requlations and orders iswed pursuant to this Act，and wow we requirements for obtaining a permit required under this Act居 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pleare be advised that signature by an individual on this document represenis <br>  C． 5 Section $\mathbf{3} 904$ relating to unsworn falsification to authoritiet and that Section 107 l ）of this Act grants agents and employtes of the Department of Environmental Protection specific right of entry． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| endothiar fue of tomer HERR FOOD |  |  |  | INC |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Date } \\ 6 \end{gathered} 16 / 5$ |  |



## INFORMATION FOR ABOVEGROUND AND UNDERGROUND NEW TANK INSTALLATIONS <br> (Write the Tank Numbers) and place a ched( $\sqrt{ }$ ) in the appropriate box for each component that was installed.)



## UNDERGROUND PIPING CONSTRUCTION AND CORROSION PAOTECTION (2)



## AP~YEGROUND PIPING CONSTRUCTION AND CORROSFON PROTECTION (3)



$$
N / A
$$

PUMP (PIPING) SYSTEM (4)


PIPE RELEASE DETECTION METHOD (S)

overfill prevention present (7)


## vi. INFORMATION FOR ABOVEGROUND AND UNDERGROUND NEW TANK INSTALLATIONS (cont.)

(Write the Tank Numbers) and place acheck $(\mathbb{V}$ ) in the appropriate box for each component that was installed.)


Vי' ABOVEGROUND AND UNDERGROUND TANK INFORMATION FOR REMOVAL FROM SERVICE (Write the Tank Numbers) and place ached ( $\sqrt{ }$ ) in the appropriate box for each tank that was removed or closed in place.)

VIII. OWNER CERTIFICATION (Read and Sign After Completing Sections through VII.)

 the Storage Tank and Spill Prevention Act o? 1989, with any regulations and orders issued pursuant to this Act, and with the requirements for obtaining a permit required under this Act




Name and Official Title of Owner
HERR FINDS INC
signatiorof
IX. INSTALLER/REMOVER CERTIFICATION (This section must be completed by the certified installers) who are responsible for the installation or removal from service of the aboveground and underground storage tank systems listed in Sections VI and VII.)

[^10]





## NOTIFICATION OF REPORTABLE RELEASE（Ownors and Operatan） NOTIFICATION OF CONTA BANATION（Cevilied matembers and mspectors）

## 

On Augunt 21，1983，the Storme Tank Cloanup Program＇s Corrective Action Procpen（CA联）regulations became effective．
 ownets and opfoters pf starage timbs and stpwage timb faditries．

Subsection 245．305（t）of the requlations reauir ewners or epporturs to motify the approprime regiond office of the Deportment as soon mspacticable，but no letep than 2 hourt， ofter the confirmation of a raportable reledsh．

Substetion $245.308(d)$ requires ommers of appretors to ppovide written notification to the appropriak pegional office and to the lowal municipality，within 15 toys of the motice raguired by Subsection 245.305 （ 3 ）．This form may be und to cernphy with Subsection $245.3 \mathrm{dr}(\mathrm{d})$ ．

## 



## 

On Sepptimber 21，1991，the Storape Tank Program＇s Certification requiations beceme offetrive．There reguliotions ertablish standerds of perfombnce for certifind ingtellers and inspestop ef storage tanks and storagu tank facilitions．

Subusetion $245.132(3)(\$)$ of the regulations nequires coptifind instaliefs and
 confirmod or spspectad contiminstion of scil，surfecter or srandown from
 inspactor．

This form rity be uned to cemply with Subrection 245．1327i）（4）．The Departmant expetts subrmigion of the form within 4 息 hours of otoverving
 fom may be submitted jointly by the owner，oporator，certified installer and certified irfpector．In this intance，the fom must be received by the sporaprinte rearionil cifice within is day of the notice requined by Subsectian 285，30S（b），



## INSTRUCTIONS


 contwet ot the facility．

 quantity of product of products rafenged；and $C$ whuther the contwinmion is singoctod or confinmed．
 office notifited；and the date the loctimumicipality（provide nome of municipality）wes sent copy of this form．Indicpte to the bert of your knowdedge the extent of conteminution revulting from the relewe of the repulpted gubstence．








 critifiction humber and compary entiftethigh number．

PA Depmrinent af Environnament Prokection
Enwironnmental Cleanup Program
Storage Fink Sextion
（bad the spproprist and reas brewn，dapending on where the FACLLTY is locstad）

| Sandongration <br>  5SS Rothlume <br>  <br> FAAS：blessnt flas <br> cmamiss <br>  Minntagmery． Panduthentia |  <br>  <br>  FAK：717－4才－407 <br> Censeiss <br>  Urowns，hionrof，Wertwry <br>  <br>  |  On Ar Arint Pollodrd eprititere．PA 17119 <br>  <br> Conserm <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Butity Namp |  | Facility I．D．Rumber $15-34 / 18$ |
| Street Address（p．0．Son not mectprabla）$\qquad$ |  |  |
| City $A$ NTtiasNAM |  | 29p code <br> 193162 |
|  |  |  |

Nentrentra kawint
 Whficmayty in 17701 HAK：7173；

Cownitu
 clavtiold．Codumbit，Lydining



Southunt Rygitan Sow Wertront Drte Prybutioh PA 15232


Cmantios
Alidiblthy，Acructreng Bownt，Cambia herme．
 Weriningon，Whatrourulond

Wond matat thetion wagmprut tow



Cmantis Gutier Clenen Crowtore Ek Eral Forwit katiotionc．
 Wenpeggo．Wharin

## II．OWNER INFORMATION（Both OIO and In）



Ne中w





Date

 monnticipality and Nemen of Mundipality Platified:

Date


Muricipalty
 -

## 



## V. HIERIM REMEDIAL ACTIONS (O/O OThy)




## VI. SUSPLCTED / CONFIRMAED CONTAMINATION INFORMATION (I/I OnIy)



## VI. ADOTTIONAL INFORAMATION (BOth OIO and l )

tidude a brief description of the activity that was being conducted when the reportable release was confirmed by the owner ar operator of when that
 price or routing impaction.

On May 28, 1997, Enercon Services Inc. uncovered and removed two underground storage tanks. During the excavation activities, soils exhibiting strong gasoline odors and visual staining were observed. Soils with elevated field readings (FID) were stockpiled on and under plastic for future treatment and/or disposal.

## Vil. CERTIFKATION (eth O/O and MI)

 , hesebiby certify, under entity of law as provided in 4B Pa. C.SA.




Signature of Owner cr Operator

 storage tank facility and/fift the frifopmaton provided by fine in thin notification is true, accurate and complete to the bert of ny knowledge and belief.



Company Certifiotion Number

1. Mochas Williams
 , hereby certify, under pentity of law as provided in 18 P4, C.S.A. 56904 (relating to unbwern falsification to authorities) that 1 thin the certified inspector who performed inspection wavities at the above referenced ge tank facility and that che information provided by me in this notification is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


# Clayton Services Corporation 

# Tank Cleaning/Disposal Documentation 

## Project: Herr Foods Inc. Nottingham, PA

- Non-Hazardous Liquid Manifests
- Tank Cleaning Certificate
- Tank Disposal Documentation

Note:
A 21,000-gallon Frac tank was required on-site to containerize surface stormwater and trapped surface water which accumulated in the excavation during the overexcavation of contaminated soils. Approximately 12,000 -gallons of stormwater was containerized and ultimately discharged to the surface after treatment through granular activated carbon. Mr. Keith Dudley, PADEP Southeast Regional Office, granted verbal permission to discharge the water after treatment. Analytical results of the discharged water are available upon request.

15. Speciad Hardiling Instructions and Addationeal Information

19. Discrepancy Indication Space
20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certillcation of recelpt of waste materials covered by thls manifest except as noted in liem 19.


GENERATOR'S COPY

# EnerCon Services, Inc. 

## P.O. Box 174

Bear, DE 19701
(302) 834-8265

Fax\# (302) 834-4699

Date: June 4, 1997

Clayton Services Corporation
1201 Bethlehem Pike, Suite 105
North Wales, PA 19454
Fax \#215-362-6481

## Tank Cleaning Certification

This letter will certify that EnerCon Services, Inc. pumped out the contents of a 1,000 gallon waste oil tank, a 4,000 gallon motor oil tank, a 4,000 gallon gasoline tank, a 12,000 gallon gasoline tank and a 15,000 gallon diesel underground storage tank located at Herr's in Nottingham, PA. The tanks were cleaned, wiped, powdered dry and vapor freed. The cleaning was done by a 40 -hour OSHA trained employee with Confined Space Certification. All work was done in accordance with API Publication No. 1604 and in compliance with all state and federal regulations.

Sincerely yours,
EnerCon Services, Inc.


JB:Iw

RD \#2.
ROUTE 372E
PARKESBURG, PA 19365
(610) 857-1200
P. O. BOX 451

ATTN: MIKE:DONOVAN
ENERCON SERVICES
P. O. BOX 174

BEAR, DE 19701
JULY 3, 1997
CERTIFICATE OF DESTRUCTION

SERVICE LOCATION: HERRS FOODS
RTE. 272 \& RTE. I
NOITIINGHAM, PA
SERVICE ITEMS: ONE (1) $15,000 /$ GALLON DIESEL STEEL TANK
ONE (1) $12,000 /$ GALION GASOLINE STEEL TANK
ONE (1) 4,000/GALLON GASOLINE STEEL TANK
ONE (1) 4,000/GALLON MOTOR OIL STEEL TANK
ONE (1) 2,000/GALLON WASTE OIL STEEL TANK

ZYDINSKY CONTRACTORS OPERATIONAL PERSONNEL DID CAUSE AND EFFECT COMPLETE AND/OR IRREPARABLE DESTRUCTION TO THE ABOVE REFERENCED ITEMS SO AS TO RENDER SAID ITEMS PERMANENTLY INOPERABLE AND/OR UNUSABLE FOR ORIGINAL PURPOSE. ITEMS WERE SUBSEQUENILY SHIPPED OFF-SITE AND SUBMITTED FOR DISPOSAL UNDER ZYDINSKY CONTRACTORS GENERIC SCRAP APPROVAL CODE THROUGH WHICH THERMAL REDUCTION AND/OR ELIMINATION PROVIDED THE FINAL DISPOSITION OF SAID ITEMS.

RESPECTFULLY,


CC: FILE


# CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION 

Environmental Compliance Consulting \& Contracting

1201 Bethlehem Pike, Suite 105, North Wales, PA 19454

## PHOTODOCUMENTATION

Project: Herr Foods Inc., Nottingham, PA

1) Tank location prior to tank removals. Note excavation of diesel UST (Tank 006) for testing and investigation of leak.
2) Same as \#1
3) Draining of product lines back to respective USTs.
4) Tripod for internal tank cleaning
5) Removed Waste Oil UST (Tank 007)
6) Removed new oil UST (Tank 003)
7) Excavation after removal of Tank 003
8) Removed gasoline UST (Tank 004)
9) Excavation of 15,000 -gal gasoline prior to removal (Tank 005)
10) Removal of 12,000 -gal diesel UST (Tank 006)
11) Excavation of 12,000-gal diesel UST prior to removal (Tank 006)
12) Visible hole in bottom of Tank 006
13) Removed and labeled Tanks 003 \& 004
14) Stockpiles of contaminated soil removed from under Tanks 005 \& 006
15) Same as \#14
16) 21,000-gal Frac tank used to contain stormwater runoff into excavation during overexcavation of contaminated soils.





# Clayton Services Corporation 

Environmental Compliance Consulting \& Contracting

1201 Bethlehem Pike, SuIte 105, NORTH Wales, PA 19454

July 3, 1997
Ms. Susan Kishbaugh
PADEP - SE Region
Lee Park, Suite 6010
555 North Lane
Conshohocken, PA 19428
Re: Notice of Contamination
Herr Foods Inc.
Facility ID \# 15-24418
key t Meting ham Tue.

## Dear Susan,

As per our discussion, attached please find one "Installation Contractor" signed Notice of Contamination (NOC) for the above referenced project.

I anticipate this will complete the notification process of your department, as requested.

Please contact our office with any questions.
Sincerely,


Michael Williams
Clayton Services Corporation
PADEP Co. Cert \# 1322
PADEP Ind. Cert \# 4053

Closure Report Forthcoming.
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## H. OWNLER ENFORMATIDN (Both O/O and II)

## Owne Name

| - |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Adrast |  |
| Zity |  |
|  | 2ip Code |



## VII. ADDITIONAL IATFORMATIOA ( $\mathbf{~}$ (doth O/O and II)


 tree routine impaction.

On May 28, 1997, Enercon Services Inc. uncovered and removed two underground storage tanks. During the excavation activities, soils exhibiting strong gasoline odors and visual staining were observed. Soils with elevated field readings (FID) were stockpiled on and under plastic for future treatment and/or disposal.
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Trastilar Certification Number

Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection

- Lee Park, Suite 6010

555 North Lane
Conshohocken, PA 19428
November 17, 1997

Southeast Regional Office

610-832-5949
Fax 610-832-6143

Steve Moran

Herr Foods, Inc.
P.O. Box 300

Nottingham, PA 19362

Re: Storage Tank Program<br>Herr Foods, Inc.<br>Facility ID No. 15-24418<br>Route 272 \& Herr Drive<br>West Nottingham Township<br>Chester County

Dear Mr. Moran:
The Department has reviewed the closure report submitted by Clayton Services Corporation, dated July 2, 1997, regarding the removal of one steel 15,000-gallon unleaded gasoline, one steel 12,000-gallon diesel, one steel 4,000-gallon new motor oil, one steel 4,000-gallon unleaded gasoline, and one steel 1,000 -gallon used motor oil underground storage tanks at the above referenced facility.

The closure report indicates that contamination was encountered during the tank removal process. The contamination of soil and/or water, including groundwater, as the result of a discharge, spill or release of a regulated substance from a storage tank is a violation of Section 1304 and 1310 of the Storage Tank and Spill Prevention Act.

Although analytical results from soil sample Nos. $\mathrm{Pl}-5$ and $\mathrm{Pl}-6$ exceed the statewide health standard for MTBE, based on our review of the information and conclusions contained in the report, it appears that no further action is required regarding the closure of the tanks listed above. We do not warrant the accuracy or veracity of any closure report. If we subsequently obtain additional information which indicates the existence of contamination caused by the conditions on your premises, we reserve the right to require additional site characterization and/or remediation.

Although the closure report as submitted enables the Department to determine that no further action is needed, please be advised that the case file for this facility will not be complete until the following information is received:

Documentation of proper disposal of the contaminated soil.

# CLAYton SERVICES CORPORATION 

ENMRONMENTAL COMPLANCE CONSULTING \& CONTRACTING
(02:
1201 Bethlehem Pike, Suite 105 , North Wales, PA 19454
(215) 362-6400
(2 15) 362-6481 FAX
October 1, 1997
Mr. Steve Moran
Herr Foods Inc.
PO Box 300
Nottingham, PA 19362
Re: "Narrative Report"
Underground Storage Tank Project
USTIF Claim Number: 97-175(F)
PADEP Facility ID \# 15-24418
Dear Steve,
At the request of ICF Kaiser, Clayton Services Corporation is providing the following summary of activities and remedial actions which took place during your underground storage tank removal/replacement project. This summary is in addition to the Tank Closure Report dated 7/2/97, which was prepared by Clayton and submitted to the PADEP and ICF Kaiser.

## Overview

Herr Foods, Inc. contracted with Enercon Services (Enercon) of Bear, DE for the removal and replacement of the underground storage tanks located at their Nottingham, PA maintenance garage facility. A total of five (5) underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed and replaced with two (2) new double walled USTs. Clayton Services Corporation (Clayton) was subcontracted by Enercon to perform all of the required PADEP tank closure soil sampling and reporting. The project was conducted between May 28, 1997 and concluded in early August 1997. The following underground storage tanks (USTs) were removed and replaced, as noted:

Removal: (1) 1,000-gallon Waste Oil (Tank 007)
(1) 4,000-gallon New Motor Oil (Tank 003)
(1) 4,000-gallon Unleaded Gasoline (Tank 004)
(1) 15,000-gallon Unleaded Gasoline (UST 005) "leaking"
(1) 12,000-gallon Diesel (Tank 006) "leaking"

Install: (1) 10,000 -gallon Diesel
(1) 10,000-gallon Gasoline
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October 1, 1997
Mr. Steve Moran
Herr Foods Inc.

## Release Incident

In or around February of 1997, Herr Foods Inc. discovered an accumulation of water within their 12,000 -gallon diesel UST. Upon further investigation and tank testing, it was determined that the diesel UST was indeed leaking. Herr Foods Inc. immediately removed all the product from the tank and started proceedings to contract for the removal of all five USTs and the installation of a new two tank double walled system.

On May 28, 1997, Enercon Services cleaned and removed the three smaller USTs. During the excavation of backfill material necessary to remove USTs 003 and 004, excessive petroleum vapors were evident in the excavated backfill soil and soils with elevated field readings were stockpiled on and under plastic. Soils were screened by Michael Williams of Clayton with a Foxboro OVA 128
Flameionization Detector (FID). Although neither Tank 003 nor 004 contained any visible holes, backfill material which was also common to other on-site USTs exhibited excessive petroleum odors. The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) was notified on May 28, 1997 of the suspected release and a Notice of Contamination form was subsequently submitted, as required.

Due to the tight confines of the site and the logistics of the large excavation required for the removal of USTs 005 and 006 , tank removal operations were continued on June 4, 1997. On June 4, 1997, Enercon removed the two remaining USTs. Several holes were discovered in Tank 006 and only "weep type" holes were discovered in Tank 005. After a discussion with the Owner regarding the release claims process, the Underground Storage Tank Indemnification Fund (USTIF) was contacted on June 6, 1997.

## Extent of Contamination

Impacted soils were field screened and stockpiled between June 4 and June 6, 1997. Because the site is underlain by a very tight silty schist material, it appears the contamination was limited to the common backfill material surrounding the four larger removed USTs. The removed 1,000 -gallon waste oil UST (Tank 007) was remote from the other four USTs and did not exhibit any soil odors nor elevated field FID readings. All laboratory analytical results indicated soils below any pertinent PADEP cleanup levels for the waste oil excavation.

Soils around Tanks 005 and 006 and their associated pump islands were excavated until diminished field readings were obtained. It was discovered that contamination had reached the backfill material surrounding Tanks 003 and 004
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October 1, 1997
Mr. Steve Moran
Herr Foods Inc.
and had also impacted the soils beneath the removed pump islands. Contamination appeared to be a result of the release of product from Tanks 005 and 006 which accumulated in the more permeable backfill material used around the existing USTs. Due to the tight non-permeable nature of the surrounding virgin soils, trapped surface water was accumulated within the large excavation and appeared to contribute to the migration of the released diesel and gasoline compounds to adjoining backfill material. Any accumulated surface water within the excavation was removed, containerized, sampled, and discharged after PADEP approval. Treatment and sampling of the trapped surface water was necessary prior to final discharge due to the documented release. No groundwater was apparently encountered during this project and all horizontal and vertical contaminant migration appeared to diminish at the backfill/virgin soil interface. Post excavation soil samples revealed only several areas which were slightly above the PADEP Action Levels for Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether (MTBE) and Naphthalene. No other compounds of concern were elevated above the PADEP action levels.

## Remedial Options and Choices

The remedial options for the proper treatment of the contaminated soil was limited by the installation of replacement USTs. Soils expected to be utilized in backfilling and restoration of the site were impacted and could not be reused. Also, since the new tanks had to be installed within the impacted area, future treatment would surely be hampered by short circuiting and interference of treatment methodologies. Since the impacted media appeared to be limited to the backfill material of the removed USTs, soil removal was chosen as the most effective and safest option to eliminate the contaminant source.

The risks of leaving impacted soils in place was intensified by the presence of trapped surface water within the excavation. The "bathtub effect" of less permeable tank excavations often leads to surface water infiltration, filtering, and enhanced migration of contaminants. In addition, the immediate area is served by private wells and the risks associated with leaving source contaminant material in-place are greater. All soils which were accessible and which would not impact the structural integrity of the adjacent building were removed and stockpiled.

Since the site is a producer of public food products and any newly installed tanks would limit remedial effectiveness, the choice was made to remove the impacted soils and dispose at an approved disposal facility. The impacted soils appear to have been removed and the amount of stockpiled soil is estimated at 1,100 to 1,300 tons. The soil is currently stockpiled at the site awaiting proper disposal.

## Estimated Cost of Remediation

Costs incurred to date and which are anticipated are as follows:

| 1) Loading contaminated soil for staging | \$ 2,340.00 |
| :---: | :---: |
| - \$1,170/day x 2 days |  |
| 2) Staging \& Stockpiling of Contaminated Soil | \$ 4,500.00 |
| - labor, hauling, plastic $-750 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{yd}$. |  |
| 3) Select Fill over base bid 587.75 tons compacted | \$ 9,991.75 |
| 4) Pea Gravel over base bid (110.25 tons) | \$ 2,701.13 |
| 5) Frac Tank, pump water, carbon filter (lot) | \$ 5,896.00 |
| 6) Lab Testing of Stockpiled Soil and Frac Tank Water | \$ 3,100.00 |
| 7) Environmental Consultant Oversight and Reporting | \$ 3,500.00 |
| 8) Soil Loading, Transport, and Disposal $-1,200 \text { tons @ } \$ 72 / \text { ton }$ | \$86,400.00 |
| Anticipated Total | \$118,428,88 |

Note: This total is for current remedial measures. Although it appears the PADEP will not require any further action at this site, additional costs may be encountered if the PADEP requires any additional subsurface investigation.

## Conclusions

The majority of the impacted soils surrounding the USTs appears to have been removed during overexcavation and stockpiling activities. Post tank removal laboratory results are contained within the Tank Closure Report dated 7/2/97. Michael Williams has had several discussions with Susan Kishbaugh and Kathy Nagle of the PADEP regarding the remedial measures and closure status of this site. After reviewing the post removal soil sample analytical results and the nature of site contamination, the PADEP did not anticipate requiring any further remedial measures. Final review and approval of the remedial measures as outlined in the Tank Closure Report is pending from the PADEP.

I have attached the soil, frac tank water discharge, and the stockpile laboratory results for your inclusion of requested claims material. Please contact our office with any questions regarding this project or your claims process.


Michael Williams
Project Manager
Clayton Services Corporation

## Analytical Results

07/18/97 04:39pm

|  | Regarding: |
| :---: | :---: |
| MICHAEL WILLIAMS | MICHAEL HILLIAMS |
| CLAYTON SERVICES COPPORATION | CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION |
| 3003 HARVAPD DRIVE | 3003 HARVARD DRIVE |
| NORTH WNLES. PA 19454 | MORTH YALES. PA 19454 |

GAYTO SERVICES COPPORATION
NORTH YALES. PA 19454


1

A result of "ND" indicates the concentration of the analyte tested was either not detected or below the PQL
QC INC's laboratory certification numbers are: PADER 09-131: NJDEP 77166: NC 488: NY.CT.DE and MD UPON REQUEST.
Definitions: ND-not detected: NEG-negative: POS-positive: COL-colonies; PQL-practical quanitation level: L/A-laboratory accident:
TNTC-too numerous to count
A result marked with "ORY" indicates that the result was calculated and reported on a dry weight basis.

Allon O. SchopDach, President


1205 INDUSTRIAL HIGHWAY • P.O. BOX 514 • SOUTHAMPTON, PA 18966-0514 • (215) 355-3900
ANALYTICAI DATA REPORT PACKAGE
FOR
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION

| Field <br> Sample ID | Laboratory <br> Sample ID | Date of <br> Collection |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| DISCHARGE-1 H20 | L238723-1 | $07 / 03 / 97$ |

1

PADEP No. 09-131
NJDEP NO. 77166

MIChaEL WILLIAMS<br>CLAYION SERVICES CORPORATION<br>3003 HARVARD DRIVE<br>NORTH WALES, PA 19454

Regarding:
MICHAEL WILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454

| Sample Number | L238723-1 |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Sample Description | DISCHARGE-1 H20 |  |
| Samp. Date/Time/Temp | 07/03/97 11:00am | NA ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |
| Sampled by | Customer Sampled |  |


| Parameter | Method | Result | POL | Test Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DICHLORODIFIUCROME THANE | EPA Method 8021 A | ND ug/t | $0.500^{\circ} \mathrm{ug} \mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| CHLOROMETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| VINYL CHLORIDE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| BROMOME THANE. | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| ChLOROETHANE | EPA Method 8021A. | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| TRICHLOROFLUORDME THANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,1-DICHLOROETHENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| methylene chloride | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE | EPA Method B021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,1-DICHLOROETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 2,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l. | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| CIS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| CHLOROFORM | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| BROMOCHLOROMETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,1,1-trichloroethane | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,1-DICHLOROPROPENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,2-DICHLOROETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| TRICHLOROETHENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,2-DICHLOROPROPANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/! | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| BROMODICHLOROMETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| DIBROMOMETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/t | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| CIS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| TRANS-1,3-DICHLOROPROPENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/! | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,1,2-T.lichloroethane | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,3-DICHLOROPROPANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| TETRACHIOROETHENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| DIBROMOCHLOROMETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,2-DIBROMOETHANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{t}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,1,1,2-tetrachloroethane | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| BROMOFORM | EPA Method 8021a | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-tEtrachloroethane | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / 1$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,2,3-TRICHLOROPROPANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| 1,2-DIBROMO-3-CHLOROPROPANE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| BENZENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |
| TOLUENE | EPA Method 8021A | ND ug/l | $0.500 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/16/97 |  |

A resul: of "ND" indicates the concentration of the analyte tested was either not detected or below the PQL.
OC inc's laboratory certification numbers are: PADER 09-131; NJDEP 77166, NC 488, NY, CT, DE, and MD upon request.
Definitions: ND=not detected; NEG=negative; POS=positive; COL=colonies; POL=practical quanitation level; $L / A=l a b o r a t o r y ~ a c c i d e n t ; ~$ INTC=too numerous to count.

A result marked with "DRY" indicates that the result was calculated and reported on a dry weight basis.


CINC. • 1205 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. • P.O. BOX $514 \cdot$ SOUTHAMPTON, PA 18966-0514 • (215) 355-3900

MICHAEL WILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454

Regarding:
MICHAEL WILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454


A result of "ND" indicates the concentration of the analyte tested was either not detected or below the PQL.
OC Inc's laboratory certification numbers are: PADER 09-131; NJDEP 77166, NC 488, NY, CT, DE, and MD upon request.
 TNTC=too numerous to count.

A result marked with "DRY" indicates that the result was catculated and reported on a dry weight basis.


# VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 8021A 



CAS NO. COMPOUND | PQL |
| :---: |
| $(u g / L)$ |
| RESULT |
| $(u g / L)$ |



VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 8021A


## VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 8021A

| Lab Name/Code : QC Inc. | 166 | CONTRACT : Clayton L? | 723-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leb Sample ID : Method | Blank | Sample No: ___ Method Bl | nk |
| Matrix | Hater | Date Received |  |
| Sample vt/vol | -5ml. | Date Analyzed | 07/16/97 |
| Level (lov/med) | Low | Dilution Factor | 1.0 |
| Lab File (Primary-Hall) | - CG16002 | Lat File (Confirm-Hall) |  |
| Lab File (Primary-PID) | - DG16002 | Lab File (Confirm-PID) |  |
| Column | : $105 \mathrm{M} \times$. 0.53 mm |  |  |

CAS NO. COMPQUND

| PQL | RESULT |
| :---: | :---: |
| (ug/L) | (ug/L) |


| 75-71-8----Dichlo | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74-87-3----Chloromethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 75-01-4---Vinyl Chloride | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 74-83-9----Bromomethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 75-00-3---Chloroethane | a. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 75-69-4----Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 75-35-4----1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 75-09-2---Methylene Chloride | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | $\cup$ |
| 156-60-5---trans-1, 2-Dichloroethene | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 75-34-3----1, 1-Dichlaraethane | 0. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | $\underline{\square}$ |
| 590-20-7---2, 2-Dichloropropane | 0. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 4 |
| 156-59-4---cis-1, 2-Dichloroethene | 0. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 67-66-3----Chloraform | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | 1 |
| 74-97-5--- Bromochlaromethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 71-55-6---1.1, 1-Trichloroethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | $\underline{\square}$ |
| 563-58-6--1, 1-Dichloropropene | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 56-23-5---Carbon Tetrachloride | 0.5 | 1 | 0. 5 | 1 | 1 |
| 107-06-2---1.2-Dichloroethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | $\pm$ |
| 79-01-6---Trichloroethene | 0. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 78-87-5---1, 2-Dichloropropane | 0. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 75-27-4----Bromodichloromethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 74-95-3----Dibromomethane. | Q. 5 | 1 | 0. 5 | 1 | $\underline{1}$ |
| 10061-01-5-cis-1, 3-Dichloropropene | Q. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 10061-02-6-trans-1, 3-Dichloropropene | 0.5 | 1 | 0. 5 | 1 | U |
| 79-00-5----1, 1, 2-Trichloraethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 142-28-9---1,3-Dichloropropane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 127-18-4---Tetrachloroethene | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | $\underline{1}$ |
| 124-48-1--Dibrowochloromethane | 0. 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 106-93-4--1, 2-Di hromoethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | $\underline{1}$ |
| 630-20-6---1, 1, 1, 2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 75-25-2----Bromoform | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | U |
| 79-34-5---1, 1, 2, 2-Tetrachloraethane___l | 0.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 | $\cup$ |

# VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET 8021A 

Lab Name/Code : QC Inc. $/ 77166$
Lab Sample ID : Method Blank
CONTRACT : Clayton L238723-1

Sample No: Method Blank

Matrix
Sample wt/vol
Level (low/med)
Lab File (Primary-Hall)
Lab File (Primary-PID) = DG16002
: Water Date Received
Date Analyzed
Dilution Factor
Lab File (Confirm-Hall)
Lab File (Confirm-PID)

$=105 \mathrm{~F} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~mm}$ VOCOL

CAS NO. COMPOUND
PGL RESULT
(ug/L) (ug/L)


# volatile organics analysis data sheet 602 



GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY VOLATILE SURRGGATE RECOVERY DATA SHEET

Lab Name/Code: GC Inc./77166

Level: $\qquad$ Low

Dates of Analysis: From 07/15/97
To $07 / 15 / 97$

Contract: Clayton L238723-1 Case Number:

VOCOL/
GC Column: $105 \mathrm{M} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~mm}$
Instrument ID: HP5890-3310A

\# Column to be used to flag recovery values * Values outside of Method DC Limits

List Surrogates Below:
S1: 1, 4-Dichlorobutane (Hal1)
52: Bromochlorobenzene (Hal1)
53:
Bromochlorobenzene (PID)

DC Limite
$\begin{array}{r}60-130 \\ \hline 60-130 \\ \hline 60-130 \\ \hline\end{array}$

GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY VOLATILE SURRGGATE RECOVERY DATA SHEET

Lab Name/Code: DC Inc. $/ 7716 G$


\# Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of Method ©C Limits

List Surrogates Below:

Si: 1,4-Dichlorobutane (Hall)
S2: Bromochlorobenzene (Hal1)
S3: Bromochlorobenzene (PID)

QC Limits
$\frac{60-130}{60-130}$

## GAS CHROMATOGRAPHY VOLATILE SURROGATE RECOVERY DATA SHEET




* Column to be used to flag recovery values
* Values outside of Method QC Limits

| List Surrogates Below: | OC Limits |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\quad \mathrm{S} 1:$ G2, a-Trifluorotoluene |  |
| Other: |  |

## 000011

VOLATILE LABORATORY MATRIX SPIKE SUMMARY


VOLATILE LABORATORY' FORTIFIED BLANK SUMMARY'


RPD: 3 out of 60 outside limits
Spike Recovery: 5 out of 120 outside limits
Results normalized to a base factor of 1 from a $1: S$ dilution.

## VOLATILE LABORATORY CHECK STANDARD



Recovery: 0 out of 11 outside limits.

Concentrations are ugh. $8=$ Result Incaleatable. $Z=$ Limits not yot astablished. \#=Column used to flag recoveries.

# W'ATER VOLATILE MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY 602 



RPD: 0 out of 1 outside limits
Spike Recovery: 0 out of 2 outside limits

Concentrations are ugf. \& Resesult incalculable. $z=$ Limits not yet established. \#=Column used to flag recovarias.
$!$


1205 INDUSTRIAL HIGHWAY • PO. BOX 514 • SOUTHAMPTON, PA 18966-0514 • (215) 355-3900
ANALYTICAL DATA REPORT PACKAGE
FOR
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION

| Field <br> Sample ID | Laboratory <br> Sample ID | Date of <br> Collection |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HERR FOODS INC SP-COMP-1 SOIL | $\cdots$ |  |
| SP-COMP-2 SOIL | L238722-1 | $07 / 03 / 97$ |

PADEP No. 09-131
NJDEP NO. 77166

MICHAEL HILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454

Regarding:
MICHAEL WILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Account No: BOO111, CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION | P.O. NO: |
| Project NO: BOO111, CLAYYON SERVICES CORPORATION | PWSID NO: |



A result of "ND" indicates the concentration of the analyte tested was either not detected or betow the POL.
$O C$ Inc's laboratory certification numbers are: PADER 09-131; NJDEP 77166, NC 488, NY, CT, DE, and MD upon request.
 TNTC=too numerous to count.

A result marked with "DRY" indicates that the result was calculated and reported on a dry weight basis.


Allen D. Srinnmaran, Domining.
QC INC. • 1205 INDUSTRIAL BLVD. • P.O. BOX $514 \cdot$ SOUTHAMPTON, PA 18966-0514 • (215) 355-3900

MICHAEL WILLIAMS<br>CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION<br>3003 HARVARD DRIVE<br>NORTH WALES, PA 19454

Regarding:<br>MICHAEL WILLIAMS<br>CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION<br>3003 HARVARD DRIVE<br>NORTH WALES, PA 19454




A result of "ND" indicates the concentration of the analyte tested was either not detected or belon the PQL.
OC Inc's laboratory certification numbers are: PADER 09-131; NJDEP 77166, NC 488, NY, CT, DE, and MD upon request.
 TNTC= 500 numerous to count.

A result marked with "DRY" indicates that the result was calculated and reported on a dry weight basis.


Alis:i D. Sahentorin, meruition:

MICHAEL WILLIAMS<br>CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION<br>3003 HARVARD DRIVE<br>NORTH WALES, PA 19454

Regarding:

CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454


| Parameter | Method | Result | PQL | Test Date |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SILVER-TCLP | SW846 Method 6010 | ND mg/l | $0.500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/19197 |  |  |
| ARSENIC-TCLP | SW846 Method 6010 | ND mg/l | $0.500 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/11/97 |  | * |
| BARIUM-TCLP | SW846 Method 6010 | ND mg/l | 10.0 ms/l | 07/11/97 |  |  |
| CADMIUM-TCLP | SW846 Method 6010 | ND mg/ | $0.100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/11/97 |  |  |
| CHROMIUM-TCLP | SW846 Method 6010 | ND mg/l | $0.500 \mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 07/11/97 |  |  |
| LEAD-TCLP | SW846 Method 6010 | ND mg/l | $0.100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/11/97 |  |  |
| SELENIUM-TCLP | SW846 Method 6010 | ND mg/l | $0.400 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/11/97 |  |  |
| MERCURY-TCLP | SW846 Method 7470 | ND mg/l | $0.0200 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 07/14/97 | . |  |
| DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS | API Method Rev 2 | $65.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{DRY}$ | $6.56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/11/97 |  |  |
| GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS | API Method Rev 5 | 107. mg/kg DRY | $6.56 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/10/97 |  |  |
| AROCLOR-1016 | EPA Method 8080 | ND mg/kg DRY | $0.0393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/14/97 |  |  |
| AROCLOR-1221 | EPA Method 8080 | ND mg/kg DRY | $0.0393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/14/97 |  |  |
| AROCLOR-1232 | EPA Method 8080 | ND mg/kg ORY | $0.0393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/14/97 |  |  |
| AROCLOR-1242 | EPA Method 8080 | ND mg/kg DRY | $0.0393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/14/97 |  |  |
| AROCLOR-1248 | EPA Method 8080 | ND mg/kg DRY | $0.0393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/14/97 |  |  |
| AROCLOR-1254 | EPA Method 8080 | ND mg/kg DRY | $0.0393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/14/97 |  |  |
| AROCLOR-1260 | EPA Method 8080 | ND mg/kg DRY | $0.0393 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/14/97 |  |  |
| CHLOROMETHANE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $13.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| VINYL CHLORIDE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $6.56 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| BROMOMETHANE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | 13.1 ug/kg | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| CHLOROETHANE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | 13.1 ug/kg | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | 2.62 ug/kg | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| ACETONE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $6.56 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| CARBON DJSULFIDE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | 13.1 ug/kg | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| METHYLENE CHLORIDE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $2.62 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| TRANS-1,2-DICHLOROETHENE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $2.62 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| ACROLEIN | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $13.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| ACRYLONITRILE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $6.56 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| 1,1-0ICHLOROETHANE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $6.56 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| VINYL ACETATE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $13.1 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| CIS-1,2-dichloroethene | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $2.62 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| 2-butanone | EPA Method 8260 | ND $u g / \mathrm{kg}$ DRY | 13.1 ug/kg | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| CHLOROFORM | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $1.31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $1.31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| CARBON TETRACHLORIDE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $2.62 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |
| BENZENE | EPA Method 8260 | ND ug/kg DRY | $1.31 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 07/09/97 |  |  |

A result of "ND" indicates the concentration of the analyte tested was either not detected or below the Pal. OC Inc's laboratory certification numbers are: PADER 09-131; NJDEP 77166, NC 488, NY, CT, DE, and MD upon request.
Definitions: ND=not detected; NEG=negative; POS=positive; COL=colonies; PQL=practical quanitation level; L/A=laboratory accident; TNTC $=$ too numerous to count.

A result marked with "DRY" indicates that the result was catculated and reported on a dry weight basis.



# Analytical Results 

Regarding:
MICHAEL WILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454


A result of " ND" indicates the concentration of the analyse tested was either not detected or below the POL.
QC Inc's laboratory certification numbers are: PADER 09-131; NJDEP 77166, NC 488, NY, CT, DE, and MD upon request.
Definitions: $N=$ not detected; NEG=negative; POS=positive; COL=colonies; PQL=practical quantitation level; L/A=laboratory accident; INTC= too numerous to count.

A result marked with "DRY" indicates that the result was calculated and reported on a dry weight basis.


Allen D. Schaphen, monitions

MIChAEL WILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION 3003 HARVARD DRIVE NORTH WALES, PA 19454

Regarding:
MICHAEL WILLIAMS
CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION
3003 HARVARD DRIVE
NORTH WALES, PA 19454


## 1

A result of "ND" indicates the concentration of the analyte tested was either not detected or below the POL.
OC Inc's taboratory certification numbers are: PADER $09-131$; NJDEP 77166, NC 488, NY, CT, DE, and MD upon request.
 TNTC $=$ too numerous to count.

A result marked with "DRY" indicates that the result was calculated and reported on a dry weight basis.

Lab Name: QC INC.

| Matrix: (soil/water) | SOIL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample wt/vol: | 5.00 | ML |
| Level: (low/med) | LOW |  |
| \% Moisture: not dec. | 0 |  |

Contract: $\qquad$ Lab Sample ID: SOIL BLK 7/08

Lab File ID: L4581.DO0000G
Date Received: $\qquad$
Date Analyzed: $\qquad$
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Soil Extract Volume:
10: $\qquad$ (mm)
— (uL)

Concentration Units:

| CAS No. | Compound | PQL | (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/Kg | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 74-87-3 | Chloromethane | 10.0 |  | U |
| 75-01-4 | Vinyl Chloride | 5.00 |  | U |
| 74-83-9 | Bromomethane | 10.0 |  | U |
| 75-00-3 | Chloroethane | 10.0 |  | U |
| 107-13-1 | Acrylonitrile | 5.00 |  | U |
| 107-02.8 | Acrolein | 10.0 |  | U |
| 75-15-0 | Carbon Disulfide | 10.0 |  | U |
| 75-35-4 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 2.00 |  | U |
| 67-64-1 | Acetone | 5.00 |  | U |
| 75-09-2 | Methylene Chloride | 2.00 |  | U |
| 156-60-5 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 2.00 |  | $\cup$ |
| 540-59-0 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 2.00 |  | U |
| 75-34-4 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 5.00 |  | U |
| 108-05-4 | Vinyl Acetate | 10.0 |  | $\cup$ |
| 78-93-3 | 2-Butanone | 10.0 |  | $\cup$ |
| 67-66-3 | Chloroform | 1.00 |  | U |
| 75-55-6 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 1.00 |  | $\cup$ |
| 56-23-5 | Carbon Tetrachloride | 2.00 |  | U |
| 71-43-2 | Benzene | 1.00 |  | U |
| 107-06-2 | 1.2-Dichloroethane | 2.00 |  | U |
| 79.01-6 | Trichloroethene | 1.00 |  | $U$ |
| 78-87-5 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 1.00 |  | U |
| 75-27-4 | Bromodichloromethane | 1.00 |  | U |
| 110-75-8 | 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether | 10.0 |  | U |
| 10061-01-5 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 5.00 |  | $\cup$ |
| 108-88-3 | Toluene | 5.00 |  | $U$ |
| 108-10-1 | 4-Methyl-2-Pentanone | 10.0 |  | U |
| 10061-02-6 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 5.00 |  | U |
| 79-00-5 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 2.00 |  | $\cup$ |
| 127-18-4 | Tetrachloroethene | 1.00 |  | U |
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VBLK01 VBLK01
Lab Name: QC INC.

| Matrix: (soil/water) | SOIL | ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) | ML |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample wt/vol: | 5.00 |  |  |
| Level: (low/med) | LOW |  |  |
| \% Moisture: not dec. | 0 |  |  |
| GC Column: RTX-624 |  |  | 0.18 |

Soil Extract Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

| CAS No. | Compound | PQL | Concentration Units: (ug/L or ug/Kg) ug/Kg | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 591-78-6 | 2-Hexanone | 10.0 |  | U |
| 124-48-1 | Dibromochloromethane | 1.00 |  | U |
| 108-90-7 | Chlorobenzene | 2.00 |  | U |
| 100-41-4 | Ethylbenzene | 5.00 |  | U |
| 108-38-3 | m\&p Xylenes | 2.00 |  | U |
| 95-47-6 | o-Xylene | 1.00 |  | U |
| 100-42-5 | Styrene | 5.00 |  | U |
| 75-25-2 | Bromoform | 1.00 |  | U |
| 79-34-5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 1.00 |  | U |
| 541-73-1 | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 5.00 |  | U |
| 106-46-7 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 5.00 |  | $\cup$ |
| 95-50-1 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 5.00 |  | U |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | . |  |
|  | . |  | . |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$U$ - Indicates Compound is not Detected
B - Indicates Compound is Present in the Blank
J - Indicates Compound is Detected Below the PQL
E-Indicates that the Result is Estimated because it is Above Calibration Range
D- Indicates the Result is from Dilution
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FORM I VOA

```
Data File : C:\HPCHEM\1\DATA\INSTL\I4581.D
Acq On : Data Taken: 7/08/97 @ 14:25
Sample : SOIL BLK 7/08
Misc : 5ML SOIL
Quant Time: Jul 8 15:03 1997
Method : C:\HPCHEM\I\METHODS\L8702P.M
Title : Method 8260 VOA Calibration
Last Update : Wed Jul 02 19:25:06 1997
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration
```

| Internal Standards | R.T. QIon |  | Response | Conc Units Dev(Min) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Pentafluorobenzene | 9.30 | 168 | 168953 | 50.00 | ug/L | 0.00 |
| 35) 1,4-Difluorobenzene | 10.44 | 114 | 285049 | 50.00 | ug/L | 0.00 |
| 53) Chlorobenzene-d5 | 15.12 | 82 | 145807 | 50.00 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | -0.01 |
| 60) I,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 | 19.31 | 152 | 64718 | 50.00 | ug/L | 0.00 |
| System Monitoring Compounds |  |  |  | \%Recovery |  |  |
| 29) Dibromofluoromethane | 9.21 | 111 | 90810 | 45.86 | ug/L | 91.72\% |
| 43) Toluene-d8 | 12.74 | 98 | 257598 | 50.26 | ug/L | 100.51\% |
| 61) Bromofluorobenzene | 17.25 | 95 | 81814 | 46.43 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 92.87\% |
| Target Compounds |  |  |  | Qvalue |  |  |

$!$

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\I\DATA\INSTL\L4581.D
Acq On : Data Taken: 7/08/97 @ 14:25
Sample : SOIL BLK 7/08
Misc : 5ML SOIL
Quant Time: Jul 8 15:03 1997
Method : C:\HPCHEM\I\METHODS $\backslash L 8702 P . M$
Title : Method 8260 VOA Calibration
Last Update : Wed Jul 02 19:25:06 1997
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration


Lab Name: QC INC.
Contract: $\qquad$ $0000 \% 0$


Lab Sample ID: SOIL BLK 7/08
Lab File ID: L4581.D
Date Received: $\qquad$ Date Analyzed: 7/8/97

Dilution Factor: $\qquad$
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Concentration Units:
Number TICs found: 0

| 0 | (ug/L or ug/Kg) | ug/Kg |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAS Number | Compound Name | RT | Conc. | 0 |
| 1. | NONE FOUND |  |  |  |
| 2. |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |
| 4. |  |  |  |  |
| 5. |  |  |  |  |
| 6. | . |  |  |  |
| 7. |  |  |  |  |
| 8. |  |  |  |  |
| 9. |  |  |  |  |
| 10. |  |  |  |  |
| 11. |  |  |  |  |
| 12. |  |  |  |  |
| 13. |  |  |  |  |
| 14. |  |  |  |  |
| 15. |  |  |  |  |
| 16. |  |  |  |  |
| 17. |  |  |  |  |
| 18. |  |  |  |  |
| 19. |  |  |  |  |
| 20. |  |  |  |  |
| 21. |  |  |  |  |
| 22. |  |  |  |  |
| 23. |  |  |  |  |
| 24. |  |  |  |  |
| 25. |  |  |  |  |
| 26. |  |  |  |  |
| 27. |  |  |  |  |
| 28. |  |  |  |  |
| 29. |  |  |  |  |
| 30. |  |  |  |  |

FORM I VOA-TIC

Lab Name: QC INC.

| Matrix: (soil/water) | SOIL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample wt/vol: | 5.00 | ML |
| Level: (low/med) | LOW |  |
| \% Moisture: not dec. | 0 |  |

GC Column: RTX-624
Soil Extract Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Contract: $\qquad$
VBLK02

Lab Sample ID: SOIL BLK $7 / 09$
Lab File ID: L4603.D 006011
Date Received: $\qquad$
Date Analyzed: 7/9/97
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)
Concentration Units:
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VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET
VBLKO2
Lab Name: OC INC.

| Matrix: (soil/water) |  | SOIL |  | Lab Sample ID: | OIL BLK 7109 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample wt/vol: |  | $5.00 \quad(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \xrightarrow{M L}$ |  | Lab File ID | 4603.D 0 |
| Level: | (low/med) | LOW |  | Date Received: |  |
| \% Mois | re: not dec. | 0 |  | Date Analyzed: | 719/97 |
| GC Col | mn: RTX-624 | ID: $\quad 0.18$ | (mm) | Dilution Factor: | 1.0 |
| Soil Extract Volume: |  | (uL) | Soil Aliquot Volume: |  |  |
|  |  | Compound | PQL | Concentration Units: (ug/L or ug/Kg) $\qquad$ ug/Kg | 0 |
|  | 591.78-6 | 2-Hexanone | 10.0 |  | U |
|  | 124-48-1 | Dibromochloromethane | 1.00 |  | U |
|  | 108-90-7 | Chlorobenzene | 2.00 |  | U |
|  | 100-41-4 | Ethylbenzene | 5.00 |  | U |
|  | 108-38-3 | m\&p Xylenes | 2.00 |  | U |
|  | 95-47-6 | o-Xylene | 1.00 |  | U |
|  | 100-42-5 | Styrene | 5.00 |  | U |
|  | 75-25-2 | .. Bromoform | 1.00 |  | U |
|  | 79-34-5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 1.00 |  | U |
|  | 541-73-1 | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 5.00 |  | $\cup$ |
|  | 106-46-7 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 5.00 |  | U |
|  | 95-50-1 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 5.00 |  | U |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

GC Column: RTX-624 ID: $\underbrace{0.18}_{(\mathrm{mm})}$
Soil Extract Volume:
(uL)
Contract: $\qquad$

[^11]Page 2 of 2

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\I\DATA\INSTL\L4603.D
Acq On : Data Taken: 7/09/97 @ 13:38
Sample : SOIL BLK 7/09
Misc : 5ML SOIL
Quant Time: Jul 9 15:14 1997
Method : C:\HPCHEM\I\METHODS\L8702P.M
Title : Method 8260 VOA Calibration
Last Update : Wed Jul 02 19:25:06 1997
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration

| Internal Standards | R.T. QIon |  | Response | Conc Units Dev (Min) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1) Pentafluorobenzene | 9.32 | 168 | 154601 | 50.00 | ug/L | 0.01 |
| 35) 1,4-Difluorobenzene | 10.45 | 114 | 266823 | 50.00 | ug/L | 0.00 |
| 53) Chlorobenzene-d5 | 15.19 | 82 | 134605 | 50.00 | ug/L | 0.00 |
| 60) 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4 | 19.31 | 152 | 59309 | 50.00 | ug/L | -0.01 |
| System Monitoring Compounds |  |  |  |  |  | covery |
| 29) Dibromofluoromethane. | 9.22 | 111 | 86508 | 47.75 | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 95.49\% |
| 43) Toluene-d8 | 12.74 | 98 | 235964 | 49.18 | ug/L | 98.36\% |
| 61) Bromofluorobenzene | 17.26 | 95 | 73574 | 45.57 . | ug/L | 91.13\% |

Target Compounds

Data File : C:\HPCHEM\I\DATA\INSTL\L4603.D
Acq On : Data Taken: 7/09/97 @ 13:38
Sample : SOIL BLK 7/09
Misc : 5ML SOIL
Quant Time: Jul 9 15:14 1997
Method : C: \HPCHEM $\backslash 1 \backslash$ METHODS $\backslash L 8702$ P.M
Title : Method 8260 VOA Calibration
Last Update : Wed Jul 02 19:25:06 1997
Response via : Multiple Level Calibration
vial: 0000014
Operator: DATTU
Inst : Multiplr: 1.00


1E
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS

Lab Sample ID: SOIL BLK 7/09

Lab File ID: L4603.D
Date Received: $\qquad$
Date Analyzed: 7/9/97
Dilution Factor: $\qquad$
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)
Concentration Units:

| Number TICs found: | 0 | (ug/L or ug/Kg) |  | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{Kg}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAS Number | Compound Name | RT | Conc. | Q |
|  | 1. | NONE FOUND |  |  |  |
| . . | 2. | - |  |  |  |
|  | 3. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20. |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 21. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25. | . |  |  |  |
|  | 26. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29. |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30. |  |  |  |  |

FORM I VOA-TIC

Lab Name: QCINC.
Contract: $\qquad$
Level: (low/med) LOW

|  | SAMPLE NO. | LAB SAMPLE ID. | SMC1 <br> DFM \# | SMC2 <br> TOL <br> \# | $\begin{array}{cc} \hline \text { SMC3 } \\ \text { BFB } \end{array}$ | OTHER | TOT OUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | VBLK01 | SOIL BLK 7/08 | 92 | 101 | 93 |  |  |
| 02 | S-2MS | L236625-2MS | 91 | 99 | 91 |  |  |
| 03 | S-2MSD | L236625-2MSD | 93 | 100 | 91 |  |  |
| 04 | VBLKO2 | SOIL BLK 7/09 | 96 | 98 | 91 |  |  |
| 05 | SP-COMP-1 SOIL | L238722-1 | 94 | 99 | 93 |  | . |
| 06 | SP-COMP-2 SOIL | L238722-2 | 108 | 92 | 102 |  |  |
| 07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  | , |  |  | , |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 18 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

SMC1 DFM = Dibromofluoromethane
SMC2 TOL $=$ Toluene-d8
SMC3 BFB = Bromofluorobenzene

QC LIMITS
(80-146)
(81-119)
(76-122)
\# Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of contract required QC limits

D System Monitoring Compound diluted out

Page 1 of 1
FORM II VOA-2

Lab Name: QCINC.
Matrix Spike - Sample No.:
S-2

Contract: $\qquad$
Level: (low/med) LOW

| COMPOUND | $\begin{gathered} \text { SPIKE } \\ \text { ADDED } \\ \text { (ug/Kg) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SAMPLE CONCENTRATION (ug/Kg) | MS CONCENTRATION (ug/Kg) | $\begin{array}{rr\|} \hline \text { MS } & \\ \% & \\ \text { REC } & \# \\ \hline \end{array}$ | QC. <br> LIMITS REC. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 56 | 0 | 54 | 96 | (59-172) |
| Benzene | 56 | 0 | 50 | 89 | (59-131) |
| Trichloroethene | 56 | 0 | 61 | -108 | (65-131) |
| Toluene | 56 | 0 | 59 | 105 | (59-139) |
| Chlorobenzene | 56 | 0 | 66 | 117 | (60-133) |


| COMPOUND | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SPIKE } \\ & \text { ADDED } \\ & \text { (ug/Kg) } \end{aligned}$ | MSD CONCENTRATION (ug/Kg) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MSD } \\ \% \\ \text { REC } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { RPD } \end{gathered}$ | \# | QC LIMITS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 56 | 54 | 96 | 1 |  | 22 | (59-172) |
| Benzene | 56 | 48 | 85 | 4 |  | 20 | (59-131) |
| Trichloroethene | 56 | 57 | 102 | 6 |  | 18 | (65-131) |
| Toluene | 56 | 55 | 98 | 7 |  | 21 | (59-139) |
| Chlorobenzene | 56 | 62 | 110 | 6 |  | 21 | (60-133) |

\# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

RPD: 0 out of 5 outside limits
Spike Recovery: 0 out of 10 outside limits

Comments: $\qquad$

FORM III VOA-2

# 1D 

PCB ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET SAMPLE NO.


1D
PCB ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET SAMPLE NO.

Contract | CLAYTON |
| :--- |
| SERVICES | METHOD BLANK

Lab Name: _OC Inc.
Contract: $\qquad$
EIHOD BLANK

Lab Code: 77166 Case No.: $\qquad$ SAS NO.: $\qquad$ SDG NO.: $\qquad$ Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Sample wt/vol: $30.00 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}) 10 \mathrm{ml}$

Lab Sample ID: METHOD BLANK Level: (low/med) LOW Lab File ID : $\qquad$
Date Received: $\qquad$ \% Moisture: not dec.___ dec. $\qquad$ Date Extracted: 07/11/97
SONC Date Analyzed: $\qquad$
Extraction: (SepF/Cont/Sonc)
Date Analyzed:_ 07/16/97
GC Column ID: $\qquad$ Dilution Factor: $\qquad$ GC Column ID (2): $\qquad$ Lab file ID (2): $\qquad$
CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$

```
CAS NO. COMPOUND PQL RESULTS Q
```

12674-11-2---Aroclor-1016
$11104-28-2--$ Aroclor-1221
$11141-16-5--$ Aroclor- 1232
$53469-22-9--$ Aroclor 1242
$12672-29-6--$ Aroclor -1248
$11097-69-1--$ Aroclor -1254

$11096-82-5--$ Aroclor -1260 $\quad$| 0.030 | 0.030 | U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.030 | 0.030 | U |
| 0.030 | 0.030 | U |
| 0.030 | 0.030 | U |
| 0.030 | 0.030 | U |
| 0.030 | 0.030 | U |
| 0.030 | 0.030 | U |

## 2 E <br> SOIL SURROGATE RECOVERY Primary



FORM II PEST-2
$1 / 87$


| COMPOUND | MSD CONC. IN EXRACT ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSD \% } \\ \text { REC \# } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS \% } \\ & \text { REC \# } \end{aligned}$ | RPD\% | RPD | $\begin{gathered} \text { OC LIMITS } \\ \% \\ \perp \quad \text { REC. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arochlor 1260 | 1.54 | 92. | 85. | 8. | 50 | 57-168 |

\# Column to be used to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside OC limits

| RPD : | 0 | out of | 1 | outside limits |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Spike Recovery : | 0 | out of | 2 | outside limits

Comments: $\qquad$

FORM III PEST-2


Lab Name: $\qquad$ Contract: $\quad$ CLAYTON METHOD BLANK

Lab Code: $\quad 77166$ Case No.: $\qquad$ SAS NO. : $\qquad$ SDG NO. : $\qquad$ Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL

Lab Sample ID: METHOD BLANK

Sample wt/vol: $30.00 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}) \quad 4 \mathrm{ml}$
Lab File ID : $\qquad$
Level: (low/med) Low
Date Received: $\qquad$
\% Moisture: not dec. $\qquad$ dec. $\qquad$ Date Extracted: 07/10/97
Date Analyzed: $\qquad$
Dilution Factor: $\qquad$

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \ldots \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$

| CAS NO. COMPOUND | PQL | RESULTS | $Q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel Range Organics_ | 5.00 | 5.00 | $U$ |

## 2 E <br> SOIL SURROGATE RECOVERY Primary

Lab Name: $\qquad$ Contract: $\qquad$ CLAYTON SERVICES

Lab Code: 77166 Case No: $\qquad$ SAS NO: $\qquad$ SDG NO: $\qquad$


ADVISORY
QC LIMITS
S1 (OTP) $=0$-Terphenyl (1ml/20ppm)
(50-150)
S2 (DBC) = Dibutylchlorendate (1ml/40ppm)
(50-150)
\# Column used to flag recovery values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

D Cannot calculate due to dilution
M Matrix interference

\section*{1D <br> DIESEL RANGE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET <br> SAMPLE NO. <br> Contract: | CLAYTON |
| :--- |
| SERVICES |$\quad$ METHOD BLANK <br> $\qquad$ <br> Contract: <br> $\qquad$ <br> $\qquad$}

Lab Name:
Lab Code: 77166 Case No.: $\qquad$ SAS No.: $\qquad$ SDG No.: $\qquad$ Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL Lab Sample ID: METHOD BLANK
$\qquad$ Sample wt/vol: $30.00 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}) 4 \mathrm{ml}$ Lab File ID : G10H012

Level: (low/med) Low \% Moisture: not dec.__ dec.___

GC Column ID: $\qquad$ RTX-5
$\qquad$
Date Received: $\qquad$ Date Extracted: 07/10/97 Date Analyzed: 07/11/97 Dilution Factor: 1.0

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$

## CAS NO. <br> COMPOUND <br> PQL RESULTS Q


5.00 5.00 U

SOIL SURROGATE RECOVERY Primary

Lab Name: $\qquad$ Contract: CLAYTON SERVICES

Lab Code: $\qquad$ 77166

Case No: $\qquad$ SAS NO: $\qquad$ SDG No: $\qquad$


ADVISORY
QC LIMITS
S1 (OTP) = o-Terphenyl (1ml/20ppm)
(50-150)
$S 2(\mathrm{DBC})=$ Dibutylchlorendate ( $1 \mathrm{ml} / 40 \mathrm{ppm}$ )
(50-150)
\# Column used to flag recovery values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

D Cannot calculate due to dilution
M Matrix interference

3E
SOIL DRO MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: $\qquad$ Contract: $\qquad$ CLAYTON SERVICES

Lab Code: 77166 Case No.: SAS NO.: $\qquad$ SDG No.: $\qquad$
Matrix Spike-Sample No.: LAB SAND Level:(low/med)


| COMPOUND | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AMOUNT } \\ & \text { ADDED } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | SAMPLE CONC. IN EXTRACT ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS CONC } \\ & \text { IN EXTRACT } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | MS\% <br> REC \# | $\frac{\mathrm{QC}}{\mathrm{LIMITS}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Diesel Range | 98 | 00.0 | 127 | 130 | 50-150 |


\# Column to be use to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside QC limits

RPD: $\quad 00$ out of 01 outside limits Spike Recovery: 00 out of $\quad 02$ outside limits COMMENTS: $\qquad$
1

## 1D <br> GASOLINE RANGE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET SAMPLE NO. <br> Lab Name: OC Inc. <br> Contract: <br> $\qquad$ <br> METHOD BLANK

Lab Code: 77166 Case No.: $\qquad$ SAS NO.: $\qquad$ SDG No.: $\qquad$
Matrix: (soil/water) SOIL_ Lab Sample ID: $\qquad$ Sample wt/vol: $10.00 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}) 10 \mathrm{ml}$ Lab File ID : $\qquad$ (100ul/5ml) Level: (low/med) Low GC Column ID: Rtx-502.2 $\qquad$
Date Received: $\qquad$


Dilution Factor: $\qquad$ 1.0 $\qquad$
\%Moisture: not dec. $\qquad$ dec. $\qquad$ Date Analyzed: $07 / 11 / 97$ CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$

| CAS NO. COMPOUND | PQI, |  |  | RESULTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline Range Organics | 5.00 | 5.00 | $U$ |  |

SOIL SURROGATE RECOVERY Primary

Lab Name: $\qquad$ Contract: $\qquad$
Lab Code: $\qquad$ Case No: $\qquad$ SAS NO: $\qquad$ SDG NO: $\qquad$


ADVISORY
QC LIMITS
Si (BFB) = Bromofluorobenzene (50UL/500PPM)
(50-150)
\# Column used to flag recovery values with an asterisk

* Values outside of QC limits

M Matrix Interference

## 3E <br> SOIL GRO MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE RECOVERY

Lab Name: $\qquad$ Contract: $\qquad$
Lab Code: $\qquad$ 77166 Case No.: $\qquad$ SAS No.: $\qquad$ SDG No.: $\qquad$ Matrix Spike-Sample No.: _ LAB SAND__ Level:(low/med) $\qquad$

| COMPOUND | AMOUNT ADDED (mg/kg) | SAMPLE CONC. IN EXTRACT (mg/kg) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS CONC } \\ & \text { IN EXTRACT } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}) \end{aligned}$ | MS <br> REC \# | $\begin{array}{c\|} Q C \\ \text { LIMITS } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gasoline Range | 25.00 | 0.000 | 31.9 | 128 | 50-150 |


|  | MSD CONC. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| COMPOUND | IN EXTRACT | MSD\% | MS\% | $\%$ | QC LIMITS |  |
| (mg/kg) <br> Gasoline Range <br> Organics | REC \# | REC \# | RPD \# | RPD | REC. |  |
| 32.8 | 131 | 128 | 2.3 | 20 | $50-150$ |  |

\# Column to be use to flag recovery and RPD values with an asterisk

* Values outside QC limits

RPD: $\quad 00$ out of 01 outside limits
Spike Recovery:_00 out of 02_ outside limits COMMENTS: $\qquad$
1

METALS
ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND QUALITY ASSURANCE DATA

CLIENT: Clayton Services Corporation
SAMPLEI L238722-1,2


[^12]oc laboratories
general chemistry sample and spike duplicate results
Test Report No.: 1238722
client Name : CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION

| Parameter | Sample <br> Number | Sample <br> Matrix | Units | Sample <br> Result | Dup <br> Result | RPD <br> $\%$ | RPD <br> Limit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CYANIDE REACTIVE | L236792-8 | Solid | mg/kg | $<5$ | $<5$ | 0.0 | 20 |
| FLASH POINT/IGNITABILITY | L238722-1 | Solid | Deg. F | $>141$ | $>141$ | 0.0 | 20 |
| MOISTURE PERCENT | L230745-1 | Solid | $\%$ | 98.27 | 98.26 | 0.01 | 20 |
| PAINT FILTER TEST | L238722- |  |  |  | Neg. | Neg. | 0.0 |
| REACTIVE HYDROGEN SULFIDE | L236792-8 | Solid | mg/kg | $<5$ | $<5$ | 20 |  |
| TOTAL SOLIDS PERCENT | $L 230745-1$ | Solid | $\%$ | 1.73 | 1.74 | 0.0 | 20 |

Duplicate RPD: 0 out of 6 outside limits

Form No. HC2

QC Laboratories
general chemistry blank results

Test Report No.: 1238722
client Name : CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION

| Parameter | Sample <br> Matrix | Units | Concentration <br> Found | Practical Quantitation <br> Limit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CYANIDE REACTIVE | Liquid | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | ND | 5 |
| REACTIVE HYDROGEN SULFIDE | Liquid | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | ND | 5 |

Form No. WC3

## ec laboratories

general chemistry spike sample results

Test Report No.: L238722
Client Name : CLAYTON SERVICES CORPORATION

| Parameter | Sample <br> Number | Sample <br> Matrix | Units | Sample <br> Result | Spike <br> Conc. | Spiked <br> Result | Spike <br> Rec. $\%$ | ac <br> Limits |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CYANIDE REACTIVE | L236792-8 | Solid | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<5$ | 12.5 | 12.5 | 100 | $41-112$ |
| REACTIVE HYDROGEN SULFIDE | L236792-8 | Solid | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $<5$ | 86.4 | 72 | 83 | $45-110$ |

Spike Recovery: 0 out of 2 outside limits
The appearance of an LFB denotes that the MS was outside oC Limits

Form No. WC4


## APPENDIX B

UST System Inspection and Testing Documentation

## FOR DEP USE ONLY

## Reviewer

$\qquad$
Date
Entered by $\qquad$

## FACILITY INFORMATION

ID Number $15-24418$
Name HERR FOODS FNC. Location 20 HERR PRUNE Address NOTIN6HAM, PA 19362 Municipality NOTING HAM Twi
Representative Present During Inspection Name $\qquad$ PAVE During Inspection
MORAN Phone $\frac{610-932-9330}{\square}$
$\square$ Owner $\square$ Operator $\backslash$ Employee $\square$ None

## CERTIFIED INSPECTOR

Name Tim EIDRETH
ID No. 548
Phone 6108422418
E-mail TEIORETHGYZOOM INTERNET, NET
Date of First Site Visit (month/day/year)
OWNER (must be a person)
Name ER HERR
OPERATOR (if different than owner)
Name SAME

## Financial Responsibility discussed with owner

No $\square$

- Provided by USTIF. Owner must have deductibles available as provided in Subchapter H of the regulations.
- Required of all UST owners except state agencies.


Amended registration form required for (check all that apply):
Added tanks
$\square$ Closed tanks
$\square$ Change in tank size
Change in substance stored
$\square$ Change of operational status (in or out of service)

Inspection summary.
Indicate the compliance status of each item below using the following codes: $\mathrm{N}=$ Noncompliant
$\mathrm{C}=$ Compliant

|  | Tank No. <br> 008 | Tank No. <br> 009 | Tank No. | Tank No. | Tank No. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Tank Construction and Corrosion Protection | $C$ | $C$ |  |  |  |
| Piping Construction and Corrosion Protection | $C$ | $C$ |  |  |  |
| Spill Prevention | $C$ | $C$ |  |  |  |
| Overfill Prevention | $C$ | $C$ |  |  |  |
| Registration Certificate Display | $C$ | $C$ |  |  |  |
| Tank Release Detection | $C$ | $C$ |  |  |  |
| Piping Release Detection | $C$ | $C$ |  |  |  |
| Monthly sump checks | $N$ | $N$ |  |  |  |

1, the DEP Certified Inspector (IUM), have inspected the entire above referenced facility including examining manways, sumps, monitoring wells and dispensers. Based on my personal observation of the facility and documentation provided by the owner, I certify under penalty of law as provided in 18 PA C.S.A. Section 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities thar the information provided by me is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief.


## Certified Inspector's Signature

## Date

As the representative of the owner or operator, I have reviewed the completed inspection report. I certify under penalty of law as provided in 18 PA C.S.A. Section 4904 (relating to unsworn falsification to authorities), that the information provided by me is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief:

[^13]
## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK FACILITY <br> OPERATIONS INSPECTION

## Facility Name HERR FOOOS

Date
$6 / 6 / 14$
Facility ID 15 $-24418$
I. TANK SYSTEM INFORMATION. For each tank, fill in the required information and codes from the following list. Where multiple codes are allowed and used for a specific tank component, describe the arrangement in the COMMENTS section. (See FOI form instructions
for details.)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tank No. } \\ \mathbf{O} 8 \end{gathered}$ | Tank No. 004 | Tank No. | Tank No. | Tank No. | DEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Tank capacity (name plate gallons) | 10000 | 10,000 |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Substance currently stored | GAS | Presel |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Installation date (mm/yyyy) | 6/19/97 | $6 / 1979$ |  |  |  |  |
| 4. This drone tank is manifolded to tank number | ¢19 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Product level, in inches, at time of inspection | 45 | 60 |  |  |  |  |
| 6. Total secondary containment on this tank system | $y$ | y |  |  |  | (18) |
| 7. Tank construction and corrosion protection | $G$ | $G$ |  |  |  | (1) |
| 8. Main piping construction and corrosion protection | K | K |  |  |  | (2) |
| 9a. Number of tank top sumps $\ddagger$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9b. Number of tank top sumps tested tight $\ddagger$ | 0 | O |  |  |  | (21) |
| 9c. Spill containment tested tight | N | $\sim$ |  |  |  | (21) |
| 10a. Number of transition sumps | $\bigcirc$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 10b. Number of transition sumps tested tight | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | (21) |
| 11a. Number of connected dispensers | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 11c. Number of dispenser pans tested tight pans | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 12a. Piping flexible joints/connectors construction at | O | 0 |  |  |  | (22) |
| 12b. Piping flexible joints/connectors construction at dis | $x$ | Y |  |  |  | (PFLX) |
| 13. Pump (product dispensing) system | c | I |  |  |  | (PFLX) |
| 14. Spill protection | ¢ | Y |  |  |  | (4) |
| 15. Overfill type | 5 | Y |  |  |  | ${ }^{(6)}$ |
| 16. Current registration certificate display | Y | 4 |  |  |  | (7) |
| 17. Stage I vapor recovery | $B$ | ${ }^{+}$ |  |  |  | (8) |
| 18. Stage Il vapor recovery | A | N |  |  |  | (19) |
| 19. Tank release detection the tank system release detection met | carefully b | , filling | in the follow | ing rows. |  |  |
|  | H | H |  |  |  | (12) |
| 20. Piping small release detection ( 0.2 gph monthly or 0.1 gph annually) | 0 | D |  |  |  | (5) |
| 21. Pressure (line 13 is C or D ) piping line leak detector (LLD function) | A | A |  |  |  | (5) |
| 22. LLD function includes a positive turbine pump shutoff | $N$ | $N$ |  |  |  | 23) |

$\ddagger$ at tank penetrations that have pipe that routinely contains or conveys product.
Site drawing / manifold schematic (not master-drone system):
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## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK FACILITY OPERATIONS INSPECTION

Facility Name HERR FOODS


Facility ID $15-24418$
II. Release Detection Reference

- Records may be located at the facility or a readily available alternate site.
- The records include all of the information listed below for chosen release detection methods.
- The inspector has actually seen the records.
- A test with an inconclusive result or failure is an indication of a (suspected) product release.


Automatic Tank Gauging: (Tank only - code E)

## Instructions:

Check the box to indicate that a criterion has been met. Circle the box to indicate that a criterion has not been met. Circle with "N/A" when a criterion is not applicable (provide comment).

ATG model:
 valid monthly leak test conducted and documented manufacturer's certification of ability to detect 0.2 gph release is available probes and gauge software certified for manifolded tank systems - When not specifically certified, the siphon must be broken to properly test maintenance records, for the last year, including calibration, preventative and repair equipment is operational
Manual Tank Gauging: (Tank only - code C, F, G44 or G58)

tank capacity is 2,000 gallons or less
tank installed before 11/10/2007 performed weekly 1/8th inch accuracy stick readings average 2 stick readings before and after test test length appropriate for each tank

- 36 hours minimum
- 44 hours, 551-1000 gallons, $64{ }^{\prime \prime}$ diameter
- 58 hours, 551-1000 gallons, 48 " diameter variation is within standard (both weekly and monthly)


## Precision Tightness Test (TTT): (Tank only - code C)

method used (after 10/11/1994):
date of last test:

result: $\qquad$ complete documentation of tightness test available performed by UTT certified installer (after 9/28/1996) manufacturer's certification of ability to detect 0.1 gph release is available
Interstitial Monitoring: (Tank code $\mathbf{H}$; describe monitoring equipment in comments)
/ital Monitoring: (Tank code H; describe monitoring equipment in comments)
interstitial area monitored monthly (required for tanks installed after 11/20/2007)
interstitial sensors properly placed (per manufacturer's instructions)
monitoring wells (secondary barrier) or ports are clearly marked and secured

Statistical Inventory Reconciliation: (Tank code $\mathbf{D}$ and/or Piping code J) test vendor:

version: $\qquad$
manufacturer's certification of ability to detect 0.2 gph release is available data is collected according to the test vendor's instructions analysis completed monthly and valid results supplied to owner/operator within 20 days - valid reports include calculated leak rate, minimum detectible leak rate, leak threshold, probability of detection and probability of false alarm suspected releases properly investigated within 7 days of inconclusive or failed report to confirm or deny the occurrence of a release
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## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK FACILITY OPERATIONS INSPECTION

## Facility Name HERR FOOOS

Date $\qquad$
Facility ID $\qquad$ $-24418$

## II. RELEASE DETECTION REFERENCE (continued)

Tank Tank Tank Tank Tank System Systole System System System 008007<br>$\qquad$

## Instructions:


#### Abstract

Check the box to indicate that a criterion has been met Circle the box to indicate that a criterion has not been met Circle with "N/A" when a criterion is not applicable (provide comment).


Groundwater or Vapor Monitoring: (Tank code J or K and/or Piping code E or F; describe well locations and monitoring equipment in comments)
wells are located according to site evaluation; attach page with evaluator authentication to the inspection report
wells are properly installed in accordance with site evaluation and regulations
wells are monitored and results recorded monthly in accordance with site evaluation monitoring wells are marked and secured
fill material is sufficiently porous to allow expeditious detection at the monitoring wells substance stored meets regulatory requirements for type of monitoring equipment manufacturer's performance claims are available equipment maintenance records, for the last year, including calibration, preventative and repair
Groundwater monitoring:

monitoring devices can detect $1 / 8$ inch of product or less on water groundwater is within 20 feet of surface grade
wells are sealed from ground surface to the top of the filter pack casing is properly slotted: allows entry of product during all groundwater conditions
the monitoring device is not rendered inoperative by moisture background contamination will not interfere with vapor monitoring vapor monitors will detect increases in concentrations of stored substance
Interstitial Monitoring: (Piping code $D$ and/or $L$; describe monitoring equipment in comments)

interstitial area monitored monthly (required for all totally-contained pressurized piping systems)
secondary enters sump and allows a release to be detected interstitial sensors properly placed (per manufacturer's instructions) monitoring wells or ports (when used) are clearly marked and secured maintenance records, for the last year, including preventative and repair equipment manufacturer's performance claims are available secondary barrier (pipe) is compatible with and impermeable to the stored substance
(Code L only) continuous monitoring used as line leak detector (gravity or pressurized piping) - capable of detecting 3.0 gph release within 1 hour
(Code L only) system tested for operability within the last year
(Code L only) monthly "sensor status" (or equivalent) records available
Sumps Checked Monthly

monthly sump checks for the last 12 months documented
tank top sumps dry and clean
transition sumps dry and clean
dispenser pans/sumps dry and clean

## Exempt Suction System: (SUCTION piping only - code I)

NOTE: No further release detection required on piping meeting all these criteria.

the tank top is lower than the suction pump inlet the below grade piping slopes uniformly back to the tank there is no more than one check valve in the piping the check valve is located close to or inside the suction pump compliance with above specifications can be readily determined; describe in comments
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## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK FACILITY

 OPERATIONS,INSPECTION$\qquad$ Date $\qquad$ Facility ID $\qquad$ $-244$ 18

## II. RELEASE DETECTION REFERENCE (continued)

## instructions: Check the box to indicate that a criterion has been met. Circle the box to indicate that a criterion has not been met.

 Circle with "N/A" when a criterion is not applicable (provide comment).Piping Tightness (Line) Testing: (Piping only-code B or C)

version: EZY CHEK
result: PASS
test certification of ability to detect 0.1 gph release at 1.5 times operating pressure is available
performed by UTT certified installer (after 11/10/2008)
test conducted at proper frequency

- conducted annually for pressurized piping without monthly monitoring
- conducted every 3 years for suction piping not meeting code I requirements
if test device permanently installed, maintenance records, for the last year, including calibration, preventative and repair


## Mechanical Line Leak Detector: (PRESSURIZED Piping only - code A)



Electronic Line Leak Detector: (PRESSURIZED Piping only - code K) manufacturer: $\qquad$ model: date of last 3gph test:
result: $\qquad$
self checking or system tested for operability within the last year certification of ability to detect a release of 3 gph at 10 psig within 1 hour is available maintenance records, in addition to annual test, for last year, including calibration, preventative and repair continuously monitors piping
Is the electronic leak detector performing the "monthly" monitoring function? $\square$ Yes, $\square$ No If yes: date of last 0.2 gph test: $\qquad$ result:

third-party certification of ability to detect 0.2 gph release is available documentation of monthly test available for last year Is the electronic leak detector performing the "annual" monitoring function? $\square$ Yes, $\square$ No If yes: date of last 0.1 gph test: $\qquad$ - result:
third-party certification of ability to detect 0.1 gph release is available

## IUM Release Detection Record Review: (All release detection codes)

- An empty tank (less than $1^{\prime \prime}$ of product/sludge) or a tank supplying an emergency generator only is not required to perform release detection. Indicate date emptied or that it is an emergency generator tank in comments.
- Recently installed tank systems must begin performing release detection immediately after receiving product. Indicate date of first product receipt in comments.

$\square$$\square \quad$| tank release detection records for the last 12 months the system contained product are |
| :--- |
| available |
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## UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK FACILITY OPERATIONS INSPECTION

Facility Name HERR FONOS
Date $6 / 6 / 14$

Facility ID $\qquad$ $-24418$

## III. CORROSION PROTECTION COMPLIANCE CRITERIA



Instructions: Check the box to indicate that a criterion has been met. Circle the box to indicate that a criterion has not been met. Circle with "N/A" when a criterion is not applicable (provide comment).
Lined Tanks: (Tank only - code I)
tank inspected and lined according to national standard date lined:
tank initially inspected 10 years after lining and every 5 years thereafter dates) inspected: $\qquad$
Galvanic and Impressed Cathodic Protection: (Tank code B, C, O or P and/or Piping)

tank structure to soil potential greater than 0.85 volts, or meets other nationally recognized protection standard; specify: potential on tank current monitoring
(date)
(date) $\qquad$
pipe/fiex structure to soil potential greater than 0.85 volts, or meets other nationally recognized protection standard: specify: $\qquad$ potential on pipefflex current monitoring (date) $\qquad$ potential on pipe/flex previously monitored (date)
Impressed Current Design and Rectifier Output: (Tank code C or P and/or Piping)
 system designed by a corrosion expert system is turned on and functioning within design limits documentation of last three amp (plus volt and runtime when meters available)
readings; recorded at least once every 60 days:


If Cathodic Protection or supplemental anodes were added to an existing tank system, fill in the following (Information is Required for Compliance):
$\qquad$ Date installed: $\qquad$
Tank Shell Assessment Method: $\qquad$

## IV. Operator Training

list of trained operators designates a class A operator; includes their training certification list of trained operators designates a class B operator; includes their training certification list of trained operators designates class C operators); date of initial training or last refresher is within the previous 12 months
written instructions and notification procedures are readily available for class $C$ operators at retail facilities; are posted in a location visible to dispenser operators at other facilities

DESCRIBE INFORMAL TRAINING PROVIDED FOR OWNER, CLASS A ANDIOR CLASS B OPERATORS - see instructions.
pave moran - Training by pass testing, com

[^18]UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK FACILITY
OPERATIONS INSPECTION
Facility Name $\qquad$ HERR Foods

Date $\qquad$ 14

Facility ID $\qquad$ 15 24418
IUM checked for water in tanks) and sump (s) - results below
V. COMMENTS INCLUDING ACTIONS TO BRING INTO COMPLIANCE (Attach additional sheets where necessary)

See instructions

NO wATER in TANKs AT Time of inspection.
WATER IN STE SUMPS AT TIME OF INSPECTION CIEANEO SUMPS PAY of INSPECTION.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

EZY CHEK SVSTEMS

Systems $\hat{8}$ Training
or the
Petroleum Indusiry
DATA SHEET

Prolnar

## Test Location Information

| Name | Herr Foods Inc |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address | 20 Herr Drive |
| City | Nottingham PA 19362 |
| Phone | $610-932-9330$ |
| Contact | Dave Moran |


| 菖 \＃1 <br> FTME | DATA | －／＋ | GPL | Gasoline RES | 娄 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9：30 | 65 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 9：45 | 65 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 10：00 | 65 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 崖 |
| 10：15 | 65 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | $0.0000{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| 10：30 | 65 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | $0.0000{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 10：45 | 65 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |  | PASS |  | \％ |



$03 / 30 / 16$

## Testing Company Intormation

| Name |
| :--- |
| Address $\mid$ |
| Citv |
| Phone |

## Technican Information

| Name | Tim Eldreth |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cert \＃ | 6523 |

## Applied Pressure ： 500 s


PASS



| ETY CHEK SYSTEMS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Systems \& Training for the Petroleum industry | FINAL REPORT |
| Test Location Information |  |
| Name | Herr Foods Inc. |
| Address: | 20 Herr Drive |
| City | Nottingham, PA 19362 |
| Phone | 610-932-9330 |
| Contact | Dave Moran |

03/30/15
Testing Company Information

| Name | Eldreth Environmental Services |
| ---: | :---: |
| Address | 654 Colora Road |
| Citv | Colora, MD 21917 |
| Phone | $610-842-2418$ |

Technican Information


## PRODUCT LINE TEST

|  | Product Type | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1$ | Gasoline | PASS |
| $\# 2$ | Diesel | PASS |
| $\# 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\# 4$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\# 5$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\# \# 6$ | 0 | 0 |

Comments/Recommendations:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## EZY CHEK SYSTEMS/Eldreth Env. Services

Svstems \& Training | tor the |
| :--- |
| Detroleum Industry |$\quad$ DATA SHEET

| Test Location Information |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name | Herr Foods Inc. |
| Address | 20 Herr Drive |
| City | Nottingham, PA 19362 |
| Phone | 610-932-9330 |
| Contact | Dave Moran |

## Testing Company Information

| Name | Eldreth Environmental Services |
| ---: | :---: |
| Address | 654 Colora Road |
| City | Colora, MD 21917 |
| Phone | $610-842-2418$ |

## Technican Information



PUMP \#
MAKE
MODEL
SERIAL

| Red Jacket | FxIv | 311149937 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Red Jacket | Fx1dv | 307070177 |


| PumP\# | Product Type | Metering Pressure | Functional <br> Element <br> Holding PSI | Resiliency | Rate <br> ML/MIN | Opening <br> Time | Pass/Fail |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regular | 26 psi | 17 psi | 80 mil | 189 ml | 2sec | PASS |
| 2 | Diesel | 28 psi | 16 psi | 75 mil | 189 ml | 3 sec | PASS |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 189 ml |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 189 ml |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 189 ml |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 189 ml |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 189 ml |  |  |

## EZY CHEK SYSTEMS

## Testing Company Information

Systems \& Training
for the
Petroleum Industry

## DATA SHEET

| Name | Eldreth Environmental Services |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address | 654 Colora Road |
| Citv | Colora. MD 21917 |
| Phone | $610-842-2418$ |

## Technican Information

| Name | Tim Eldreth |
| :---: | :---: |
| Cert \# | 6523 |

Applied Pressure 50 psi

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#2 } \\ & \text { TIME } \end{aligned}$ | DATA | -/+ | GPL | Diesel <br> RES | GPH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 12:30 | 84 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | $0.0000{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| -12:45 | 84 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13:00 | 83 | -1 | 0.0037 | -0.0037 | -0.0148 |
| 1 13:15 | 83 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.00008 |
| +13:30 | 83 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13:45 | 83 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |


| \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TIME | DATA | -1+ | GPL | RES | GPH |
| 1 |  | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
|  |  | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.00001 |
| , |  | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| , |  | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| t |  | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |




| Test Location Information |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name | Herr Foods Inc |
| Address | 20 Herr Drive |
| Citv | Nottingham, PA 19362 |
| Phone | 610-932-9330 |
| Contact | Dave Moran |


| $\begin{gathered} \# 1 \\ \text { TIME } \end{gathered}$ | DATA | -1+ | GPL | Gasoline RES | GPH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:30 | 45 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.00008 |
| 12:45 | 44 | -1 | 0.0037 | -0.0037 | -0.0148 |
| 13:00 | 44 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.00001 |
| 13:15 | 44 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13:30 | 44 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
| 13:45 | 44 | 0 | 0.0037 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

PASS

|  | ETY CHEK SVSTEMS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Systems \& Training <br> tor the <br> Petroleum industry | FINAL REPORT |
| Test Location Information |  |
| Name | Herr Foods inc. |
| Address | 20 Herr Drive |
| City | Nottingham, PA 19362 |
| Phone | 610-932-9330 |
| Contact | Dave Moran |

Testing Company Information

| Name | Eldreth Environmental Services |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address | 654 Colora Road |
| Citv | Colora. MD 21917 |
| Phone | $610-842-2418$ |

Technican Information

| Name | Tim Eldreth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cert\# | 6523 |
| Applied Pressure | 50 psi |

## PRODUCT LINE TEST

|  | Product Type | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\# 1$ | Gasoline | PASS |
| $\# 2$ | Diesel | PASS |
| $\# 3$ | 0 | 0 |
| \#4 | 0 | 0 |
| $\# 5$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\# 6$ | 0 | 0 |

## Comments/Recommendations:

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## EZY CHEK SYSTEMS/Eldreth Env. Services

Svstems \& Training
DATA SHEET for the Petroleum Industry

3/3/2014

## Testing Company Information

| Name | Eldreth Environmental Services |
| :---: | :---: |
| Address | 654 Colora Road |
| City | Colora, MD 21917 |
| Phone | $610-842-2418$ |

## Technican Information



## Test Location Information

| Name | Herr Foods Inc. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Address | 20 Herr Drive |
| City | Nottingham, PA 19362 |
| Phone | 610-932-9330 |
| Contact | Dave Moran |

PUMP\#
MAKE
MODEL
$+$
SERIAL

| Fx1v | 101116612 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fx1dv | 307070177 |

$\bar{z}$

2
3

8

| PUMP \# | Product Type | Metering Pressure | Functional Element Holding PSI | Resiliency | Test Leak Rate ML/MIN | Opening Time | Pass/Fail |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Regular | 26psi | 17psi | 75 mil | 189ml | 2sec | PASS |
| 2 | Diesel | 30 psi | 16psi | 75 mil | 189ml | 2sec | PASS |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 189ml |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  | 189ml |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 189 ml |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  | 189 ml |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 189ml |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  | 189ml |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

## Soil Boring and Monitoring Well Logs








































MONITORING WELL LOG: SG-1




## APPENDIX D

## Soil Sample Laboratory Analytical Reports

ANALYTICAL RESULTS
Prepared by:
Prepared for:
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

## Rettew Associates

3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011
October 27, 2014
Project: Project 101722001
Submittal Date: 10/16/2014
Group Number: 1511613
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

| Client Sample Description | Lancaster Labs (LL) \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| SB-5@18 Ft Soil | 7640183 |
| SB-6@ 10 Ft Soil | 7640184 |
| SB-7@ 8 Ft Soil | 7640185 |
| SB-8@ 7 Ft Soil | 7640186 |
| SB-2@ 16 Ft Soil | 7640187 |
| SB-3@ 12 Ft Soil | 7640188 |
| SB-4@1 11 Ft Soil | 7640190 |
| SB-9@10 Ft Soil | 7640191 |
| SB-10@5 5t Soil | 7640192 |
| SB-1 Soil | 7640193 |
| Supply Well Water | 7640194 |

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

ELECTRONIC Rettew Associates Attn: Ed Dziedzic
COPY TO

## Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7262


## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumary for overall oc performance data and associated samples.

| CAT | Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
|  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |
| 10237 | Benzene, Naphthalene | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 19:31 | Sarah A Guill | 46.9 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 09:30 | Client Supplied | 1 |
|  | Preserv.MeOH-NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10724 | PAH 8270 (microwave) | SW-846 8270C | 1 | 14293 SLF026 | 10/22/2014 | 11:52 | Joseph M Gambler | 1 |
| 10814 | BNA Soil Microwave PAH | SW-846 3546 | 1 | 14293 SLF026 | 10/21/2014 | 09:30 | David S Schrum | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $Q C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumary for overall oc performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Benzene, Naphthalene | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 19:54 | Sarah A Guill | 41.88 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 13:30 | Client Supplied | 1 |
|  | Preserv.MeOH-NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10724 | PAH 8270 (microwave) | SW-846 8270C | 1 | 14293 SLF026 | 10/22/2014 | 13:11 | Joseph M Gambler | 1 |
| 10814 | BNA Soil Microwave PAH | SW-846 3546 | 1 | 14293SLF026 | 10/21/2014 | 09:30 | David S Schrum | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumary for overall oc performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Benzene, Naphthalene | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q142951AA | 10/22/2014 | 17:25 | Sarah A Guill | 168.92 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 13:00 | Client Supplied | 1 |
|  | Preserv.MeOH-NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10724 | PAH 8270 (microwave) | SW-846 8270C | 1 | 14293 SLF026 | 10/22/2014 | 13:37 | Joseph M Gambler | 1 |
| 10814 | BNA Soil Microwave PAH | SW-846 3546 | 1 | 14293SLF026 | 10/21/2014 | 09:30 | David S Schrum | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumary for overall oc performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |
| 10237 | Benzene, Naphthalene | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q142951AA | 10/22/2014 | 17:48 | Sarah A Guill | 460.41 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 11:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
|  | Preserv.MeOH-NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10724 | PAH 8270 (microwave) | SW-846 | 8270C | 1 | 14293 SLF026 | 10/22/2014 | 14:03 | Joseph M Gambler | 1 |
| 10814 | BNA Soil Microwave PAH | SW-846 | 3546 | 1 | 14293 SLF026 | 10/21/2014 | 09:30 | David S Schrum | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | BTE/MTBE/Cumene/Naph/TMBs | SW-846 | 8260 B | 1 | Q142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 15:35 | Sarah A Guill | 44.8 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field | SW-846 | 5035 A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 11:00 | Client Supplied | 1 |
|  | Preserv.MeOH-NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |
| 10237 | BTE/MTBE/Cumene/Naph/TMBs | SW-846 | 8260 B | 1 | Q142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 15:58 | Sarah A Guill | 39 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | $10: 15$ | Client Supplied | 1 |
|  | Preserv.MeOH-NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | BTE/MTBE/Cumene/Naph/TMBs | SW-846 | 8260 B | 1 | Q142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 16:21 | Sarah A Guill | 42.16 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field | SW-846 | 5035 A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 10:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
|  | Preserv.MeOH-NC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | $20: 12$ | Scott W Freisher | 1 |


| Sample Description: | SB-9 @ 10 Ft Soil | LL Sample | \# | SW 7640190 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 101722001 | LL Group | \# | 1511613 |
|  |  | Account | \# | 00721 |

Project Name: Project 101722001

| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201414: 05$ | by ED | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 201418: 05$ |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |  |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

92001

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Acrolein | 107-02-8 | N.D. | 25 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Acrylonitrile | 107-13-1 | N. D. | 5 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 0.6 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | N. D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Bromofortn | 75-25-2 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | N.D. | 2 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Carbon Tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | N.D. | 2 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Chloroform | 67-66-3 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | N.D. | 2 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | N. D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Methylene Chloride | 75-09-2 | N.D. | 2 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-5 | N. D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 75-69-4 | N.D. | 2 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | N.D. | 1 | 0.88 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 1 | 0.88 |

2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether is an acid labile compound and cannot be reported due to acid preservation of the samples and standards in this method.

| GC/MS | Semivolatiles SW-846 | 8270 C | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10727 | Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | N.D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | N. D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | Anthracene | 120-12-7 | N. D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzidine | 92-87-5 | N. D. | 950 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (a) anthracene | 56-55-3 | N. D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (a) pyrene | 50-32-8 | N. D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (b) fluoranthene | 205-99-2 | N.D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}$ ) perylene | 191-24-2 | N. D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (k) fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | N. D. | 5 | 1 |
| 10727 | 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether | 101-55-3 | N. D. | 23 | 1 |
| 10727 | Butylbenzylphthalate | 85-68-7 | N. D. | 91 | 1 |
| 10727 | Di-n-butylphthalate | 84-74-2 | N. D. | 91 | 1 |
| 10727 | 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 59-50-7 | N. D. | 23 | 1 |
| 10727 | bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane | 111-91-1 | N.D. | 23 | 1 |
| 10727 | bis (2-Chloroethyl)ether | 111-44-4 | N. D. | 23 | 1 |


| Sample Description: | SB-9 @ 10 Ft Soil | LI Sample | \# | SW 7640190 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 101722001 | LL Group | \# | 1511613 |
|  |  | Account | \# | 00721 |

Project Name: Project 101722001

| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201414: 05$ | by ED | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 201418: 05$ |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |  |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

92001


## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | PPL/TCL Volatiles in Soil | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | X142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 16:40 | Chelsea B Stong | 0.88 |
| 02392 | GC/MS - Field Preserved NaHSO4 | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 14:05 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 2 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 14:05 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv.MeOH-NC | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 14:05 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 10727 | PPL/TCL SVOCs in Soil | SW-846 | 8270C | 1 | 14290 SLB026 | 10/20/2014 | 15:53 | Joseph M Gambler | 1 |
| 10809 | BNA Soil Microwave | SW-846 | 3546 | 1 | 14290 SLB026 | 10/17/2014 | 07:30 | Olivia Arosemena | 1 |
| 06944 | Antimony | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06935 | Arsenic | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06947 | Beryllium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sample Description: SB-9 @ 10 Ft Soil |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 101722001 |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

92001

| cat | Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |
| 06949 | Cadmium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06951 | Chromium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06953 | Copper | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06955 | Lead | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06961 | Nickel | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06936 | Selenium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06966 | Silver | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06925 | Thallium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06972 | Zinc | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:49 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 00159 | Mercury | SW-846 | 7471A | 1 | 142905711001 | 10/20/2014 | 11:20 | Damary Valentin | 1 |
| 05708 | SW SW846 ICP/ICP MS Digest | SW-846 | 3050B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/20/2014 | 08:45 | Christopher M Klumpp | 1 |
| 05711 | SW SW846 Hg Digest | SW-846 modifie | $7471 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1 | 142905711001 | 10/20/2014 | 08:36 | Christopher M Klumpp | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


| Project Name: Project 101722001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201414: 40 \quad$ by ED | Rettew Associates |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 2014$ | $18: 05$ |$\quad$| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |
| :--- |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

10001

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Acrolein | 107-02-8 | N. D. | 28 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Acrylonitrile | 107-13-1 | N. D. | 6 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 0.8 J | 0.7 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Bromoform | 75-25-2 | N.D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | N. D. | 3 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Carbon Tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | N. D. | 3 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Chloroform | 67-66-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | N. D. | 3 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | N.D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Methylene Chloride | 75-09-2 | N. D. | 3 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 75-69-4 | N. D. | 3 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 1 | 1.02 |

2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether is an acid labile compound and cannot be reported due to acid preservation of the samples and standards in this method.

The recovery for the sample internal standard is outside the OC acceptance limits. The following corrective action was taken: The sample was re-analyzed and the OC is again outside of the acceptance limits, indicating a matrix effect. The data is reported from the initial trial.

| GC/MS | Semivolatiles | SW-846 | 8270 C | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10727 | Acenaphthene |  | 83-32-9 | N. D. |  | 45 | 10 |
| 10727 | Acenaphthylene |  | 208-96-8 | N. D. |  | 45 | 10 |
| 10727 | Anthracene |  | 120-12-7 | N. D. |  | 45 | 10 |
| 10727 | Benzidine |  | 92-87-5 | N.D. |  | 9,400 | 10 |
| 10727 | Benzo (a) anthracene |  | 56-55-3 | N. D. |  | 45 | 10 |
| 10727 | Benzo (a) pyrene |  | 50-32-8 | 46 | J | 45 | 10 |
| 10727 | Benzo (b) fluoranthene |  | 205-99-2 | 54 | J | 45 | 10 |
| 10727 | Benzo ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}$ ) perylene |  | 191-24-2 | N.D. |  | 45 | 10 |
| 10727 | Benzo (k) fluoranthene |  | 207-08-9 | N. D. |  | 45 | 10 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


| Project Name: Project 101722001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201414: 40 \quad$ by ED | Rettew Associates |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 2014$ | $18: 05$ |$\quad$| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |
| :--- |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

10001



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | PPL/TCL Volatiles in Soil | SW-846 | 8260 B | 1 | X142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 17:04 | Chelsea B Stong | 1.02 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 14:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 2 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 14:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field <br> Preserv.MeOH-NC | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 14:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 10727 | PPL/TCL sVocs in Soil | SW-846 | 8270 C | 1 | 14290 SLB026 | 10/20/2014 | 16:16 | Joseph M Gambler | 10 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| $\begin{aligned} \text { Sample Description: } & \text { SB-10 @ } 5 \text { Ft Soil } \\ & 101722001 \end{aligned}$ | LT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: Project 101722001 |  |
| Collected: 10/16/2014 14:40 by ED | Rettew Associates |
| Submitted: 10/16/2014 18:05 | 3020 Columbia Avenue Lancaster PA 17603-4011 |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

10001




Project Name: Project 101722001

| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201415: 55$ | by ED | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 201418: 05$ |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |  |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

12001

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Acrolein | 107-02-8 | N.D. | 23 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Acrylonitrile | 107-13-1 | N. D. | 5 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 0.6 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Bromoform | 75-25-2 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | N. D. | 2 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Carbon Tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | N.D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | N. D. | 2 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Chloroform | 67-66-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | N. D. | 2 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | N.D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | N.D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | N.D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Methylene Chloride | 75-09-2 | N. D. | 2 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-5 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 75-69-4 | N. D. | 2 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | N.D. | 1 | 1.08 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 1 | 1.08 |

2 -Chloroethyl vinyl ether is an acid labile compound and cannot be reported due to acid preservation of the samples and standards in this method.

| GC/MS | Semivolatiles SW-846 | 8270 C | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10727 | Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | N.D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | Anthracene | 120-12-7 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzidine | 92-87-5 | N.D. | 750 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (a) anthracene | 56-55-3 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (a) pyrene | 50-32-8 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (b) fluoranthene | 205-99-2 | N.D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo ( $\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}$ ) perylene | 191-24-2 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | Benzo (k) fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10727 | 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether | 101-55-3 | N. D. | 18 | 1 |
| 10727 | Butylbenzylphthalate | 85-68-7 | N. D. | 72 | 1 |
| 10727 | Di-n-butylphthalate | 84-74-2 | N. D. | 72 | 1 |
| 10727 | 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 59-50-7 | N. D. | 18 | 1 |
| 10727 | bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane | 111-91-1 | N.D. | 18 | 1 |
| 10727 | bis (2-Chloroethyl)ether | 111-44-4 | N. D. | 18 | 1 |




## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | PPL/TCL Volatiles in Soil | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | X142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 17:27 | Chelsea B Stong | 1.08 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 15:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 2 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 15:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field <br> Preserv.MeOH-NC | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201428935895 | 10/16/2014 | 15:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 10727 | PPL/TCL sVocs in Soil | SW-846 | 8270C | 1 | 14294 SLC026 | 10/22/2014 | 10:33 | Joseph M Gambler | 1 |
| 10809 | BNA Soil Microwave | SW-846 | 3546 | 2 | 14294 SLC026 | 10/21/2014 | 18:40 | Sally L Appleyard | 1 |
| 06944 | Antimony | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06935 | Arsenic | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06947 | Beryllium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sample Description: $\begin{array}{r}\text { SB-1 Soil } \\ 101722001\end{array}$ | LL |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: Project 101722001 |  |
| Collected: 10/16/2014 15:55 by ED | Rettew Associates |
|  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Submitted: 10/16/2014 18:05 | Lancaster PA 17603-4011 |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

12001

| cat | Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |
| 06949 | Cadmium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06951 | Chromium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06953 | Copper | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06955 | Lead | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06961 | Nickel | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06936 | Selenium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06966 | Silver | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06925 | Thallium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 06972 | Zinc | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/21/2014 | 23:57 | Elaine F Stoltzfus | 1 |
| 00159 | Mercury | SW-846 | 7471A | 1 | 142905711001 | 10/20/2014 | 11:24 | Damary Valentin | 1 |
| 05708 | SW SW846 ICP/ICP MS Digest | SW-846 | 3050B | 1 | 142905708001 | 10/20/2014 | 08:45 | Christopher M Klumpp | 1 |
| 05711 | SW SW846 Hg Digest | SW-846 modifie | $7471 \mathrm{~A}$ | 1 | 142905711001 | 10/20/2014 | 08:36 | Christopher M Klumpp | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 14294820005 A | 10/21/2014 | 20:12 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



Project Name: Project 101722001

| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201411: 30$ | by ED | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 201418: 05$ |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |  |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

## $2001 W$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | As Received Result | As Received <br> Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10335 | Acrolein | 107-02-8 | N. D. | 40 | 1 |
| 10335 | Acrylonitrile | 107-13-1 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10335 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Bromoform | 75-25-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Carbon Tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether | 110-75-8 | N. D. | 2 | 1 |
|  | 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether may preserve this sample. | not be recover | if acid was used to |  |  |
| 10335 | Chloroform | 67-66-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Methylene Chloride | 75-09-2 | N. D. | 2 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 75-69-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| GC/MS | Semivolatiles SW-846 | 8270 C | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| 04678 | Acenaphthene | 83-32-9 | N.D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | Acenaphthylene | 208-96-8 | N. D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | Anthracene | 120-12-7 | N. D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | Benzidine | 92-87-5 | N. D. | 21 | 1 |
| 04678 | Benzo (a) anthracene | 56-55-3 | N. D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | Benzo (a) pyrene | 50-32-8 | N. D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | Benzo (b) fluoranthene | 205-99-2 | N. D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | Benzo (g,h,i) perylene | 191-24-2 | N. D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | Benzo (k) fluoranthene | 207-08-9 | N. D. | 0.1 | 1 |
| 04678 | 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether | 101-55-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 04678 | Butylbenzylphthalate | 85-68-7 | N. D. | 2 | 1 |
| 04678 | Di-n-butylphthalate | 84-74-2 | N. D. | 2 | 1 |
| 04678 | 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 59-50-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 04678 | bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane | 111-91-1 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 04678 | bis (2-Chloroethyl)ether | 111-44-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


Project Name: Project 101722001

| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201411: 30$ | by ED | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 201418: 05$ |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |  |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

## $2001 W$



## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental



| Project Name: Project 101722001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collected: $10 / 16 / 201411: 30 \quad$ by ED | Rettew Associates |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 201418: 05$ |  |$\quad$| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name |  | CAS Number | As Received Result | As Received <br> Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metals |  | SW-846 | 6010 B | mg/l | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |
| 07044 | Antimony |  | 7440-36-0 | N.D. | 0.0051 | 1 |
| 07035 | Arsenic |  | 7440-38-2 | N. D. | 0.0072 | 1 |
| 07047 | Beryllium |  | 7440-41-7 | N. D. | 0.00067 | 1 |
| 07049 | Cadmium |  | 7440-43-9 | N. D. | 0.00033 | 1 |
| 07051 | Chromium |  | 7440-47-3 | N. D. | 0.0013 | 1 |
| 07053 | Copper |  | 7440-50-8 | 0.0053 J | 0.0028 | 1 |
| 07055 | Lead |  | 7439-92-1 | N. D. | 0.0047 | 1 |
| 07061 | Nickel |  | 7440-02-0 | N. D. | 0.0016 | 1 |
| 07036 | Selenium |  | 7782-49-2 | N.D. | 0.0048 | 1 |
| 07066 | Silver |  | 7440-22-4 | N. D. | 0.0018 | 1 |
| 07022 | Thallium |  | 7440-28-0 | N. D. | 0.0051 | 1 |
| 07072 | Zinc |  | 7440-66-6 | 0.0244 | 0.0020 | 1 |
|  |  | SW-846 | 7470A | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ |  |
| 00259 | Mercury |  | 7439-97-6 | N. D. | 0.000060 | 1 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis <br> Date and T |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10335 | PPL/TCL Volatiles in Water | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Y142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 15:42 | Angela D Sneeringer | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030B | 1 | Y142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 15:42 | Angela D Sneeringer | 1 |
| 04678 | TCL SW846 <br> Semivolatiles/Waters | SW-846 | 8270C | 1 | 14294 WAG026 | 10/24/2014 | 01:32 | William H Saadeh | 1 |
| 00813 | BNA Water Extraction | SW-846 | 3510C | 1 | 14294 WAG026 | 10/21/2014 | 22:10 | Karen L Beyer | 1 |
| 07044 | Antimony | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07035 | Arsenic | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07047 | Beryllium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07049 | Cadmium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07051 | Chromium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07053 | Copper | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07055 | Lead | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07061 | Nickel | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07036 | Selenium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07066 | Silver | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 07022 | Thallium | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/24/2014 | 05:23 | Tara L Snyder | 1 |
| 07072 | Zinc | SW-846 | 6010B | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/22/2014 | 19:00 | Katlin N Cataldi | 1 |
| 00259 | Mercury | SW-846 | 7470A | 1 | 142905713006 | 10/21/2014 | 11:48 | Danary Valentin | 1 |
| 01848 | WW SW846 ICP Digest (tot | SW-846 | 3005A | 1 | 142901848005 | 10/20/2014 | 11:20 | Micaela L Dishong | 1 |



| Sample Description: | Trip Blank Water | LL Sample | \# | WW 7640194 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 101722001 | LL Group | \# | 1511613 |
|  |  | Account | \# | 00721 |

Project Name: Project 101722001

| Collected: $10 / 16 / 2014$ | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $10 / 16 / 201418: 05$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
|  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $10 / 27 / 201412: 36$

## 2001 T

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | As Received Result | As Received <br> Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10335 | Acrolein | 107-02-8 | N. D. | 40 | 1 |
| 10335 | Acrylonitrile | 107-13-1 | N. D. | 4 | 1 |
| 10335 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Bromodichloromethane | 75-27-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Bromoform | 75-25-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Bromomethane | 74-83-9 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Carbon Tetrachloride | 56-23-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Chlorobenzene | 108-90-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Chloroethane | 75-00-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether | 110-75-8 | N. D. | 2 | 1 |
|  | 2-Chloroethyl vinyl ether may preserve this sample. | not be recover | if acid was used to |  |  |
| 10335 | Chloroform | 67-66-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Chloromethane | 74-87-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Dibromochloromethane | 124-48-1 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 75-34-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 107-06-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 75-35-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-59-2 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 156-60-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 78-87-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-01-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 10061-02-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Methylene Chloride | 75-09-2 | N. D. | 2 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 79-34-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Tetrachloroethene | 127-18-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 71-55-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 79-00-5 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Trichloroethene | 79-01-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 75-69-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Vinyl Chloride | 75-01-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10335 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/15.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10335 | PPL/TCL Volatiles in | SW-846 | 8260 B | 1 | Y142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 15:21 | Angela D | 1 |
|  | Water |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sneeringer |  |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030 B | 1 | Y142941AA | 10/21/2014 | 15:21 | Angela D | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Sneeringer |  |

# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1511613
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

All Inorganic Initial Calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

## Analysis Name

Batch number: Q142941AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Batch number: Q142951AA
Benzene
Naphthalene
Batch number: X142941AA
Acrolein
Acrylonitrile
Benzene
Bromodichloromethane
Bromoform

| Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD | RPD | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | MDL | Units | 용RC | \% $\%$ REC | Limits |  | Max |
| Sample number (S) : 7640183-7640184,7640187-7640189 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 91 | 87 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 89 | 87 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 87 | 85 | 76-120 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 25. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 92 | 89 | 76-122 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 82 | 80 | 64-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 92 | 88 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 91 | 87 | 79-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 91 | 85 | 78-120 | 6 | 30 |
| Sample number(s) : 7640185-7640186 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 82 | 82 | 80-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 74 | 75 | 64-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Sample number ( $s$ ) : 7640190-7640192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 20. | ug/kg | 128* | 116 | 58-122 | 9 | 30 |
| N. D. | 4. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 101 | 99 | 58-123 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/kg | 105 | 100 | 80-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 96 | 93 | 75-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 90 | 87 | 70-126 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 2. | ug/kg | 85 | 78 | 32-162 | 9 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 103 | 96 | 69-130 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 97 | 92 | 80-120 | 6 | 30 |
| N. D. | 2. | ug/kg | 92 | 85 | 17-171 | 8 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 105 | 100 | 80-125 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 2. | ug/kg | 95 | 88 | 56-120 | 8 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 93 | 89 | 77-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 104 | 101 | 80-122 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 104 | 101 | 77-130 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 103 | 98 | 73-129 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 99 | 96 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 103 | 98 | 80-129 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 104 | 99 | 80-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 95 | 92 | 74-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 99 | 95 | 76-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 98 | 92 | 80-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 2. | ug/kg | 105 | 101 | 80-124 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 99 | 93 | 71-123 | 6 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 96 | 89 | 78-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 99 | 94 | 80-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 95 | 89 | 63-135 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 97 | 92 | 80-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 1. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 102 | 97 | 80-125 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 2. | ug/kg | 93 | 86 | 58-133 | 8 | 30 |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

| Reported: | Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | Result | MDL | Units | 告REC |  | Limits | RPD | Max |
| Vinyl Chloride | N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 93 | 86 | 59-120 | 8 | 30 |
| Xylene (Total) | N. D. | 1. | ug/kg | 93 | 88 | 80-120 | 5 | 30 |
| Batch number: Y142941AA | Sample number (s) : 7640193-7640194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acrolein | N. D. | 40. | ug/l | 82 | 81 | 59-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Acrylonitrile | N. D. | 4. | ug/l | 83 | 83 | 62-120 | 0 | 30 |
| Benzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 106 | 108 | 78-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Bromodichloromethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 97 | 98 | 73-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Bromoform | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 90 | 88 | 61-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Bromomethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/1 | 59 | 62 | 53-130 | 6 | 30 |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 104 | 74-130 | 1 | 30 |
| Chlorobenzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 100 | 102 | 80-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Chloroethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 59 | 62 | 56-120 | 5 | 30 |
| 2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 82 | 83 | 62-128 | 2 | 30 |
| Chloroform | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 105 | 80-122 | 2 | 30 |
| Chloromethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 91 | 94 | 63-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Dibromochloromethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 99 | 100 | 72-120 | 1 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 113 | 80-120 | 9 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 105 | 65-135 | 2 | 30 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/1 | 103 | 100 | 76-124 | 3 | 30 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 105 | 108 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 104 | 106 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 105 | 108 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 99 | 101 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 99 | 101 | 76-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 98 | 101 | 79-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Methylene Chloride | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 103 | 103 | 80-120 | 0 | 30 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 89 | 91 | 70-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Tetrachloroethene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 104 | 80-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Toluene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 106 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 82 | 85 | 66-126 | 4 | 30 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 97 | 99 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Trichloroethene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 105 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 81 | 77 | 58-135 | 4 | 30 |
| Vinyl Chloride | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 87 | 87 | 63-120 | 0 | 30 |
| Xylene (Total) | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 99 | 101 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Batch number: 14290SLB026 | Sample number $(\mathrm{S}): 7640190-7640191$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 97 |  | 83-111 |  |  |
| Acenaphthylene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 109 |  | 83-127 |  |  |
| Anthracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 100 |  | 82-118 |  |  |
| Benzidine | N. D. | 700. | ug/kg | 47 |  | 21-78 |  |  |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 76-119 |  |  |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | N. D. | 3. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 101 |  | 84-122 |  |  |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 78-129 |  |  |
| Benzo ( g , h , i) perylene | N. D. | 3. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 98 |  | 77-121 |  |  |
| Benzo ( $k$ ) fluoranthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 106 |  | 79-120 |  |  |
| 4 -Bromophenyl-phenylether | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 84-120 |  |  |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 95 |  | 80-118 |  |  |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 97 |  | 84-120 |  |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 79-127 |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroet hoxy) methane | N. D. | 17. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 102 |  | 65-123 |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 94 |  | 77-115 |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroisopropyl)ether | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 73-114 |  |  |
| 2 -Chloronaphthalene | N. D. | 7. | ug/kg | 87 |  | 63-146 |  |  |
| 2 -Chlorophenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 94 |  | 80-122 |  |  |

Batch number: Y142941AA
Acrylonitrile
Benzene
Bromodichloromethane
romoform
Bromomethane
保
Chloroethane
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether hloroform

Dibromochloromethane
1,1-Dichloroethane
,2-Dichloroethane
1,1-Dichloroethene
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene thylbenzene
ethylene Chloride
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane
rachloroethene
1,1,1-Trichloroethane
1,1,2-Trichloroethane
richloroethene

Xylene (Total)
Batch number: 14290SLB026
Acenaphthene
acenaphthylene
Anthracene
Benzo (a) anthracene
enzo (a) pyrene
Benzo (b) fluoranthene
( h , i, perylene
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether
Butylbenzylphthalate
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol
bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane
bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether
2-Chloronaphthalene
2-Chlorophenol

## *- Outside of specification

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

|  | Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | Result | MDL | Units | \% REC | \% q 价 | Limits | RPD |
| 4 -Chlorophenyl-phenylether | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 83-115 |  |
| Chrysene | N. D. | 3. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 100 |  | 77-116 |  |
| Dibenz ( $a, h$ ) ant hracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 81-123 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 94 |  | 79-112 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 91 |  | 79-113 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 90 |  | 79-112 |  |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | N. D. | 100. | ug/kg | 62 |  | 10-125 |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 81-123 |  |
| Diethylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 81-118 |  |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 95 |  | 83-120 |  |
| Dimethylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 82-113 |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | N. D. | 170. | ug/kg | 93 |  | 67-131 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | N. D. | 300. | ug/kg | 91 |  | 42-131 |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 81-122 |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 100 |  | 83-120 |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 78-122 |  |
| bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 81-121 |  |
| Fluoranthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 75-118 |  |
| Fluorene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 103 |  | 86-118 |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 89 |  | 80-121 |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 93 |  | 78-121 |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | N. D. | 170. | ug/kg | 117 |  | 60-157 |  |
| Hexachloroethane | N. D. | 33. | ug/kg | 89 |  | 78-114 |  |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 93 |  | 76-122 |  |
| Isophorone | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 109 |  | 83-119 |  |
| Naphthalene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 83-112 |  |
| Nitrobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 80-115 |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 83-120 |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | N. D. | 170. | ug/kg | 75 |  | 64-121 |  |
| N -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 90 |  | 70-119 |  |
| N-Nitrosodimethylamine | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 72-110 |  |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 83-118 |  |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 107 |  | 82-134 |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | N. D. | 33. | ug/kg | 85 |  | 46-133 |  |
| Phenanthrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 97 |  | 80-114 |  |
| Phenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 75-117 |  |
| Pyrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 81-114 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 83-113 |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 81-123 |  |
| Batch number: 14293SLF026 | Sample | ( s ) : | 183-764 |  |  |  |  |
| Anthracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 82-118 |  |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 97 |  | 76-119 |  |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 100 |  | 84-122 |  |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 103 |  | 78-129 |  |
| Benzo (g, h, i) perylene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 77-121 |  |
| Chrysene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 102 |  | 77-116 |  |
| Fluorene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 97 |  | 86-118 |  |
| Phenanthrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 95 |  | 80-114 |  |
| Pyrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 94 |  | 81-114 |  |
| Batch number: 14294SLC026 | Sample | (s) : | 192 |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 83-111 |  |
| Acenaphthylene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 114 |  | 83-127 |  |
| Anthracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 102 |  | 82-118 |  |
| Benzidine | N. D. | 700. | ug/kg | 45 |  | 21-78 |  |

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

| 发 | Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | Result | MDL | Units | \%REC | \%REC | Limits | $\underline{R P D}$ | Max |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 104 |  | 76-119 |  |  |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 105 |  | 84-122 |  |  |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 111 |  | 78-129 |  |  |
| Benzo (g, h, i) perylene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 103 |  | 77-121 |  |  |
| Benzo (k) fluoranthene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 105 |  | 79-120 |  |  |
| 4-Bromophenyl-phenylether | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 102 |  | 84-120 |  |  |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | N. D. | 67 | ug/kg | 105 |  | 80-118 |  |  |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 84-120 |  |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 104 |  | 79-127 |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 65-123 |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl)ether | N. D. | 17 | ug/kg | 93 |  | 77-115 |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroisopropyl)ether | N. D. | 17 | ug/kg | 94 |  | 73-114 |  |  |
| 2 -Chloronaphthalene | N. D. | 7. | ug/kg | 93 |  | 63-146 |  |  |
| 2-Chlorophenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 80-122 |  |  |
| 4 -Chlorophenyl-phenylether | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 102 |  | 83-115 |  |  |
| Chrysene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 108 |  | 77-116 |  |  |
| Dibenz ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) anthracene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 105 |  | 81-123 |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 94 |  | 79-112 |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 90 |  | 79-113 |  |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 92 |  | 79-112 |  |  |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | N. D. | 100. | ug/kg | 64 |  | 10-125 |  |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 106 |  | 81-123 |  |  |
| Diethylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 102 |  | 81-118 |  |  |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 100 |  | 83-120 |  |  |
| Dimethylphthalate | N. D. | 67 | ug/kg | 99 |  | 82-113 |  |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | N. D. | 170. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 67-131 |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | N. D. | 300. | ug/kg | 82 |  | 42-131 |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 102 |  | 81-122 |  |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 107 |  | 83-120 |  |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 103 |  | 78-122 |  |  |
| bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate | N. D. | 67. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 105 |  | 81-121 |  |  |
| Fluorant hene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 75-118 |  |  |
| Fluorene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 103 |  | 86-118 |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 80-121 |  |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 103 |  | 78-121 |  |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | N. D. | 170. | ug/kg | 134 |  | 60-157 |  |  |
| Hexachloroethane | N. D. | 33. | ug/kg | 93 |  | 78-114 |  |  |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 102 |  | 76-122 |  |  |
| Isophorone | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 110 |  | 83-119 |  |  |
| Naphthalene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 83-112 |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 105 |  | 80-115 |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | N. D. | 17 | ug/kg | 102 |  | 83-120 |  |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | N. D. | 170. | ug/kg | 96 |  | 64-121 |  |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 89 |  | 70-119 |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 90 |  | 72-110 |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 83-118 |  |  |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | N. D. | 67. | ug/kg | 114 |  | 82-134 |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | N. D. | 33. | ug/kg | 92 |  | 46-133 |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 98 |  | 80-114 |  |  |
| Phenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 93 |  | 75-117 |  |  |
| Pyrene | N. D. | 3. | ug/kg | 99 |  | 81-114 |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 105 |  | 83-113 |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | N. D. | 17. | ug/kg | 101 |  | 81-123 |  |  |
| Batch number: $14294 W A G 026$ | Sample | $r(s):$ | 193 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 104 | 103 | 80-112 | 1 | 30 |

Batch number: 14294WAG026
*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

| Reported: | Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | Result | MDL | Units | 告REC | \% REC | Limits | RPD | Max |
| Acenaphthylene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 111 | 109 | 84-125 | 2 | 30 |
| Anthracene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 109 | 106 | 82-116 | 3 | 30 |
| Benzidine | N. D. | 20. | ug/l | 63 | 59 | 20-94 | 6 | 30 |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 113 | 111 | 81-126 | 1 | 30 |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 115 | 113 | 82-116 | 2 | 30 |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 110 | 108 | 82-121 | 1 | 30 |
| Benzo ( $9, h, i$ ) perylene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 104 | 101 | 76-128 | 3 | 30 |
| Benzo (k) fluoranthene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 114 | 112 | 81-122 | 2 | 30 |
| 4 -Bromophenyl-phenylether | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 101 | 98 | 82-118 | 3 | 30 |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 110 | 110 | 73-122 | 0 | 30 |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 102 | 100 | 80-119 | 2 | 30 |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/1 | 108 | 108 | 78-118 | 0 | 30 |
| bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 105 | 103 | 77-115 | 2 | 30 |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 105 | 103 | 78-112 | 2 | 30 |
| bis(2-Chloroisopropyl)ether | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 128 | 127 | 54-128 | 1 | 30 |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | N. D. | 0.4 | ug/l | 102 | 100 | 66-125 | 1 | 30 |
| 2 -Chlorophenol | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 104 | 103 | 76-111 | 1 | 30 |
| 4 -Chlorophenyl-phenylether | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/1 | 100 | 98 | 78-119 | 2 | 30 |
| Chrysene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 117 | 117 | 81-120 | 0 | 30 |
| Dibenz ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) anthracene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/1 | 105 | 103 | 80-130 | 2 | 30 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 99 | 98 | 62-116 | 2 | 30 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 94 | 93 | 57-115 | 1 | 30 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 95 | 95 | 60-115 | 0 | 30 |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 78 | 74 | 39-111 | 5 | 30 |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 104 | 104 | 84-119 | 0 | 30 |
| Diethylphthalate | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 93 | 91 | 70-118 | 2 | 30 |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 104 | 102 | 75-110 | 1 | 30 |
| Dimethylphthalate | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 79 | 77 | 43-128 | 2 | 30 |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | N. D. | 5. | ug/l | 90 | 92 | 63-131 | 3 | 30 |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | N. D. | 10. | ug/l | 54 | 60 | 39-130 | 11 | 30 |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | N. D. | 1. | ug/l | 111 | 110 | 84-126 | 1 | 30 |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 111 | 111 | 81-124 | 0 | 30 |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 107 | 105 | 74-124 | 2 | 30 |
| bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 115 | 115 | 78-124 | 0 | 30 |
| Fluoranthene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 108 | 106 | 82-121 | 2 | 30 |
| Fluorene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 104 | 104 | 80-117 | 0 | 30 |
| Hexachlorobenzene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 94 | 92 | 80-119 | 2 | 30 |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 83 | 82 | 55-124 | 1 | 30 |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | N. D. | 5. | ug/l | 77 | 85 | 18-130 | 10 | 30 |
| Hexachloroethane | N. D. | 1. | ug/l | 84 | 84 | 55-109 | 1 | 30 |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 100 | 98 | 80-126 | 2 | 30 |
| Isophorone | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 116 | 116 | 81-124 | 1 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 100 | 99 | 75-108 | 1 | 30 |
| Nitrobenzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 115 | 115 | 77-119 | 1 | 30 |
| 2-Nitrophenol | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 112 | 111 | 82-121 | 1 | 30 |
| 4-Nitrophenol | N. D. | 10. | ug/l | 53 | 52 | 20-89 | 2 | 30 |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 108 | 106 | 71-117 | 2 | 30 |
| N -Nit rosodimethylamine | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 85 | 84 | 38-98 | 2 | 30 |
| N -Nit rosodiphenylamine | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 100 | 98 | 80-115 | 2 | 30 |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | N. D. | 2. | ug/l | 113 | 112 | 78-129 | 1 | 30 |
| Pentachlorophenol | N. D. | 1. | ug/l | 76 | 75 | 60-130 | 1 | 30 |
| Phenanthrene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 105 | 103 | 81-114 | 2 | 30 |
| Phenol | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 63 | 62 | 25-80 | 2 | 30 |
| Pyrene | N. D. | 0.1 | ug/l | 106 | 105 | 81-112 | 1 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 100 | 99 | 68-116 | 1 | 30 |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 103 | 103 | 84-119 | 0 | 30 |
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## Quality Control Summary

| Client Name: Rettew Associates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| Analysis Name | Result | MDL | Units | 告REC | \% ${ }^{\text {REC }}$ | Limits | RPD | Max |
| Batch number: 142901848005 | Sample number (s) : 7640193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | N. D. | 0.0051 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 101 |  | 88-111 |  |  |
| Arsenic | N. D. | 0.0072 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ | 105 |  | 90-116 |  |  |
| Beryllium | N. D. | 0.00067 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 102 |  | 90-111 |  |  |
| Cadmium | N. D. | 0.00033 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 100 |  | 90-112 |  |  |
| Chromium | N. D. | 0.0013 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 99 |  | 90-110 |  |  |
| Copper | N. D. | 0.0028 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 102 |  | 90-112 |  |  |
| Lead | N. D. | 0.0047 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 98 |  | 88-116 |  |  |
| Nickel | N. D. | 0.0016 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 103 |  | 90-117 |  |  |
| Selenium | N. D. | 0.0048 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 99 |  | 89-113 |  |  |
| Silver | N. D. | 0.0018 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 96 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Thallium | N. D. | 0.0051 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 106 |  | 85-120 |  |  |
| Zinc | 0.0041 J | 0.0020 | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 101 |  | 90-110 |  |  |
| Batch number: 142905708001 | Sample number ( $s$ ) : 7640190-7640192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | N. D. | 0.330 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 108 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Arsenic | N. D. | 0.640 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 107 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Beryllium | N. D. | 0.0670 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 102 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Cadmium | 0.0370 J | 0.0330 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 105 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Chromium | N. D. | 0.110 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 102 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Copper | N. D. | 0.330 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 105 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Lead | N. D. | 0.500 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 107 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Nickel | N. D. | 0.150 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 107 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Selenium | 0.448 J | 0.440 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 109 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Silver | N. D. | 0.190 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 89 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Thallium | N. D. | 0.800 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 111 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Zinc | 1.35 J | 0.260 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 108 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Batch number: 142905711001 | Sample number (s) : 7640190,7640192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | 0.0622 J | 0.0100 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 98 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Batch number: 142905713006 | Sample number (s) : 7640193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | N. D. | $\begin{aligned} & 0.00006 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{mg} / 1$ | 82 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Batch number: 142935711002 | Sample number ( S ) : 7640191 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mercury | N. D. | 0.0100 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 92 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Batch number: 14294820005A | Sample number(s) : 7640183-7640192 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture |  |  |  | 100 |  | 99-101 |  |  |

Sample Matrix Quality Control
Unspiked (UNSPK) $=$ the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike
Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

| Analysis Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS/MSD } \\ & \text { Limits } \end{aligned}$ | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { MAX } \end{aligned}$ | BKG Conc | DUP <br> Conc | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUP } \\ & \text { RPD } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dup } \mathrm{RPD} \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: 14290SLB026 | Sample number (S) : 7640190-7640191 UNSPK: P637266 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthene | 74 | 71 | 55-132 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Acenaphthylene | 109 | 110 | 53-143 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Anthracene | 98 | 95 | 42-147 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1511613
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike
Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

|  | MS | MSD | MS/MSD |  | RPD | BKG | DUP | DUP | Dup RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | \%REC | \%REC | Limits | RPD | MAX | Conc | Conc | RPD | Max |
| Benzidine | 49 | 50 | 21-64 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo (a) anthracene | 97 | 86 | 32-150 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo (a) pyrene | 100 | 98 | 36-151 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo (b) fluoranthene | 97 | 85 | 29-150 | 12 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo ( $9, h, i$ ) perylene | 99 | 92 | 41-147 | 8 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Benzo (k) fluoranthene | 107 | 104 | 35-146 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 -Bromophenyl-phenylether | 95 | 95 | 58-142 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Butylbenzylphthalate | 93 | 95 | 50-137 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Di-n-butylphthalate | 95 | 95 | 57-130 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol | 99 | 100 | 39-150 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroet hoxy) methane | 101 | 101 | 54-128 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroethyl) ether | 100 | 92 | 69-114 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| bis (2-Chloroisopropyl)ether | 101 | 93 | 62-120 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Chloronaphthalene | 84 | 88 | 40-156 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 -Chlorophenol | 100 | 91 | 35-152 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 -Chlorophenyl-phenylether | 97 | 97 | 56-130 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Chrysene | 97 | 88 | 28-146 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Dibenz ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{h}$ ) ant hracene | 93 | 91 | 54-142 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | $\begin{aligned} & -927 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -1012 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | 45-133 | 64* | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 99 | 87 | 45-129 | 12 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 89 | 77 | 44-132 | 13 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine | 54 | 53 | 10-143 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dichlorophenol | 105 | 102 | 39-153 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Diethylphthalate | 94 | 96 | 54-127 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dimethylphenol | 93 | 92 | 38-140 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Dimethylphthalate | 93 | 97 | 45-135 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol | 83 | 76 | 10-148 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrophenol | 72 | 58 | 20-143 | 22 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4-Dinitrotoluene | 96 | 98 | 39-144 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2,6-Dinitrotoluene | 99 | 103 | 54-134 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Diphenylhydrazine | 97 | 97 | 67-128 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate | 95 | 94 | 52-138 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Fluoranthene | 74 | 45 | 41-135 | 26 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Fluorene | 81 | 76 | 55-128 | 5 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 89 | 88 | 46-132 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 95 | 93 | 65-125 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 75 | 92 | 10-153 | 20 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachloroethane | 94 | 84 | 24-138 | 11 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene | 93 | 85 | 44-147 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Isophorone | 110 | 107 | 68-119 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 27* | 20* | 44-142 | 7 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | 100 | 96 | 41-141 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 96 | 96 | 45-146 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 4 -Nitrophenol | 71 | 73 | 25-142 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 93 | 87 | 58-126 | 8 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nit rosodimethylamine | 102 | 84 | 61-110 | 19 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodiphenylamine | 97 | 97 | 59-135 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Di-n-octylphthalate | 107 | 104 | 54-151 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | 82 | 80 | 23-145 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | 32* | 4* | 42-141 | 25 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Phenol | 108 | 99 | 61-130 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1511613
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike
Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate


# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1511613
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike
Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

|  | MS | MSD | MS/MSD |  | RPD | BKG |  | DUP |  | DUP | Dup | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | \%REC | \%REC | Limits | RPD | MAX | Conc |  | Conc |  | RPD | Max |  |
| Fluorene | 94 | 104 | 55-128 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobenzene | 91 | 96 | 46-132 | 5 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | 94 | 100 | 65-125 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadiene | 117 | 132 | 10-153 | 12 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hexachloroethane | 91 | 99 | 24-138 | 8 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indeno(1,2,3-cd) pyrene | 97 | 99 | 44-147 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isophorone | 103 | 107 | 68-119 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 94 | 98 | 44-142 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrobenzene | 95 | 101 | 41-141 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-Nitrophenol | 94 | 100 | 45-146 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-Nitrophenol | 89 | 97 | 25-142 | 8 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitroso-di-n-propylamine | 85 | 94 | 58-126 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N -Nitrosodimethylamine | 89 | 95 | 61-110 | 7 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N-Nitrosodiphenylamine | 89 | 100 | 59-135 | 12 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Di-m-octylphthalate | 106 | 112 | 54-151 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pentachlorophenol | 38 | 49 | 23-145 | 26 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenanthrene | 93 | 101 | 42-141 | 8 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phenol | 89 | 95 | 61-130 | 7 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pyrene | 94 | 98 | 37-140 | 5 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 96 | 101 | 50-139 | 5 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol | 80 | 93 | 60-136 | 15 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: 142901848005 | Sample | number ( $s$ | 764019 | UNS P | P63 | 2 BKG: | P63 | 712 |  |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 104 | 103 | 81-122 | 0 | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Arsenic | 105 | 106 | 81-123 | 1 | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Beryllium | 104 | 103 | 87-114 | 1 | 20 | N. D. |  | N.D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Cadmium | 102 | 102 | 75-122 | 0 | 20 | N. D. |  | N.D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Chromium | 102 | 100 | 76-120 | 1 | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Copper | 104 | 103 | 86-122 | 1 | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Lead | 101 | 99 | 75-125 | 2 | 20 | N. D. |  | N.D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Nickel | 104 | 104 | 79-123 | 0 | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Selenium | 99 | 96 | 75-125 | 3 | 20 | 0.0054 | J | N. D. |  | 200* (1) | 20 |  |
| Silver | 98 | 97 | 75-125 | 0 | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Thallium | 106 | 106 | 75-125 | 0 | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Zinc | 107 | 100 | 80-125 | 6 | 20 | 0.0062 | J | 0.0046 | J | 31* (1) | 20 |  |
| Batch number: 142905708001 | Sample | number ( $s$ | 764019 | 7640 | 2 UNS | : P6403 |  | KG: P64 | 0361 |  |  |  |
| Antimony | 68* | 84 | 75-125 | 15 | 20 | 9.91 |  | 5.55 |  | 56* (1) | 20 |  |
| Arsenic | 99 | 103 | 75-125 | 1 | 20 | 8.70 |  | 7.10 |  | 20 (1) | 20 |  |
| Beryllium | 104 | 105 | 83-119 | 1 | 20 | 0.312 | J | 0.264 | J | 16 (1) | 20 |  |
| Cadmium | 129* | 95 | 75-120 | 32* | 20 | N. D. |  | 0.241 | J | 200* (1) | 20 |  |
| Chromium | 58* | 102 | 75-125 | 16 | 20 | 34.9 |  | 47.4 |  | 30* | 20 |  |
| Copper | 934 (2) | -9 (2) | 75-125 | 93* | 20 | 136 |  | 80.8 |  | 51* | 20 |  |
| Lead | $\begin{aligned} & -2386 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -4241 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ | 75-125 | 14 | 20 | 2,410 |  | 1,620 |  | 39* | 20 |  |
| Nickel | 96 | 109 | 75-125 | 8 | 20 | 22.3 |  | 16.3 |  | 31* | 20 |  |
| Selenium | 78 | 50* | 75-125 | 46* | 20 | N. D. |  | N. D. |  | 0 (1) | 20 |  |
| Silver | 95 | 91 | 75-125 | 6 | 20 | 0.194 | J | 0.216 | J | 11 (1) | 20 |  |
| Thallium | 90 | 91 | 78-125 | 1 | 20 | 2.11 | J | 1.45 | J | 37* (1) | 20 |  |
| Zinc | 233* | 61* | 75-125 | 41* | 20 | 137 |  | 115 |  | 18 | 20 |  |

Batch number: 142905711001
Sample number(s): 7640190,7640192 UNSPK: P641098 BKG: P641098
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## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates Group Number: 1511613
Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate


## Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Analysis Name: BTE/MTBE/Cumene/Naph/TMBs
Batch number: Q142941AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7640183 | 66 | 73 | 72 | 70 |
| 7640184 | 73 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| 7640187 | 56 | 61 | 63 | 69 |
| 7640188 | 61 | 68 | 69 | 86 |
| 7640189 | 74 | 82 | 83 | 88 |
| Blank | 85 | 97 | 93 | 92 |
| LCS | 92 | 95 | 97 | 89 |
| LCSD | 87 | 92 | 92 | $50-131$ |


| Analysis <br> Batch nume: Benzene, <br> Baphthalene <br>  <br>  <br> Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7640185 | 72 | 79 | 85 |
| 7640186 | 60 | 70 | 69 |
| Blank | 79 | 86 | 84 |
| LCS | 83 | 87 | 87 |
| LCSD | 84 | 88 | 87 |
| Limits: | $50-141$ | $54-135$ | $52-141$ |

Analysis Name: PPL/TCL Volatiles in Soil

| Batch number: X142941AA |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| 7640190 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 98 |
| 7640191 | 103 | 104 | 109 | 84 |
| 7640192 | 104 | 106 | 99 | 98 |
| Blank | 102 | 101 | 100 | 98 |
| LCS | 100 | 97 | 102 | 104 |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates Group Number: 1511613 Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

Surrogate Quality Control

| LCSD | 100 | 97 | 101 | 103 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Limits: | $50-141$ | $54-135$ | $52-141$ | $50-131$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis | Name: PPL/TCL Volatiles in Water |  |  |  |
| Batch number: Y142941AA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| 7640193 | 99 | 100 | 99 | 91 |
| 7640194 | 98 | 100 | 99 | 91 |
| Blank | 98 | 99 | 99 | 91 |
| LCS | 97 | 101 | 102 | 97 |
| LCSD | 96 | 101 | 101 | 106 |
| Limits: | $80-116$ | $77-113$ | $80-113$ | $78-113$ |


| Analysis Name: PPL/TCL SVOCs in Soil Batch number: 14290 SLB026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Phenol-d6 | 2-Fluorophenol | 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | Nitrobenzene-d5 | 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Terphenyl-d14 |
| 7640190 | 88 | 90 | 82 | 87 | 88 | 101 |
| 7640191 | 88 | 87 | 67 | 85 | 86 | 94 |
| Blank | 90 | 96 | 89 | 94 | 96 | 108 |
| LCS | 93 | 95 | 88 | 94 | 93 | 106 |
| MS | 97 | 100 | 81 | 93 | 91 | 104 |
| MSD | 88 | 91 | 78 | 91 | 93 | 104 |
| Limits: | 44-129 | 40-141 | 36-142 | 54-123 | 63-124 | 61-142 |

Analysis Name: PAH 8270 (microwave)
Batch number: 14293SLF026

|  | Nitrobenzene-d5 | 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Terphenyl-d14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7640183 | 89 | 88 | 99 |
| 7640184 | 90 | 92 | 101 |
| 7640185 | 90 | 92 | 99 |
| 7640186 | 84 | 88 | 93 |
| Blank | 91 | 93 | 105 |
| LCS | 91 | 90 | 100 |
| MS | 88 | 87 | 96 |
| MSD | 89 | 89 | 68 |
| Limits: | $54-123$ | $63-124$ |  |

Analysis Name: PPL/TCL SVOCs in Soil
Batch number: 14294SLC026

|  | Phenol-d6 | 2-Fluorophenol | 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | Nitrobenzene-d5 | 2-Fluorobiphenyl | Terphenyl-d14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7640192 | 92 | 97 | 92 | 96 | 99 | 110 |
| Blank | 91 | 98 | 95 | 96 | 99 | 109 |
| LCS | 89 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 95 | 105 |
| MS | 87 | 88 | 71 | 90 | 87 | 101 |
| MSD | 93 | 96 | 81 | 93 | 94 | 106 |
| Limits: | 44-129 | 40-141 | 36-142 | 54-123 | 63-124 | 61-142 |

Analysis Name: TCL SW846 Semivolatiles/Waters Batch number: 14294WAG026

|  | 2-Fluorophenol | Phenol-d6 | 2,4,6-Tribromophenol | Nitrobenzene-d5 | 2-Fluorobiphenyl |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7640193 | 68 | 47 | 82 | 104 | 96 | 81 |
| Blank | 61 | 41 | 69 | 90 | 96 | 9 |
| LCS | 79 | 54 | 87 | 107 | 10 | 96 |
| LCSD | 79 | 54 | 86 | 108 | $67-116$ |  |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates Reported: 10/27/14 at 12:36 PM

Group Number: 1511613
Surrogate Quality Control
*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

##  
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## Sample Identification

Type I (Valida*ion/non-CLP) 23 4
Envronmenta Anarisis Requesuchain of custody



| Preservation Codes |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{HCl}$ | $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{Thiosulfate}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{NaOH}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ ther |
| Cum |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 边號 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {H }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $30 \mathrm{k}+1 \mathrm{dy}$ | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |

$\qquad$

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

U.S. EPA CLP Data Qualifiers:

| Organic Qualifiers |  |  | Inorganic Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | TIC is a possible aldol-condensation product | B | Value is <CRDL, but $\geq$ IDL |
| B | Analyte was also detected in the blank | E | Estimated due to interference |
| C | Pesticide result confirmed by GC/MS | M | Duplicate injection precision not met |
| D | Compound quantitated on a diluted sample | N | Spike sample not within control limits |
| E | Concentration exceeds the calibration range of the instrument | S | Method of standard additions (MSA) used for calculation |
| N | Presumptive evidence of a compound (TICs only) | U | Compound was not detected |
| P | Concentration difference between primary and confirmation columns $>25 \%$ | W | Post digestion spike out of control limits Duplicate analysis not within control limits |
| U | Compound was not detected | + | Correlation coefficient for MSA $<0.995$ |
| X,Y,Z | Defined in case narrative |  |  |

## Analytical test results meet all requirements of NELAC unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

[^21]ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

Prepared for:
Rettew Associates
3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011

February 17,2015
Project: Project 101722001
Submittal Date: 02/05/2015
Group Number: 1536371
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

| Client Sample Description | Lancaster Labs (LL) \# |
| :---: | :---: |
| SB-12@ 5 Ft Grab Soil | 7762626 |
| SB-11@14Ft Grab Soil | 7762627 |
| SB-21@8Ft Grab Soil | 7762628 |
| SB-21@10 Ft Grab Soil | 7762629 |
| SB-13@10 Ft Grab Soil | 7762630 |
| SB-14@11 Ft Grab Soil | 7762631 |
| SB-15@11 Ft Grab Soil | 7762632 |
| SB-12@8Ft Grab Soil | 7762633 |
| SB-12@12 Ft Grab Soil | 7762634 |
| SB-12@18 Ft Grab Soil | 7762635 |
| SB-18@10 Ft Grab Soil | 7762636 |
| SB-18@13 Ft Grab Soil | 7762637 |
| SB-18@15 Ft Grab Soil | 7762638 |
| SB-19@10 Ft Grab Soil | 7762639 |
| SB-16 @ 12 Ft Grab Soil | 7762640 |
| SB-20@8 Ft Grab Soil | 7762641 |
| SB-20@11 Ft Grab Soil | 7762642 |
| SB-20@15 Ft Grab Soil | 7762643 |
| SB-17@ 7 Ft Grab Soil | 7762644 |
| SB-17@11 Ft Grab Soil | 7762645 |
| SB-17@15 Ft Grab Soil | 7762646 |

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratories-environmental/resources/certifications/.

Lancaster Laboratories Environmental

## Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 - Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

ELECTRONIC Rettew Associates COPY TO

Attn: Ed Dziedzic

Respectfully Submitted,



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 12:52 | Sarah A Guill | 46.38 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. $\mathrm{MeOH}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/04/2015 | 14:00 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006 A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott $W$ Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall $Q C$ performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | VOCs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 13:15 | Sarah A Guill | 48.45 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 10:00 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 02079 | TOC Solids/sludges Combustion | SM 5310 B modified-2000 | 1 | 15046049531 A | 02/15/2015 | $23: 23$ | James S Mathiot | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006 A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 13:38 | Sarah A Guill | 51.02 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 10:50 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 14:01 | Sarah A Guill | 45.79 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. $\mathrm{MeOH}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 10:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006 A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott $W$ Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 14:24 | Sarah A Guill | 48.26 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 11:20 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 14:47 | Sarah A Guill | 44.4 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. $\mathrm{MeOH}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 11:35 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006 A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 15:10 | Sarah A Guill | 40.19 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 11:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| CAT | Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution <br> Factor |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 15:33 | Sarah A Guill | 92.08 |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 15:57 | Sarah A Guill | 920.81 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 12:15 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 16:20 | Sarah A Guill | 42.3 |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q150411AA | 02/10/2015 | 18:22 | Sarah A Guill | 423.01 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 12:20 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006A | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |  |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 17:06 | Sarah A Guill | 43.4 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 13:20 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall $Q C$ performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |  |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 17:29 | Sarah A Guill | 44.4 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 13:25 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006 B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis <br> Date and Ti |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 17:53 | Sarah A Guill | 50 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. $\mathrm{MeOH}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 13:30 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott $W$ Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 18:16 | Sarah A Guill | 40.06 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 14:00 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis Date and T |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150391AA | 02/08/2015 | 18:39 | Sarah A Guill | 40.26 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 14:45 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

## Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150411AA | 02/10/2015 | 18:45 | Sarah A Guill | 43.48 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 15:20 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

## Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150411AA | 02/10/2015 | 19:08 | Sarah A Guill | 46.47 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 15:25 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumary for overall oC performance data and associated samples.

## Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150411AA | 02/10/2015 | 19:31 | Sarah A Guill | 46.13 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 15:30 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM $2540 \quad \mathrm{G}-1997$ | 1 | 15042820006 B | 02/11/2015 | $20: 49$ | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q150421AA | 02/11/2015 | 12:03 | Anita M Dale | 44.72 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 12:50 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| CAT | Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |  |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | Q150421AA | 02/11/2015 | 12:25 | Anita M Dale | 88.97 |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q150421AA | 02/11/2015 | 12:48 | Anita M Dale | 889.68 |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260 B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q150431AA | 02/12/2015 | 12:30 | Anita M Dale | 8896.8 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 12:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15042820006 B | 02/11/2015 | 20:49 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |



## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q150431AA | 02/12/2015 | 10:25 | Anita M Dale | 42.81 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201503636746 | 02/05/2015 | 13:00 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15043820001A | 02/12/2015 | 18:47 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |

# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1536371
Reported: 02/17/15 at 10:18 AM

Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

All Inorganic Initial Calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

## Analysis Name

Batch number: Q150391AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylene (Total)
Batch number: Q150411AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylene (Total)
Batch number: Q150421AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene

| Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD | RPD | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | MDL | Units | \%REC | \%REC | Limits |  | Max |
| Sample number ( $s$ ) : 7762626-7762640 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 89 | 90 | 80-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 85 | 85 | 80-120 | 0 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 81 | 81 | 76-120 | 0 | 30 |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 94 | 94 | 76-122 | 0 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 65 | 68 | 64-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 90 | 87 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 88 | 86 | 79-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 84 | 84 | 78-120 | 0 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 88 | 85 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Sample number(s) : 7762634,7762641-7762643 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 87 | 89 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 83 | 84 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 78 | 82 | 76-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 92 | 95 | 76-122 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 62* | 66 | 64-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 84 | 87 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 81 | 84 | 79-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 81 | 81 | 78-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 82 | 85 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Sample number ( $s$ ) : 7762644-7762645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 95 | 99 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 91 | 93 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 89 | 90 | 76-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 102 | 104 | 76-122 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 82 | 77 | 64-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 91 | 94 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 95 | 93 | 79-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 95 | 92 | 78-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 90 | 93 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Sample number ( $s$ ) : 7762645-7762646 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 95 | 103 | 80-120 | 8 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 93 | 103 | 80-120 | 11 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 91 | 100 | 76-120 | 10 | 30 |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 100 | 109 | 76-122 | 8 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 78 | 88 | 64-120 | 13 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 93 | 105 | 80-120 | 12 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 90 | 106 | 79-120 | 16 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 89 | 106 | 78-120 | 17 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 92 | 103 | 80-120 | 11 | 30 |

## *- Outside of specification

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

| Client Name: Rettew Associates |  |  |  | Group Number: 1536371 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reported: 02/17/15 at 10:18 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| Analysis Name | Result | MDL | Units | \% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ ( | \%REC | Limits | RPD | Max |
| Batch number: 15046049531A | Sample number $(\mathrm{s}): 7762627$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOC Solids/Sludges Combustion | N. D. | 0.0100 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% by } \\ & \text { wt. } \end{aligned}$ | 123 |  | 47-143 |  |  |
| Batch number: 15042820006A | Sample number ( $s$ ) : 7762626-7762635 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture |  |  |  | 100 |  | 99-101 |  |  |
| Batch number: 15042820006 B | Sample number ( $S$ ) : 7762636-7762645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture |  |  |  | 100 |  | 99-101 |  |  |
| Batch number: 15043820001A | Sample number(s) : 7762646 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture |  |  |  | 100 |  | 99-101 |  |  |

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

| Analysis Name | MS \% REC | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | MS /MSD <br> Limits | RPD | RPD <br> MAX | BKG Conc | DUP Conc | DUP <br> RPD | Dup <br> Max | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: Q150411AA | Sample number $(S)$ : $7762634,7762641-7762643$ UNSPK: P763227 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 97 | 102 | 55-143 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 133 | 85 | 44-141 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isopropylbenzene | 60 | 38 | 38-144 | 10 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 98 | 110 | 55-129 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | -33 (2) | -69 (2) | 10-138 | 3 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 82 | 86 | 50-146 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | -70 (2) | -9 (2) | 37-149 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | -54 (2) | $\begin{gathered} -201 \\ (2) \end{gathered}$ | 38-150 | 12 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Xylene (Total) | 184 (2) | 113 (2) | 44-136 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: Q150421AA | Sample number $(S)$ : 7762644-7762645 UNSPK: P764250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 82 | 82 | 55-143 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 80 | 81 | 44-141 | 5 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Isopropylbenzene | 79 | 79 | 38-144 | 7 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 87 | 85 | 55-129 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 63 | 61 | 10-138 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 78 | 80 | 50-146 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 81 | 81 | 37-149 | 7 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 80 | 80 | 38-150 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Xylene (Total) | 80 | 80 | 44-136 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: 15046049531 A | Sample number $(\mathrm{s}): 7762627$ UNSPK: 7762627 BKG : 7762627 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOC Solids/sludges Combustion | 124 |  | 22-155 |  |  | N. D. | N. D. | 0 (1) | 13 |  |
| Batch number: 15042820006 A Moisture |  | Sample number $(s): 7762626-7762635$ BKG: 7762632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: 15042820006B Moisture | Sample number $(S): 7762636-7762645$ BKG: 7762638 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: 15043820001 A | Sample number $(S): 7762646$ BKG: P762282 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *- Outside of specification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates Group Number: 1536371 Reported: 02/17/15 at 10:18 AM

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

|  | MS | MSD | MS/MSD |  | RPD | BKG | DUP | DUP | Dup RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | \% $\%$ REC | \%REC | Limits | RPD | MAX | Conc | Conc | RPD | Max |
| Moisture |  |  |  |  |  | 7.7 | 9.4 | 20* |  |

## Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Analysis Name: VOCs- Solid by 8260B
Batch number: Q150391AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7762626 | 64 | 63 | 65 | 66 |
| 7762627 | 77 | 76 | 73 | 72 |
| 7762628 | 71 | 69 | 72 | 75 |
| 7762629 | 77 | 77 | 74 | 75 |
| 7762630 | 79 | 79 | 77 | 67 |
| 7762631 | 68 | 68 | 65 | 66 |
| 7762632 | 69 | 71 | 66 | 80 |
| 7762633 | 70 | 75 | 78 | 60 |
| 7762634 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 81 |
| 7762635 | 85 | 83 | 83 | 68 |
| 7762636 | 67 | 69 | 67 | 83 |
| 7762637 | 60 | 62 | 60 | 67 |
| 7762638 | 89 | 92 | 86 | 60 |
| 7762639 | 68 | 70 | 66 | 88 |
| 7762640 | 60 | 95 | 58 | 80 |
| Blank | 95 | 85 | 84 | 83 |
| LCS | 86 | 89 | 84 | $50-131$ |
| LCSD | 89 | $54-135$ | 56 |  |


| Analysis <br> Batch name: Vocs- Solid by <br> number: Q150411AA <br> Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 70 | 65 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |  |
| 7762641 | 69 | 67 | 65 | 69 |
| 7762642 | 68 | 81 | 77 | 78 |
| 7762643 | 84 | 105 | 100 | 94 |
| Blank | 103 | 87 | 86 | 83 |
| LCS | 89 | 91 | 89 | 86 |
| LCSD | 93 | 61 | 66 | 74 |
| MS | 64 | 68 | 68 | 76 |
| MSD | 69 | $54-135$ | $52-141$ | $50-131$ |

Analysis Name: VOCs- Solid by 8260 B
Batch number: Q150421AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7762644 | 81 | 80 | 74 | 72 |
| 7762645 | 65 | 69 | 67 | 66 |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates Group Number: 1536371
Reported: 02/17/15 at 10:18 AM

Surrogate Quality Control

| Blank | 117 | 115 | 110 | 102 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LCS | 98 | 96 | 95 | 91 |
| LCSD | 99 | 98 | 95 | 78 |
| MS | 86 | 81 | 80 | 79 |
| MSD | 84 | 81 | 80 | $50-131$ |


| Analysis <br> Batch nume: VOCs- Solid by 8260 B <br> Bumber: Q150431AA <br>  <br>  <br> Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7762646 | 80 | 79 | 80 |
| Blank | 95 | 93 | 95 |
| LCS | 97 | 96 | 98 |
| LCSD | 106 | 103 | 106 |
| Limits: | $50-141$ | $54-135$ | $52-141$ |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.
 (B) Data Package Options (circle if required)
ansoduos


| Sample Identification |  |  | Collected |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Date | Time |
|  | $5 R-1205 F$ |  | $2,4 / 62$ | 14.00 |
|  | $5 B-U P / A P C$ |  | $2 / 513$ | 10:0) |
|  | $5 B-1614$ |  | 12.5118 | 10:00 |
|  | $5 B-2 / e r Q+$ |  | 2/5/15 | 1350 |
|  | $53-21 e 10 \mathrm{OL}$ |  | Le/e/15 | 1085 |
|  | $5 \sqrt{3-13}$ elo ght |  | 2/5/is | $1 / 20$ |
|  | $5 \sqrt{3}-14$ ell 16 |  | 2/5/15 | 1135 |
|  | 53-15 \& 116 |  | 2/5/15 | 115 |
|  | $50-12$ ef 6 |  | $2.5 / 10$ | $12 / 5$ |
|  | $53-12 e+2 L^{2}$ |  | $28 / 4$ | 1220 |
| (7) Turnaround THme (TAT) Requested (please circle)RushSush TAT is subject to Taboratory approval and surcharge.) |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Date results are needed: <br> E-mail address: $E D 2 Z E D Z Z C R E T T E \sim \cdot C O N$ |  |  |  |  |
| (B) Data Package Options (circle if required) |  |  |  |  |
| Type I (Validation/non-CLP) |  | Type VI (Raw Data Only) |  |  |
| Type III (Reduced non-CLP) |  | TX TRRP-13 |  |  |
|  | Type IV (CLP SOW) | MA MCP | CT RCP |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2352000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | spo coowomm cowd | - 2 | 入 | L | $x$ | - | - | $\bigcirc$ | $\lambda$ | $\chi$ | $\pm$ |
|  |  | staulepuos to \#1ełol | $\cdots$ | N | N | $\cdots$ |  |  | $\cdots$ | N | 1 | N |
|  |  | :1ецдо |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{x}{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \square \\ & \square \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Type I (Validation/non-CLP) Type VI (Raw Data Oniy)
Sample ldentification

onlsoduro
$\square$

Client: Rettew Associates, Inc.

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: | 02/05/2015 18:19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |
| State/Province of Origin: | PA |  |  |  |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | No | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | No |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 2 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Trip Blank Type: | HCl |
| Missing Samples: | No | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Jordan Woods (6698) at 18:46 on 02/05/2015

## Samples Chilled Details

Thermometer Types: $\quad D T=$ Digital (Temp. Bottle) $\quad I R=$ Infrared (Surface Temp) $\quad$ All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

| RL | Reporting Limit | BMQL | Below Minimum Quantitation Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.D. | none detected | MPN | Most Probable Number |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count | CP Units | cobalt-chloroplatinate units |
| IU | International Units | NTU | nephelometric turbidity units |
| umhos/cm | micromhos/cm | ng | nanogram(s) |
| C | degrees Celsius | F | degrees Fahrenheit |
| meq | milliequivalents | lb. | pound(s) |
| g | gram(s) | kg | kilogram(s) |
| $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | microgram(s) | mg | milligram(s) |
| mL | milliliter(s) | L | liter(s) |
| m3 | cubic meter(s) | $\begin{array}{r} \mu \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L} \end{array}$ | microliter(s) picogram/liter |
| $<$ | less than |  |  |
| > | greater than |  |  |
| ppm | parts per million - One pp aqueous liquids, ppm is u very close to a kilogram. | milligram p valent to $m$ ne ppm is | kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or one gram per mil rams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ), because one liter ivalent to one microliter per liter of $g$ |
| ppb | parts per billion |  |  |
| Dry weight basis | Results printed under this concentration to approxim as-received basis. | justed for in a similar | sture content. This increases the an mple without moisture. All other res |

## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and the < Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, ISO17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWLL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

HERR FOODS, INC.

| PROJECT \#: | 101722001 | SAMPLED: | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| SAMPLES: | 1 | LOCATION: | - |
| JAY KAY TESTING, INC. |  |  |  |
| REPORT: | $02 / 13 / 15$ | REMARKS: | - |


| BORING | SAMPLE | DEPTH (ft.) | MC \% | WET DENSITY (PCF) | DRY DENSITY (PCF) | Pass \#200 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB-11 | Tube | 14.0-15.0 | 17.4 | 130.9 | 111.6 | 43.5 |

## HERR FOODS, INC.

| Boring: | SB-11 | Project No.: | 101722001 | JAY KAY TESTING, INC. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample: | Tube | Sampled: | - | 5233 Lehman Road, Suite 110 |
| Depth: | 14.0-15.0' | Location: | - | Spring Grove, PA 17362 <br> Phone: (410) 259-5101 |



GRAIN SIZE ANALYSIS



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201509: 20$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |


| $24-10$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall $Q C$ performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| 10237 | VoCs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | 10:47 | Anita M Dale | 45.05 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 09:20 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 A | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201509: 30$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 21 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 42 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 42 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 21 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 42 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N.D. | 42 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 42 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N.D. | 42 | 36.76 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 42 | 36.76 |
| Wet Ch | hemistry SM 2540 | G-1997 | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 13.1 | 0.50 | 1 |

Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an
as-received basis.

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | 11:10 | Anita M Dale | 36.76 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 09:30 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 A | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201509: 40$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

25-09

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Result } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 31 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 62 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 62 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 31 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 62 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 62 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 62 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 62 | 45.05 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 62 | 45.05 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 G-1997 |  |  | \% | \% |  |
| 00111 | Moisture <br> Moisture represents the loss 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The as-received basis. | n.a. <br> in weight of th e moisture resu | $27.6$ <br> ample a reported | 0.50 | 1 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | 11:34 | Anita M Dale | 45.05 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 09:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 A | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201509: 50$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

25-15

| CAT No. | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | Dry <br> Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 29 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 57 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N.D. | 57 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 29 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N.D. | 57 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 57 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 57 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N.D. | 57 | 47.62 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 57 | 47.62 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 |  | G-1997 | \% | $\%$ |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 16.6 | 0.50 | 1 |
|  | Moisture represents the loss 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. Th as-received basis. | in weight of the e moisture resu | ample a reported |  |  |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | 11:57 | Anita M Dale | 47.62 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 09:50 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 A | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201510: 10$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 31 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N.D. | 62 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 62 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N.D. | 31 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 62 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 62 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N.D. | 62 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 62 | 50.71 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 62 | 50.71 |
| Wet Ch | emistry SM 2540 | G-1997 | \% | $\%$ |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 18.7 | 0.50 | 1 |

Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an
as-received basis.

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis Date and T |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | 12:20 | Anita M Dale | 50.71 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 10:10 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001A | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201510: 20$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| CAT No. | Analysis Name | CAS Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Result } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 28 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 57 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 57 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 28 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 57 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 57 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 57 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 57 | 49.12 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 57 | 49.12 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 |  | G-1997 | \% | $\%$ | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 13.7 | 0.50 |  |
|  | Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an as-received basis. |  |  |  |  |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |  |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | 13:28 | Anita M Dale | 49.12 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 10:20 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 A | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201510: 40$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 53 | J | 28 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. |  | 56 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. |  | 56 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. |  | 28 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. |  | 56 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. |  | 56 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 510 |  | 56 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 200 | J | 56 | 43.33 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 440 |  | 56 | 43.33 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 |  | G-1997 | \% |  | $\%$ | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 22.0 |  | 0.50 |  |
|  | Moisture represents the loss 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The as-received basis. | in weight of the e moisture resu | ample a reporte | r |  |  |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | 13:51 | Anita M Dale | 43.33 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 10:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201510: 50$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 27 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 55 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 55 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 27 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 55 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 55 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 55 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 55 | 46.73 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 55 | 46.73 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 |  | G-1997 | \% | \% | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 14.6 | 0.50 |  |
|  | Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an as-received basis. |  |  |  |  |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151701AA | 06/19/2015 | $14: 14$ | Anita M Dale | 46.73 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 10:50 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201511: 40$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| CAT No. | Analysis Name | CAS Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Result } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 29 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 58 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 58 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 29 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 58 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 58 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 58 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 58 | 49.02 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 58 | 49.02 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 |  | G-1997 | \% | $\%$ | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 15.2 | 0.50 |  |
|  | Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an as-received basis. |  |  |  |  |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 00:03 | Kevin A Sposito | 49.02 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 11:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201511: 50$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dry } \\ \text { Result } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 25 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 51 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 51 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 25 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 51 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 51 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 51 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N.D. | 51 | 43.18 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 51 | 43.18 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 |  | G-1997 | \% | \% |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 14.9 | 0.50 | 1 |
|  | Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an as-received basis. |  |  |  |  |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 00:26 | Kevin A Sposito | 43.18 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 11:50 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201512: 10$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$


Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an
as-received basis.

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and T |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 02:43 | Kevin A Sposito | 44.48 |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 03:06 | Kevin A Sposito | 444.84 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 12:10 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | $13: 29$ | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201512: 20$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | ```Dry Method Detection Limit``` | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 24 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 49 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 49 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 24 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 49 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N.D. | 49 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 49 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 49 | 41.6 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 49 | 41.6 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 |  | G-1997 | \% | \% |  |
| 00111 | Moisture | п.a. | 14.4 | 0.50 | 1 |
|  | Moisture represents the loss in weight of the sample after oven drying at 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The moisture result reported is on an as-received basis. |  |  |  |  |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 00:48 | Kevin A Sposito | 41.6 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 12:20 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201512: 40$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

27-09

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 29 | 48.45 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 2,000 | 58 | 48.45 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 730 | 58 | 48.45 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 29 | 48.45 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 1,300 | 58 | 48.45 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 58 | 48.45 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 20,000 | 580 | 484.5 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 6,700 | 58 | 48.45 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 6,400 | 58 | 48.45 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 G-1997 |  |  | \% | $\%$ |  |
| 00111 | Moisture <br> Moisture represents the loss 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. Th as-received basis. | n.a. in weight of th <br> e moisture resu | $16.1$ <br> ample a reported | 0.50 | 1 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and T |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 03:29 | Kevin A Sposito | 48.45 |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 03:51 | Kevin A Sposito | 484.5 |
| 06171 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. } \\ & \text { MeOH } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 12:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | $13: 29$ | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |



Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $06 / 12 / 201512: 50$ | by SH | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $06 / 12 / 201514: 45$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $06 / 27 / 201519: 31$

27-20


## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | Vocs- Solid by 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151703AA | 06/20/2015 | 01:11 | Kevin A Sposito | 47.08 |
| 06171 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv. MeOH | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201516337951 | 06/12/2015 | 12:50 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 15174820001 B | 06/23/2015 | 13:29 | Lisa J Cooke | 1 |

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1568784
Reported: 06/27/2015 19:31

Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

All Inorganic Initial Calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

## Analysis Name

Batch number: Q151701AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylene (Total)

| Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | MDL | Units | \%REC |  | Limits | RPD | Max |
| Sample number(S) : 7926802-7926803,7926805-7926813 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 100 | 107 | 80-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 96 | 103 | 80-120 | 8 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 92 | 98 | 76-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 25. | ug/kg | 99 | 106 | 72-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 86 | 93 | 64-120 | 8 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 101 | 107 | 80-120 | 6 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 94 | 101 | 79-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 94 | 99 | 78-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 95 | 102 | 80-120 | 7 | 30 |
| Sample number(s) : 7926804,7926814-7926819 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 25. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 100 | 99 | 80-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 95 | 95 | 80-120 | 0 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 91 | 92 | 76-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 25. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 98 | 99 | 72-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 88 | 83 | 64-120 | 7 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 100 | 99 | 80-120 | 1 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 93 | 89 | 79-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 94 | 89 | 78-120 | 6 | 30 |
| N. D. | 50. | ug/kg | 94 | 93 | 80-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Sample number(S) : 7926802-7926811 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |  | 99-101 |  |  |
| Sample number(s) : 7926812-7926819 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 100 |  | 99-101 |  |  |

Batch number: Q151703AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylene (Total)
Batch number: 15174820001A Moisture

Batch number: 15174820001B
Moisture

| B |
| :--- |
| R |

Sample Matrix Quality Control
Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike
Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1568784
Reported: 06/27/2015 19:31

Surrogate Quality Control
Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.
Analysis Name: Vocs- Solid by 8260 B
Batch number: Q151701AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7926802 | 79 | 81 | 80 | 80 |
| 7926803 | 88 | 94 | 87 | 87 |
| 7926805 | 82 | 87 | 82 | 83 |
| 7926806 | 71 | 76 | 70 | 70 |
| 7926807 | 78 | 83 | 77 | 76 |
| 7926808 | 70 | 78 | 69 | 81 |
| 7926809 | 82 | 87 | 80 | 69 |
| 7926810 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 81 |
| 7926811 | 78 | 84 | 80 | 70 |
| 7926812 | 57 | 61 | 56 | 85 |
| 7926813 | 86 | 94 | 86 | 86 |
| Blank | 86 | 91 | 88 | 85 |
| LCS | 83 | 88 | 85 | 89 |
| LCSD | 89 | 94 | 91 | $50-131$ |

Analysis Name: Vocs- Solid by 8260 B
Batch number: Q151703AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7926804 | 60 | 61 | 62 | 75 |
| 7926814 | 83 | 87 | 81 | 80 |
| 7926815 | 83 | 89 | 82 | 81 |
| 7926816 | 73 | 79 | 75 | 79 |
| 7926817 | 70 | 74 | 70 | 74 |
| 7926818 | 71 | 78 | 73 | 75 |
| 7926819 | 79 | 83 | 79 | 82 |
| Blank | 86 | 89 | 86 | 83 |
| LCS | 83 | 86 | 84 | 81 |
| LCSD | 82 | 84 | $53-131$ |  |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.
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## 




Acct. \# 721
:i tic eurofins
Lancaster Laboratories
Environmental Client
(1) Client Information
ate results are needed:

## Sample Identification

Standard Rush
(Rush TAT is subject to laboratory approval and surcharge.)

Type I (Validation/non-CLP)
Type III (Reduced non-CLP)
Type IV (CLP SOW)
E-mail address:

Type VI (Raw Data Only)

$\varepsilon L \cdot d y \searrow \searrow \perp X \perp$
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental, LLCP: 2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601•717-656-2300

Client: Rettew Associates

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: | $\underline{06 / 12 / 2015} 14: 45$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | Yes | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | N/A |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | O |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Missing Samples: | No |  |  |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | Yes |  |  |

Unpacked by Timothy Cubberley (6520) at 15:43 on 06/12/2015


# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

| RL | Reporting Limit | BMQL | Below Minimum Quantitation Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.D. | none detected | MPN | Most Probable Number |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count | CP Units | cobalt-chloroplatinate units |
| IU | International Units | NTU | nephelometric turbidity units |
| umhos/cm | micromhos/cm | ng | nanogram(s) |
| C | degrees Celsius | F | degrees Fahrenheit |
| meq | milliequivalents | lb. | pound(s) |
| g | gram(s) | kg | kilogram(s) |
| $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | microgram(s) | mg | milligram(s) |
| mL | milliliter(s) | L | liter(s) |
| m3 | cubic meter(s) | $\begin{array}{r} \mu \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L} \end{array}$ | microliter(s) picogram/liter |
| $<$ | less than |  |  |
| > | greater than |  |  |
| ppm | parts per million - One pp aqueous liquids, ppm is u very close to a kilogram. | milligram p valent to $m$ ne ppm is | kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or one gram per mil rams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ), because one liter ivalent to one microliter per liter of $g$ |
| ppb | parts per billion |  |  |
| Dry weight basis | Results printed under this concentration to approxim as-received basis. | justed for in a similar | sture content. This increases the an mple without moisture. All other res |

## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and the < Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, ISO17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWLL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

## APPENDIX E

## Waste Disposal Manifests

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the contents of this shipment are fully and accurately described and are in all respects in proper condition for transport. The materials described on this manifest are not subject to federal hazardous waste regulations.
Printed / Typed Name


## $\Delta \in R C$ NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST

NON-HAZARDOUS WASTE MANIFEST
3. Generator'S Name and Mailing,Address
273 Old Baltimore Pike 273 Old Baltimore Pike

4. Generator's Phone ( 610, $932-9330$

16. GENERATOR'S CERTIFICATION: I hereby certify that the contents of this shipment are fully and accurately described and are in all respects in proper condition for transport. The materials described on this manifest are not subject to federal hazardous waste regulations.

19. Discrepancy Indication Space
20. Facility Owner or Operator: Certification of receipt of the waste materials covered by this manifest, except as noted in item 19.

## Printed / Typed Name

White Copy: Environmental Recovery Corp.

## APPENDIX F Low Flow Groundwater Purging and Monitoring Data Sheets

LOW－FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATA SHEET
Field Personnel：Ed Dziedzic

Pump：4－Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller Meter：YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell \begin{tabular}{lcccc}
Screened Interval： \& 7 to 27 ft. \& $\approx 4.5 \mathrm{gd}$ PuR6さり <br>
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation： \& 2.20 \& \& <br>
Pump Intake Depth： \& 10 ft. \& \& Sample Time： \& $10: 55$ <br>
\hline

 

Screened Interval： \& 7 to 27 ft. \& $\approx 4.5 \mathrm{gd}$ PuR6さり <br>
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation： \& 2.20 \& \& <br>
Pump Intake Depth： \& 10 ft. \& \& Sample Time： \& $10: 55$ <br>
\hline

 

Screened Interval： \& 7 to 27 ft. \& $\approx 4.5 \mathrm{gd}$ PuR6さり <br>
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation： \& 2.20 \& \& <br>
Pump Intake Depth： \& 10 ft. \& \& Sample Time： \& $10: 55$ <br>
\hline

 

Screened Interval： \& 7 to 27 ft. \& $\approx 4.5 \mathrm{gd}$ PuR6さり <br>
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation： \& 2.20 \& \& <br>
Pump Intake Depth： \& 10 ft. \& \& Sample Time： \& $10: 55$ <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

 We answer to you． Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham，PA Date：3／9／15
50
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Well No．：} & \text { MW－1 } \\ \text { Well Depth：} & 27 \mathrm{ft} .\end{array}$
Well Diameter：2－inch

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity$(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp． <br> （ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ） |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pumping } \\ \text { Rate* } \\ (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Depth To Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 10：10 |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA | 600 | 2.20 |
| $10: 32$ | 6.03 |  | 0.277 |  | 309.4 |  | 2.25 |  | 0.245 |  | 11.40 |  | 500 | 3.40 |
| $10: 36$ | 6.12 |  | 0.285 |  | 304.2 |  | 6.68 |  | 0.251 |  | 61.65 |  | 500 | 3.36 |
| $10: 41$ | 6.15 |  | 0.302 |  | 301.1 |  | 6.39 |  | 0.267 |  | 61.65 | 0 | 400 | 3.25 |
| $10: 47$ | 6.24 | $+0.09$ | 0.337 | $+10 \%$ | 294.3 | －6．8 | 6.05 | $-0.34$ | 0.295 | $+9 \%$ | 11.72 | $+0.07$ | 200 | 3.26 |
| $10: 51$ | 6.25 | ＋0．01 | 0.342 | ＋ $2 \%$ | 293.4 | －0．9 | 6.03 | －0．02 | 0.299 | $+106$ | 11.51 | －0．21 | 200 | 3.18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ＋／－0． | ． 2 SU | ＋／－3\％of | f Reading | $=/-20$ | mV＊＊ | ＋／－0．2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | ＋／－10\％ | of Reading |  | $0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | A |

10：10－10：32 ADDON CLAMPS AUS ADTU STED FLOW CELC TO
CONTMOC LEALSS，ADJSTED FLOW RATE
H：\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low－Flow Data Sheet．xlsx
LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING DATA SHEET
Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller
Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell

2.86
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation:
Pump Intake Depth: $\quad 10 \mathrm{ft}$.

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ \text { (SU) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity ( $\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp. <br> ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pumping } \\ & \text { Rate* } \\ & (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Depth To Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| $n: 34$ |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA | 600 | 2.96 |
| 1:37 | 5.35 |  | 1.982 |  | 323.1 |  | 7.01 |  | 1.293 |  | 10.28 |  | 450 | 4.88 |
| $11: 44$ | 5.32 |  | 2.028 |  | 326.8 |  | 6.39 |  | 1.808 |  | 10.83 |  | 600 | 4.55 |
| 11:50 | 5.32 | 0 | 2.040 |  | 328.4 |  | 6.28 |  | 1.815 |  | 10.91 |  | 350 | 4.42 |
| $11: 55$ | 5.32 | 0 | 2.058 | $+1 \%$ | 330.9 | $+2.5$ | 6.30 | $+0.02$ | 1.830 | $+1 \%$ | 10.93 | +0.02 | 450 | 4.30 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | +/-3\% o | f Reading | =/- | mV** | +/-0.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | +/-10\% | of Reading |  | . $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of YSI 556
LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING DATA SHEET
Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell
Screened Interval: 5 to 25 ft .
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: Pump Intake Depth: $\quad 11 \mathrm{ft}$.


[^22]LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING DATA SHEET
Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell
Screened Interval: $\quad 0$ to 19 ft .

Pump Intake Depth:


[^23]LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING DATA SHEET

> Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell
Screened Interval: $\quad 7$ to 27 ft .
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation:
Pump Intake Depth:


[^24]LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING data sheet
Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
 We answer to you. Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA 4111.5 Weather: SUN/NY $45^{\circ}$ Well No.: MW-1
Well Depth:
Well Diameter: 2-inch

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change |
| 8.24 |  | NA |
| 8:28 | 6.25 |  |
| $8: 31$ | 5.96 |  |
| $8: 34$ | 5.90 |  |
| 8:38 | 5.86 |  |
| $8: 41$ | 5.85 |  |
| 3:43 | 5.89 | +0.01 |
| 8:46 | 5.81 | -0.03 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | +/-0.2 SU |  |

[^25]H:\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low-Flow Data Sheet.xlsx

LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

## DATA SHEET

Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell

Screened Interval: 3 to 23 ft .
Screened Interval:
Depth To Water Bef Pump Intake Depth:
tallation:
10 ft.


* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of YSI 556

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ump Intake Depth: } \\
& \hline \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING DATA SHEET

> Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller
Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell
7 to 27 ft .
2.9417
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation:
Pump Intake Depth: $\quad 10 \mathrm{ft}$.

| Site: Herr | oods, Inc. | tingham, |  |  |  | Field Pers | nnel: Ed Dz | ziedzic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date: | 41115 |  |  |  |  | Pump: 4 | age SS Meg | Mansoo | With DC C | ntroller |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weather: |  | Nary | $45^{\circ}$ |  |  | Meter: YS | 556 Multip | arameter | ith Flow C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well No.: |  | MW-5 |  |  |  | Screened | terval: |  | 7 to 27 ft . |  |  |  | 5 | P | (0) 0 |
| Well Depth |  | 27 ft . |  |  |  | Depth T | Vater Bef | Pump | tallation: | . 94 |  |  |  |  | (6) |
| Well Diame | ter: | 2-inch |  |  |  | Pump Int | e Depth: |  | 10 ft . |  | Sample Ti | : ll: | 03 |  |  |
|  |  | H | Condu (mS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ictivity } \\ & \hline \mathrm{cm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pumping <br> Rate* | Depth To |  |
| Time | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) | Water |  |
| $10: 38$ |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA | 600 | 2.88 | 6.2 V |
| $10: 42$ | 5.01 |  | 1.679 |  | 285.4 |  | 6.60 |  | 1.474 |  | 11.45 |  | 400 | 3.24 |  |
| 10:45 | 4.93 |  | 1.685 |  | 281.1 |  | 4.20 |  | 1.474 |  | 11.56 |  | 375 | 3.20 |  |
| 10:48 | 4.94 |  | 1.698 |  | 277.3 |  | 2.88 |  | 1.482 |  | 11.70 |  | 550 | 3.35 | 6.34 |
| $10: 52$ | 4.89 |  | 1.705 |  | 283.1 |  | 2.93 |  | 1.467 |  | 12.19 |  | 600 | 3.43 |  |
| $10: 55$ | 4.91 |  | 1.701 |  | 276.0 |  | 3.17 |  | 1.468 |  | 12.08 |  | 400 | 3.35 | $6.2 y$ |
| $10: 59$ | 4.89 | -0.02 | 1.682 | $-1 \%$ | 274.4 | $-1.6$ | 2.97 | -0.20 | 1.851 | $-1 \%$ | 12,17 | +0.0\% | 400 | 3.29 |  |
| 11.01 | 4.89 | 0 | 1,688 | $<1 \%$ | 272.6 | $-1.8$ | 2.91 | -0.08 | 1.456 | $\angle 1 \%$ | 1208 | -0.09 | 300 | 3.25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization ${ }_{\text {Criteria }}$ | +/-0 | . 2 SU | $\div /-3 \%$ o | f Reading | $=/-20$ | $\mathrm{mV}^{* *}$ | +/-0.2 | mg/L** | +/-10\% | of Reading | +/- | 0.2 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |  |

[^26]LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING DATA SHEET
Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller
Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell

| Well No.: <br> Well Depth: <br> Well Diameter: |  | MW-4 19 ft . 2-inch | Conductivity$(\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | Screened Interval <br> Depth To Water B <br> Pump Intake Dept |  |  | 0 to 19 ft . <br> tallation: <br> 10 ft . |  | $3.37$ | 5 sal Purbeot <br> SHEEN, STMark ODOR Sample Time: $12: 02$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DO} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | Pumping Rate* ( $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ) | Depth To Water |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 11:38 |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | NA |  | 3.37 |
| 11:41 | 9.92 |  | 1.193 |  | 76.6 |  | 5.40 |  | 1.077 |  | 10.78 |  |  |  |
| 11:45 | 9.53 |  | 1.159 |  | 62.5 |  | 2.90 |  | 1.021 |  | 11.37 |  | $>500$ | 4.40 |
| 11.48 | 9.17 |  | 1.138 |  | $-157.8$ |  | 2.73 |  | 0.991 |  | 11.64 |  | 600 | 4.48 |
| 11:51 | -3.54 |  | 1.131 |  | -167.0 |  | 2.05 |  | 0.985 |  | 11.46 |  | 400 | 4.43 |
| $11: 53$ | 8.19 |  | 1.077 |  | -24.2 |  | 1.86 |  | 0.927 |  | 11.93 |  | 300 | 4.30 |
| $11: 56$ | 7.82 |  | 1.054 |  | -23.4 |  | 1.27 |  | 0.905 |  | 12.22 |  | 300 | 4.35 |
| 16:58 | 7.58 | -0.24 | 1.048 | L1\% | $-8.8$ | $+14.6$ | 1.76 | -0.01 | 0.900 | $-1 \%$ | 12.29 | t0.07 | 300 | 4.30 |
| 12:00 | 7.39 | -0.19 | 1.055 | <1\% | $-10.1$ | $-1.3$ | 1.80 | +0.04 | 0.907 | $+1 \%$ | 1227 | -0.02 | 450 | 4.28 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | +/-0.2 SU |  | +/-3\% of Reading |  | =/-20 mV** |  | +/-0.2 mg/L** |  | +/-10\% of Reading |  | $+/-0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |  |

BUBBLIM SUBSDNON
SIFIES SPL UBSERVES ON TUBING UPW ROMOVAL
H:\Projects $\backslash 10172 \backslash 101722001$ \GS\Site Characterization \ow-Flow Data Sheet.xlsx
LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING DATA SHEET
Field Personnel: Ed Dziedzic
Pump: 4-Stage SS Mega Monsoon With DC Controller Meter: YSI 556 Multiparameter With Flow Cell Screened Interval: 5 to 25 ft . Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: Pump Intake Depth: 2.96 Ft $4.5 \mathrm{gd} \mathrm{Pun6e}$

LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
data sheet

Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell $15 \%$
Screened Interval: 3 to 23 ft. Pump Intake Depth:
firdag ayełul duand
10 ft .


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |  | Depth To Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| $10 \% 9$ | NA | 5.10 | NA | 1.648 | NA | 19.41 | NA | 270 |  |
| 166.6 | 3, 3 | 3.55 | 1.25 | 4.654 | Q, ig\% | 1922 | 1) 14 | 270 | \% 86 |
| 1083 | 38 | 348 | 0.43 | 1.656 | 0.048 | 147.15 | 0.77 | 270 | 388 |
| 101.9 | 1.2 | 3.37 | 0.05 | 1.657 | 0.001 | $14 . j 4$ | 0.3 | 470 | 3, 36 |
| 100. 9 | 1.93 | 7. 85 | 1803 | 1.658 | 8.006 | 19.10 | 10.00 | 276 | 336 |
| 69.7 | 12 | 3, \% | 18.07 | $1-668$ | 0.600 ! | fristom | 0.04 | 220 | 3.34 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| +/-20 mV** |  | +/-0.2 mg/L** |  | +/-10\% of Reading |  | $+/-0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

5072359
Deborah Hannum
H:\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low-Flow Data Sheet.x|sx

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of YSI 556

Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA Date: $7: 1.5$

Weather: Elomaly 78
Well No.: MW-2
Well Depth:
Well Depth:
Well Diameter:
2 -inch

## К!!п!эприоэ

Reading $\quad$ Change

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | R |
| 120.56 | $\cdots$ | NA | 2 |
| W28 | 8. 110 | 0.025 | 2 |
| 17 l | 5.02 | 63.03 | 8 |
| $10 \%$ | 3010 | V. 61 | 7 |
| 163 | $5.3 \%$ | 0.60 | 2 |
| 1788 | 950.30 | 0.01 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria |  | 2 SU |  |

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$

LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATA SHEET
 Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell USE S

|  | $\begin{aligned} & i= \\ & m \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{0}{n}$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: i, 85 $\frac{\text { SOL }}{\forall 0 \tau}$
$8 \cdots+5$

Sample Time: 4.152 | Temp. | Pumping |
| :--- | :--- | 3 6

6
 6
6
6
6
0
0
0
$n$
3
3
-

$$
8
$$

Field Personnel: Donswam $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ mod $(i(S T L)$
2

$$
8
$$ Screened Interval: $\quad 7$ to 27 ft . Screened Interval: Pump Intake Depth: 2 -

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Rea |
|  | 16 |

N

| DO |
| :--- |
| (mg/L) |




.

We answer to you. Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA Date: $\quad 7 \mathrm{~m}=1$
 Well No.: MW-1

Well Depth: $\quad 27 \mathrm{ft}$. Well Depth:
Well Diameter:

Well Diameter: $\quad$ 2-inch

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity ( $\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |
| $10 \% 0$ | 5 F | NA | 10, St | NA |
| Y16\% ${ }^{2}$ | $5{ }^{5}$ | 170 | 0.15 | 3 U4, 9 |
| 1116 | 5.47 | \% $\mathrm{Lom}_{1} 6$ | $2.55^{\circ}$ | 0, D, \% |
| 120 | 5.5\% | A, \% | 0.55 | On ber |
| 1125 | $5 \times 78$ |  | 17.156 | A. Wial |
| $113 / 2$ | 5 y | 08.68 | 0.151 | 67.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria |  | . 2 SU | +/-3\% | Reading |

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of YSI 556

$$
2021
$$
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 | Well No.: | MW-8 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Well Depth: | 20 ft. |
| Well Diameter: | 2-inch |

## Screened Interval: $\quad 3$ to 20 ft .

data sheet
LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA
良
Pump Intake Depth:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { — }
\end{aligned}
$$

5072359
Deborah H
Deborah Hannum

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
* Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
5072359
Deborah Hannum
Screened Interval: 3 to 20 ft .
data sheet

Pump: Peristaltic Pump Cols- Pammin

Depth To Water Be

rotai furgud $24 g h$


H:\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low-Flow Data Sheet.xlsx
LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

## DATA SHEET


Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell $4 \leq 5 \leq$
Screened Interval: $\quad 3$ to 20 ft .
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: Pump Intake Depth:


5072398
Deborah Hannum

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter
H:\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low-Flow Data Sheet.xlsx
 Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA

Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA
Date:
Weather: $\min _{\text {n }}$,
Well No.: $\quad$ MW-9
Well Depth: 20 ft .
Well Depth:
Well Diameter
Well Diameter: $\quad$ 2-inch
(nS)
Hd

NA
0.27

36
8
8.18


| Time | pH <br> (SU) |  | Conductivity (mS/cm) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DO} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading |  |
| 020 | ¢ \% | NA | 4.6 | NA |  | NA | 362 |  |
| 48.5 | 6, 368 | 0.7 | 1.57 | 0.109 | - 3 \% 6 | IV, | 277 |  |
| 0180 | 58\% |  | 1.463 | 4.42 | - 27 | 7.2 | $y=0$ | 2 |
| 5635 | 52 | Put | 1.68 | 18.72 | - 1 cor 2 | 127 | 1-6 | 6 |
| 6340 | $\omega_{0}+1$ | $0_{0} .8$ | $1-6$ | 9.06 | $11-6$ | \% 6 | $1-63$ | 6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | +/-0 | 2 SU | +/-3\% | Reading | +/- | $\mathrm{V}^{* *}$ | +/-0 |  |

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter

Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA Date: $\quad Z=10 \cdots 1 \%$

Weather: $5 \mathrm{em} n \mathrm{~m}$ | Well No.: | MW-4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Well Depth: | 19 ft. |
| Well Diameter: | 2-inch |



LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATA SHEET

## 

Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell $v 51551$
Screened Interval:

Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: 4 : पдdәa әуедиן dund
Pump: Peristaltic Pump


H:\Projects $\backslash 10172 \backslash 101722001 \backslash G S \backslash$ Site Characterization\Low-Flow Data Sheet.xlsx

[^27]* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of YSI 556

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter

LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATA SHEET

Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA
Date: $7=\mid \sqrt{2}+1)^{2}$
Weather: जxamy $\% 36$

| Well No.: | MW-7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Well Depth: | 20 ft. |
| Well Diameter: | 2 -inch |

Pump Intake Depth:

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity ( $\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DO} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | Pumping <br> Rate* <br> (ml/min) | Depth To Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 1846 |  | NA | $0.34 \%$ | NA | 58.8 | NA | $2{ }^{2}$ | NA |  | NA | 5 | NA | 68 | $3.45$ |
| 1050 | 508 | 6.268 | 4. 65 | 0.835 | 649 | 6 | 2. $\mathrm{y}^{8}$ | 1.62 | 23.382 | $B .04$ |  | 1877 | $31 \%$ | 48 |
| 1166 | 5.16 | $\theta 8$ | 6473 | $03-6$ | 5 50 | \%. | 6.65 | 12.28 | 6.376 | b. 506 | 72 | 2073 | 316 | 5.18 |
| $11 \%$ | 520 | 17.64 |  | 12.005 |  | 36 | $\sigma .51$ | 1504 | 94\% 79 |  | 1703 | $6^{1 /} 22$ | 515 | ¢, 21 |
| 1110 | $52 \times 3$ | $8{ }^{2}$ | 0. $\%$ | 6. 8 k 3 | $55^{2} 0$ |  | 6.66 | 3 \% | 5 3 72 |  | 17.08 | 0.173 | $3 \%$ | $5^{3}+2{ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | SU | +/-3\% | Reading |  | mV** | $+/-0$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | +/-10\% | f Reading |  | $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | A |

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter


[^28]
## LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

## data sheet

## 


Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell $\quad y^{2}$
Screened Interval: 5 to 25 ft .
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: Pump Intake Depth:


[^29]
##  <br> 5072398 Deborah Hannum


＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of YSI 556

H：\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low－Flow Data Sheet．xlsx
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Well No.: } & \text { MW-2 } \\ \text { Well Depth: } & 23 \mathrm{ft.} \\ \text { Well Diameter: } & \text { 2-inch }\end{array}$
Well Diameter：

| Time | ， |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth： |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity （ $\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm}$ ） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading |
| 00.208 | 6.8 | NA | 2.431 | NA | 1450 | NA | 2.24 |
| 0985 | 6.4 | 0.4 .45 | 2．982 | d， 62 | 139.1 | 10.9 | 1.65 |
| 0970 | 6.20 | $0.23)$ | 2.488 | 0.620 | 129.0 | 5.1 | 1.25 |
| 0935 | 6.12 | 0.08 | 2418 | 0.014 | 180.1 | 1.1 | 1.17 |
| 2940 | 6.10 | 0.02 | 2.40 b | 0.016 | 128.4 | 1.7 | 1.12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ＋／－0 | 2 SU | ＋／3\％ | Reading | ＋／－20 | mV＊＊ | ＋／－0．2 |

[^30]＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of YSI 556

H：\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low－Flow Data Sheet．xlsx
LOW－FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

## DATA SHEET

| Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham，PA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date：injus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weather etsemiy E om |  |  |  |  |  | Meter：Multiparameter With Flow Cell |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well No．： |  | MW－3 |  |  |  | Screened Interval： |  |  | 5 to 25 ft ． |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Dept |  | 25 ft ． |  |  |  | Depth To Water Before Pump installation：$\delta^{\prime}=8$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Diam | ter： | 2－inch |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth： |  |  | 11 ft |  | Sample Time：$\%$ \％ |  |  |  |
| Time | pH （SU） |  | Conductivity （ $\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm}$ ） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp． （ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ） |  | Pumping Rate＊ （ $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ） | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| $00^{2} 85$ | 6．U3 | NA |  | NA | － 6 | NA | 8．10 | NA |  | NA | 26.64 | NA | 975 | $3^{6} 0$ |
| 1010 | 6，$\square^{4}$ | 12.16 | 9，60 | 02＊ | － 51.7 | 2\％． 6 | 数教7 | 10．47 | 48y | 0.213 |  | 1.616 | 37 | 5．6．${ }^{2}$ |
| 4， 63 | 14．0．2 | 0.21 | 3， 3 为听 | 0.60 | $=1 y^{4}{ }^{2}$ | 121 | \％ 10 | 0,12 | 1.42 | b | 68 | 6.73 |  | 5． 6 |
| Hid | 5.62 | 0 | 2605 | 0.028 | ${ }^{-1}$ | $1 / 4$ | $3 \%$ | V． 1 | \％ | 0 | 25， 5 | 4.01 | $3 \%$ \％ | $3+2$ |
| 50 | 6， 0 | 0 | \％${ }^{2} 6$ | 0 OL | －15 ${ }^{2}$ | 线： | 3．2！ | 38 | 1.428 | b，mb |  |  | 37\％ | 5.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabillzation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\qquad$ | ＋／－0 | 2 SU | ＋／．3\％ | Reading | ＋／－20 | mV ＊＊ | $+/-0.2$ | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}^{\text {\％}}$ | ＋／－10\％ | freading | ＋／－0 | $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |

｜｜T｜ $\mid$｜｜｜
5100575
Deborah Hannum

LOW－FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
data sheet
 Meter：Multiparameter With Flow Cell 45155

5
$m$
$m$
$m$
$m$


Weather:Clomaty syog
Well No.: MW-5
Well Depth:
2-inch
Well Diameter: 2-inch
pH


* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter
LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATA SHEET



| Well No.: <br> Well Depth: <br> Well Diameter: |  | MW-6 |  |  |  | Screened Interval: |  |  | 3 to 20 ft . |  | $\text { Frowg Start } 1290$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20 ft . |  |  |  | Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: 5.42 |  |  |  |  | Fiwome Enol: Bys |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2-inch |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth: |  |  | 10 ft . |  | Sample Time: 1365 |  |  |  |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity ( $\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDS } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Temp. <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | Pumping Rate* ( $\mathrm{m} / / \mathrm{min}$ ) | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 1245 | 7.05 | NA | 0.046 | NA | 56.5 | NA | 6.57 | NA | 0.133 | NA | 29 92 | NA | 400 | 3.45 |
| 1250 | 6.86 | 0.19 | 0.177 | O.039 | 55.7 | 8.8 | 6.40 | 0.17 | 0.184 | 0.009 | $21.5 \%$ | 0.34 | 406 | 3.52 |
| 1255 | 6.81 | 0.125 | 0.177 | 0 | 69.4 | 41 | $6-32$ | 0.08 | 0.122 | 0.002 | 21.82 | 0.24 | 400 | 3.54 |
| 1300 | 6.75 | 0.06 | 0.177 | 0 | 72.5 | 3.1 | $6-62$ | 0.30 | 0.123 | 0.001 | 21.54 | 0.25 | 400 | 3.55 |
| 4395 | 6.76 | 0.01 | 0.177 | 0 | 73, 3 | 0.8 | 6.54 | 0.08 | 0125 | 0.002 | 21.45 | 0.06 | 400 | 3.56 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | +/-0. | 2 SU | +/-3\% | Reading | +/-20 | mV** | +/-0.2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | +/.10\% | f Reading | +/-0 | . $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | A |

[^31]2.5 galo phraged
We answer to you. Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA Date: $1 / 1 / \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{l}$


| Well No.: | MW-7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Well Depth: | 20 ft. |
| Well Diameter: | 2-inch |


2
ged Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham，PA Date：$\sqrt{2} 0-6=15$
Weather：Sinnoy 6

| Well No．： <br> Well Depth： 20 H <br> Well Diameter： |  | MW－${ }^{\text {B }} 8$ <br> 25 ft <br> 2－inch |  |  | Screened interval： $3-204 \div 5$ to $25-\mathrm{ft}$ ． <br> Depth To Water Before Pump Installation： 3.84 <br> Pump Intake Depth： <br> $10 \mathrm{ft} 1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ft}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Prome started： 165 <br> Pareze Fuded： 1102 z <br> Sample Time： $11 / 02$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity （ms／cm） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp． （ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pumping } \\ & \text { Rate* } \\ & \text { ( } \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading |  |  |  | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading |  |  | Change | Reading | Change |
| 1040 | 6.91 | NA | 0.209 | NA | 1073 | NA | $\stackrel{5}{7}$ | NA | 0.851 | NA | 9.76 | NA | 401 | Y， 36 |
| 1045 | 6．68 | 1） $2 \%$ | 6．212 | 0.102 | 100.1 | 33.7 | 4.34 | 10.74 | 6） $15 \%$ | 0202 | 部6爯 | 0.07 | 431 | 4.60 |
| 10.50 | $6-57$ | 0.11 | 0211 | 0.001 | 106.6 | 0.5 | $4-15$ | 0.23 | 0153 | 0 O | 14.85 | d 14 | 401 | 4．14 |
| 105 | 6.54 | 0.03 | 6， 211 | 0 | 96 | 0． 6 | 3.85 | 0.24 | 0.154 | 0.001 | 19.36 | 6． 19 | 481 | 4.263 |
| 1100 | 648 | 0.06 | 6.204 | 0.607 | 989 | 019 | 3.86 | 6． 233 | 6.153 | 0.81 | 4481 | 0.15 | 401 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | ＋／－0．2 SU |  | ＋／－3\％of Reading |  | ＋／－20 mV＊＊ |  | $+/ .0 .2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{\text {＊＊}}$ |  | ＋／－10\％of Reading |  | $+1-0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |  |

5100575
Deborah Hannum
＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of YSI 556
2.6 gats pargent
$\cdots$

$$
i \cdot y
$$

H：\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low－Flow Data Sheet．xlsx


## LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

 DATA SHEETSite: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA Date: $10 / 615$
 Well No.: MW-9 Well Depth:
Well Diameter: 2 -inch
Pump Intake Depth: Meter. Mukiparameter With Flow Cell is $\downarrow$ sic
Screened Interval: $\quad 3$ to 20 ft.
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: 16
10 ft .

| Time | 2-inch |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth: |  |  |  | 10 tt . |  | Sample Time: 26 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity ( $\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm}$ ) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pumping } \\ \text { Rate* } \\ \text { ( } \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 1200 | 6.02 | NA | 1.932 | NA | 0.0 | NA | $30^{4}$ | NA | $1.47 \%$ | NA | 18.3 | NA | 400 | 4.52 |
| 1253 |  | di. 01 | 1.92 | 080 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 1.61 | d) 93 | 1244 | 0.068 | 50.04 | (1) 4 有 | Y0\% | 4.71 |
| 1210 | $00^{6} 4$ | 0.17 | \% 0\%3\% | 0.11 | 17.\% | 18 | 1 4 4 | 2. 18 | 1.550 | 0.104 | 枚33 | 0.66 | 40 | 4.50 |
| 12.5 | $44^{4} 5$ | 0.69 | 2.107 | 0.074 | 025 | 72.7 | 1.36 | 0.11 | 1.61\% | 0.068 | 17.20 | 0.18 | 400 | 4. ${ }^{3}$ |
| 1220 | 6.40 | 0.05 | 2.169 | 2.650 | 46.9 | 4 | 1.34 | 0.02 | 1.663 | 0.051 | 17.00 | 0.40 | 100 | \% 86 |
| 1225 | $40^{3}-39$ | 0.010 | 2.184 | $0.0)^{19}$ | 47 | 0.9 | 1.32 | 0.02 | 1.677 | 0.619 | 16.97 | 0.03 | 460 | 4.91 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criserla | +/-0.2 SU |  | +/-3\% of Reading |  | +/-20 mV** |  | $+/-0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ |  | +/-10\% of Reading |  | $+/-0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |  |

Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: 4.16 Puirge Eurt : 1224

5100575
Deborah
Deborah Hannum

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter
H:\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low-Flow Data Sheet.xlsx



## LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

## DATA SHEET

Weather: 5eqnay $\operatorname{sig}^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ Well No.: MW-13
Well Depth:
12 ft.
2 -inch
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: 3. 4 Pump Intake Depth:


| Weather: | 2以n\% | $370 \%$ |  |  |  | Meter: M | parame | With Flow | ell | 14 | 4519 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well No.: |  | MW-13 |  |  |  | Screened | terval: |  | 2 to 12 ft . |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Depth: |  | 12 ft . |  |  |  | Depth To | ter Befor | ump In | allation: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Diame | ter: | 2-inch |  |  |  | Pump In | Depth: |  | 9 ft . |  | Sample Tim |  | $1 / 151$ |  |
|  |  |  | Cond (ms | ctivity $(\mathrm{cm})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Pumping Rate* | Depth To |
| Time | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | (ml/min) | Water |
| 1025 | \%. $/ 8$ | NA | 0.295 | NA | $2 \mathrm{th} \mathrm{m}_{1}$ | NA | $44^{4} 9$ | NA | 0.isy | NA | 10.5 | NA | 36 | 3.5 |
| 1020 | 5.1 | () $: 07$ | 0.300 | 0.005 | $230 \%$ | 2, 2,7 | 4.35 | 0) 5 | 0.15 | 0.002 | $11: 34$ | $0 \cdot 37$ | 3.3 | 4.00 |
| 1835 | $5 \cdot 0 \%$ | 9.02 | 024 |  | 240,5 | 9.4 | 4.4 | a 06 | 0,100 | 1000 | 11.36 | 0.27 | 356 | 4,03 |
| (0) 0 | 5607 | O: $0^{3}$ | 0297 | $0 \cdot 02$ | A46: ${ }^{2}$ | 7.6 | 463 | 0.0n | $0 \cdot 140$ | $0 \times 002$ | 1.50 | () +16 | 350 | 4103 |
| $16 / 5$ | \%: 0 | 0.01 | $064 \%$ | 0.003 | 4557 | $7 \%$ | 4.38 | 0.05 | 0.47 | 1700 | 1175 | 020 | 5.68 | $4 / 65^{\text {man }}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 2 SU | +/-3\% | Reading | +/- | $\mathrm{mV}{ }^{* *}$ | +/-0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | +/-10\% | of Reading |  | . $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | N |

6012049
Deborah Hannum
 Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham， Date： $1 \sqrt{2}$ MW－12
12 ft. Well Diameter：2－inch Well No．：
Well Depth：
픔
Meter：Multiparameter With Flow Cell Fanm Hi A
Screened Interval： 2 to 12 ft ．
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation： $2.20^{\circ}$ Pump Intake Depth：

| Well Diameter：2－inch |  |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth： |  |  |  | 9 ft ． |  | Time： 012 |  | $1-15-16$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity$(\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DO} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDS } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Temp． <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pumping } \\ \text { Rate }^{*} \\ (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 3645 | 53 | NA | c， 13 | NA | 1521 | NA | 395 | NA | $0.655^{*}$ | NA | 110.15 | NA | 403 | 2.61 |
| 0954 | 510 | 11．20 | $0.10 \%$ | 8.3 | 14.46 | 42.3 | 246 | 0．949 | 0.05 | $20 \%$ | $16-68$ | 0.50 | 460 | 2.90 |
| 0958 | 5.96 | 12.10 | 8.104 |  | 214 | 20.3 | 240 | 0.06 | 0.052 | i |  | $0 \cdot 34$ | 406 | 296 |
| S00 | 6． $0^{4}$ | 0 | 6103 | 3.601 | 226.6 | 7.1 | 2.92 | 8 じ2 | 0.051 | 0.001 | 163 | 0.31 | 400 | 2.95 |
| 1005 |  | 06 | A－167 | 6 | 227.7 | 6.1 | 2．69 | 0.07 | 0.052 | C゙M | 1－28 | 0.05 | 400 | 2.98 |
| 16.6 | Sor | 0 | 38.10 | 8.29 | 2250 | 1.0 | 3.05 | A． 69 | A05 | 6．cki |  | Q13 | 40 | $3,5^{3} 6$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria |  |  | ＋／－3\％ | Reading | ＋／－2 | mV＊＊ | ＋／－0．2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | ＋／－10\％ | f Reading | ＋／－ | $0.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |

2.6 gallows purated
Deborah Hannum

We answer to you． Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham，PA Date：$/ 1 / 816$

Weather： $\operatorname{Sn} n=170^{6}$ Well No．：MW－3
Well No．：
Well Depth
Well Diameter：$\quad 2$－inch
pH


6012049
Deborah Hannum
Meter：Multiparameter With Flow Cell fanha $/ \% / 15 / 54$
Screened Interval：$\quad 5$ to 25 ft ．
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation：of
Pump Intake Depth：

| Well No．： |  | MW－3 |  |  |  | Screened Interval： |  |  | 5 to 25 ft ． |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Depth： |  | 25 ft ． |  |  |  | Depth To Water Before Pump Installation：\％ol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Diameter： |  | 2－inch |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth： |  |  | 11 ft ． |  | Sample Time： 2 |  | $1 / 15 / 16$ |  |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity$(\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp． <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pumping } \\ & \text { Rate* } \\ & (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Depth To } \\ \text { Water } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 120 | bill | NA | 1／465 | NA | $-117,5$ | NA | 2，23 | NA | .954 | NA | 14153 | NA | 300 | 5029 |
| 1210 |  | $0,0 \%$ | 1196 | 0.051 | －140．6 | $75 \times 5$ | a 200 | 0.24 | 163：${ }^{6}$ | 024 | 14673 | $\bigcirc \times 20$ | 362 | ses |
| 12.6 | 6.20 | 001 | 2.40 | 0.039 | $-219.8$ | 2 \％ 2 | 768 | 9． 16 | 1.603 | 0．02． | $16 / 4 \times 4$ | （） 3 | 308 | 3.06 |
| 12.26 | $(-2)$ | 0.01 | ＊ 036 | 0.083 | －－ $0^{4}$ | 11.8 | 1.81 | 0.07 | 1，\％46 | 0.143 | 14.58 | a， 6 | 300 | 5.06 |
| 122 | 6.22 | 6建 | 20.5 | d， $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ | － 436 | 308 | 1.6 | 03 | $1 \cdot 6$ \％ | D， 54 | 140 | $\hat{6}$ ¢ | 300 | J） 6 |
| 19， 4,6 | 4 23 | $3 \cdot 1$ | 38120 | d，\％${ }^{2}$ | $\cdots 3762$ | C， | $6{ }^{47} 4$ | （i）$x^{4}$ i | 1，1／1 | 8 CB | 14.6 |  | 500 | 3＊ 5 |
| 43 | \％ | $\theta 0$ | A $\mathrm{A}^{3}$ | 4， 38 | － $57 \% 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $17 \%$ | 为 | 3.126 | Guns | $14 \% 6$ | 4.6 | 506 | 3.12 |
| ＊M0 | 4 420 | U， | 4.276 | （6） 095 |  | （i）${ }^{3}$ | $\cdots 4$ |  | iside | U以12 | $k \times 1 / 6$ |  | 300 | 5.12 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | ＋／－ | 2 SU | ＋／－3\％o | f Reading | ＋／－2 | mV ＊＊ | ＋／－0 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | ＋／－10\％ | of Reading |  | 2． $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |

＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of YSI 556
LOW－FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
data sheet

## Field Personnel：




| Well No．： |  | MW－4 |  |  |  | Screened | terval： |  | 0 to 19 ft ． |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Well Depth |  | 19 ft ． |  |  |  | Depth T | ter Befo | Pump In | lation： | \％ |  |  |  |  |
| Well Diame | ter： | 2－inch |  |  |  | Pump Int | Depth： |  | 10 ft ． |  | Sample Tir | $12 \%$ | $1 \cdots 15$ |  |
|  |  |  | Cond （mS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ctivity } \\ & \mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | OR |  |  |  |  |  | Tem ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Pumping Rate＊ | Depth Yo |
| Time | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | （ $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ） | Water |
| 316 | 0.46 | NA | 1）146 | NA | $\cdots$ | NA | $2.51 / 4$ | NA | ．575 | NA | 1.962 | NA | 320 | S，${ }^{2}$ |
| $13 \%$ | 6.46 | 0.02 | 1．174 | 6， 2 A | －-146 | 23. | 118 | $0^{*}{ }^{3}$ | ．5\％\％ | S 614 | $15 \cdot 1 /$ | 0.17 | 520 | 6.63 |
| 1396 | 450 | 0.028 | 1.74 | 680 | $-454$ | 10：3 | 1，48 | 4.4 | ism | $6.6{ }^{6}$ | 1514 | 0.08 | 320 | 60.8 |
| 330 | 4.5 | Q，0\％ | 1.166 | 0， | $-156.4$ | 6.1 | 1.74 | $0 \cdot 1$ | 156.8 | 0.20 .3 | 15.25 | U， 11 | 320 | $i<1 /$ |
| 1356 | 6，32 | 2，01 | 1.160 | $\mathrm{CBO}_{4}$ | $-1632$ | 6.8 | $1.74{ }^{1}$ |  | ． 686 | Q0t？ | 15，27 | 63.42 | 320 | 6.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | ＋／－0 | ． 2 SU | ＋／－3\％ | Reading | ＋／－20 | mV＊＊ | ＋／－0．2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | ＋／－10\％ | of Reading | ＋／－0． | ． $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | A |

H：\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low－Flow Data Sheet．x｜sx

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter

We answer to you．
site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham，PA Date：lily／i6

Weather：Sinnmy 376
Well No．：MW－9
Well Depth：
Well Diameter：$\quad 2$－inch
20 ft
픈
$\stackrel{\sim}{0}$

| $(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reading | Change |
| $2.45 \%$ | NA |

abr 2
$0 . \mathrm{CL}$
8
3
$\underset{\infty}{8}$
0

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



3
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation： 3.94
Pump Intake Depth： 10 ft ．

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity$(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOS } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Temp． $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |  | Depth To Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 1180 | 6.56 | NA | 2，451 | NA | $-11.3$ | NA | 200 | NA | 1．226 | NA | －3，＊？＇ 7 | NA | 4 H | 4.91 |
| 115 | $6{ }^{48}$ | 022 | 2458 | 0.602 | $-7.2$ | 4 | $0 \cdot 0$ | 0 | 1．226 | 0 | 13．96 | c． 3 | 4010 | 4.21 |
| 1200 | 6 | 0 | 2.454 | $0^{\prime \prime} \times 1$ | －5．3 | －i． 1 | c 3 | 0.31 | 1.227 | 01 | 13.9 | 0.05 | beto | 4.25 |
| 1265 | 6.54 | 0 | 2． 483 | 0.00 | －20．1 | 11.8 | 6.22 | 0.69 | 1．277 | $\square$ | 多，50 | 0 为安 | 400 | $43 \%$ |
| 1210 | 6.52 |  | 2.463 | 0.610 | －2！ 2 － | 1．3 | b－2 | $00^{2}$ | 1．23） | 0.206 | $1{ }^{4} 60$ | 6.15 | 4003 | \％．79 |
| 12\％ | 6.5 | C．0＇： | $2-163$ | 0 | －19．7 | 1．5 | 0.22 | 0.04 | －231 | 20062 | 17.96 | $0.2 \%$ | ¢も？ | 4.96 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | $+/-0.2 \mathrm{SU}$ |  | ＋／－3\％of Reading |  | ＋／－20 mV＊＊ |  | ＋／－0．2 mg／L＊＊ |  | ＋／－10\％of Reading |  | $+1-0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |  |

＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter

We answer to you． Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham，PA Date：$\sqrt{j} / i / 6$

Well No．：MW－11
Well Depth：$\quad 12.5 \mathrm{ft}$ ．
Well Diameter：
2－inch

| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity$(\mathrm{mS} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDS } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Temp． <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | Pumpingfr Rate＊ （ $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$ ） | Depth To Water |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 110 | 3.96 | NA | 0.477 | NA | $20 \%$ | NA | 3.20 | NA | 0235 | NA | 1635 | NA | 300 | O． $0^{1}$ |
| 1115 | 3.94 | 0.62 | 0.509 | 0.026 | $205 \% 7$ | 6－4\％1． | 2．52． | 17.68 | 0.356 | 0.018 | 11.59 | 0.24 | 300 | 0.62 |
| 1120 | 5．24 | 0 | 0.324 | 0.024 | $20 \% .3$ | 0.6 | 2.69 | 0.17 | 0.262 | 0.006 | 11.45 | 0.11 | 700 | 0.62 |
| 1125 | 5.84 | 0 | 0.525 | 0.064 | 201.6 | 7.7 | 2.73 | 0.04 | 0.264 | 0.002 | ／i．6i | 10.13 | 300 | 2．62 |
| 1230 | 5.44 | 0 | 0.535 | 0.007 | 193.8 | 8.1 | 2.72 | 0.01 | 0.267 | 0.007 | 11.67 | 0.03 | 300 | 0.2 |
| 1138 | 5.95 | 00 ！ | 0.525 | 0.007 | 185．4 | 7.6 | 2－76 | 0.02 | 0266 | 0.021 | 11.63 | 0 | 300 | 0.62 |
| 1140 | 645 | 0 | 0.326 | 02002 | 17.5 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 0.10 | 6.262 | $0.06 \%$ | 11.64 | 0.01 | 300 | 0.62 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria | ＋／－0．2 SU |  | ＋／－3\％of Reading |  | ＋／－20 mV＊＊ |  | $+/-0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ |  | ＋／－10\％of Reading |  | $+/-0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |  |

＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter
We answer to you．
Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingham，PA Date：${ }^{\prime} / 4-i$
Weather： $5_{w_{2}}$ に为 36
Well No．：MW－10
Well Depth：$\quad 20 \mathrm{ft}$ ．
Well Diameter：

| Well Diameter：2－inch |  |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth： |  |  |  | 10 ft ． |  | Sample Time： |  | 1／14／16 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity$(\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TDS } \\ & (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp． <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | Pumping$\begin{gathered} \text { Rate* }^{(\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min})} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 0105 | 561 | NA | 2.202 | NA | 110.7 | NA | 0.00 | NA | 110 | NA | 16.05 | NA | 360 | Y．25 |
| 1） 16 | 5． 5 | 0.06 | 2.241 | 0.03 | 108.9 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0 | \％12．${ }^{2}$ | 0.020 | 16， 13 | 0.08 | $243^{3}$ | 4.31 |
| 115 | 5.87 | 0 | 2.24 | 0.008 | 111.1 | 2.2 | 12．00 | 0 | 1．18\％ | 0.001 | 16．2． | 0.09 | 74 | 4.35 |
| 120 | 3．66 | 0.01 | 2.237 | 0.004 | 11302 | 2.1 | 0.00 | 0 | 1.121 | 0.001 | $1 \% .26$ | 0.04 | 340 | 4 |
| 125 | 56 | 0 | 2.247 | 0.010 | $1 / 38$ | 6.6 | 0.60 | 6 | $\cdots 21$ | 0 | （6．3） | 0.07 | 340 | 44 |
| 136 | 5.68 | 0.02 | 4.236 | 0.011 | 112 | 1.0 | 0 O | 0 | 1.117 | 0.6004 | 1699 | 0.20 | 342 | 4.41 |
| 135 | 56 | 8.81 | 2－242． | 0.004 | $1 / 22$ | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0 | 1.109 | 0.0685 | 16.47 | 0.06 | 36 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ＋／－0． | 2 SU | ＋／－3\％ | Reading | ＋／－2 | mV ＊＊ | ＋／－0．2 | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | ＋／－10\％ | of Reading |  | ． $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | A |


6012049
Deborah H
H：\Projects\10172\101722001\GS\Site Characterization\Low－Flow Data Sheet．xlsx
＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
2． 7 gallonsf farged
Deborah Hannum
＊＊Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter


* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter
LOW－FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATA SHEET
Field Personnel： 0 ）
Pump：Peristaltic Pump forget：m AGt $x$
Meter：Multiparameter With Flow Cell jeferasad
Screened interval：$\quad 5$ to 25 ft ．

| Well No．： <br> Well Depth： <br> Well Diameter： |  | MW－3 |  |  |  | Screened interval： |  |  | 5 to 25 ft ． |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 25 ft |  |  |  | Depth ro Water Before Pump installation：\％S y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 －inch |  |  |  | Pump intake Depth： |  |  | 11 ft |  | Sample Time：${ }^{\text {a }}$／$/ 6$ \％ |  |  |  |
| Time | $\rho \mathrm{H}$ <br> （SU） |  | Conductivity $(\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} D O \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{TOS} \\ & (\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ |  | Temp． <br> $\left.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pumping } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 167 | 4 | NA | 1． $0_{0}$ | NA | $-72.6$ | NA | 0.827 | NA | 0.778 | NA | ［20．6） | NA | Lfor | 2，76 |
| $11 \mid 6$ | 6,23 | （2） 04 | 15 | 13．637 | wor ${ }^{2}$ | 9.7 | d $b^{2}$ | D） $0^{3} 4$ | 35.59 | （3） 3 | 12.5 | 1.68 | 420 | \％ 2,5 |
| 145 | 6－2 2 | $4^{4}$ | $6 x^{2} 3$ | 84 | 420 | －1 | 20， $0^{2}$ | Pret | （2）$\square^{4}$ | \％$x^{3}+$ | 12.8 |  | 46 | －76 |
|  | \％ 7 ，${ }^{2}$ | 8 为 | － 46 | d $\cos ^{2}$ | －${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 68 | \％ $6_{6}$ | …t． | 326 | $f^{*} t^{2}$ | 2－${ }^{\text {by }}$ | ＂ 2 | 4089 | 3，7en |
| 128 | 6.27 |  | （－4，${ }^{3}$ |  | － 1080 | 3.7 | $6]^{4}$ | $\theta 2$ | $78+5$ | 0.010 | 42 | 12 | Y2\％ | 为 7 \％ |
| 1190 | 6） 68 | 0.01 | $\cdots$ |  | $-1.3$ | $2-1$ | 0.1 | 0 | 6.88 | 0.04 | 1298 | 18.3 | 184 | \％\％ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria |  | 2 SU | ＋1／3\％ | f Reading | $+1-2$ | $\mathrm{V}^{* *}$ | ＋／－0．2 | $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | ＋／－10\％ | Reading |  | $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | A |


6040798
Deborah Hannum
＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of YSI 556
Site：Herr Foods，Inc．，Nottingharm，PA Date：

Weather： 8, sim 51 Well No．：MW－3

Well Depth：
Well Diamet
Time
167



| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Stabilization } \\ \text { Criteria }\end{array}$ |
| :---: |


| $\begin{array}{c}\begin{array}{c}\text { Criteria } \\ \text { Crin }\end{array} \\ \text {＊Not to exceed } 500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}\end{array}$＋ 0.2 SU |
| :--- |

6047798
Deborah Hannum

LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATASHEET

Pump: Peristaltic Pump Pivactive Atases

0 to 19 ft .


Screened Interval:
Depth To Water B
Pump intake Depth
tallation: 3,0 多
10 ft .
20


We answer to you.
Site: Herr Foods, Inc., Nottingham, PA Date: $\int / / / 6$ Weather: Nosin

Well No.: $\quad$ MW-4
Well Depth:
19 ft.
2 -inch

| Well Diameter: 2-inch |  |  |  |  | Pump Intake Depth: |  |  |  | mple fime. (t \% e e ex |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity$(\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm})$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DO} \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDS } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |  | Temp. $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pumping } \\ \text { Rate } \\ (\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}) \end{gathered}$ | Depth To Water |
| Time | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| ${ }_{1} 150$ | 7 m | NA | 6.684 | NA | $\cdots 6.7$ | NA | 1. 06 | NA | $0 \cdot 6$ dut | NA | 13.56 | NA | 492 | \%, 6 |
| b\% | $\cdots \mathrm{m}$ | $\theta, \theta^{\prime}$ | i4.78 | 0.427 | $\cdots$ | 1.2 | D 4 |  |  | 0.010 | 18 | U, $0^{3}$ | Wer | 4.35 |
| 0 | \%6\% | Sose | 0 \% | $0 \cdot 4{ }^{2}$ | - $\mathrm{T}_{2}^{2}$ | $x^{2}$ | 49 4 | 2 | (2) $0^{2}+8$ | \%) 420 | $42,5 c)$ | ctay | 4if? | 4. $L^{2}$ c |
| ats | $0{ }^{4}$ | - ${ }^{2}$ | metert | 4 s | entrata | 20 | $\mathrm{T}^{4 a^{4}}$ | 6 | St, 0 |  |  | $\cdots \mathrm{N}$ | $6 r^{4} 6$ | \% $0^{104}$ |
| 210 | $6-4$ | $0.7{ }^{2}$ | $3{ }^{2}$ | H. 06 | \% 6 | $2 C$ | Pra | 6 |  | 18.102 | 5 | 0,23 | 436 | +2 ${ }^{2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | f |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stabilization Criteria |  | $2.5 \cup$ | +/.3\% | Reading | +/-20 | $\mathrm{V} \mathrm{V}^{* *}$ | $+/ .0$ | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | +/-10\% | Reading |  | $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |

* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of YSI 556
pabend symbaz
 6040798
Deborah Ha

Deborah Hannum

## LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING <br> data sheet

Field Personnel: Donoman Corme / (STL)
Pump: Peristaltic Pump Proctive Alexts
Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell Honna $H$ I $981 c_{1}^{4}$
Screened Interval: $\quad 7$ to 27 ft.
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation:
$i$.
Pump intake Depth: 10 ft .


[^32]LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
data sheet
$\square$
 Well No.: MW-7 Well Depth: Well Diameter:
2-inch Pump Intake Depth:
Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell Kkonsh HT 95154
Screened Interval: $\quad 3$ to 20 ft o. . .
 8 ft .
sioftrys fiend



* Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter
LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING
DATA SHEET

Screened Interval: $\quad 3$ to 20 ft .
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: 2.05 Pump intake Depth:
1545194


[^33]$$
\lim ^{2}
$$
6040798
Deborah Hannum

## LOW-FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING <br> DATA SHEET

Field Personnel: Q aw aron Cowell (5M)
Pump: Peristaltic Pump Pro cosine Adams

Meter: Multiparameter With Flow Cell Hammotion;o4 \begin{tabular}{lc}
Screened Interval: \& 3 to 20 ft <br>
Depth To Water Before Pump installation: 3.6 z <br>
Pump Intake Depth: \& 10 ft. <br>
\hline

 

Screened Interval: \& 3 to 20 ft <br>
Depth To Water Before Pump installation: 3.6 z <br>
Pump Intake Depth: \& 10 ft. <br>
\hline

 

Screened Interval: \& 3 to 20 ft <br>
Depth To Water Before Pump Installation: 3.6 b <br>
Pump Intake Depth: \& 10 ft. <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Pon-ogne Stan ted: 1110 ( Temp. $\quad$ Pumping $\square$
$\stackrel{5}{5}$
NA

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline 1425 & \text { NA } & 402 & 3.32 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

064

$+$










$$
\text { Oranductivity ORP } \mid \text { no }
$$



$$
501
$$

$$
\frac{65}{64}
$$

$$
+
$$

$$
1
$$

- 




$$
\begin{array}{l|l}
\hline & \\
\Sigma & 0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c}
\hline \frac{0}{0} & \\
\frac{\sum_{0}}{n} & \mathbb{Z} \\
\frac{c}{2} &
\end{array}
$$

$$
0
$$



$$
\text { OO } \quad d y 0
$$



[^34]\[

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|}
\hline \text { ORT } & \text { DO } \\
(\mathrm{mV}) & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$
\]





Well No．：MW－12
Well Depth：$\quad 12 \mathrm{ft}$ ．
Well Diameter：$\quad 2$－inch
＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter
 6040798
Deborah Hannum

## LOW－FLOW PURGUING AND SAMPLING

## dATA SHEET

 Pump：Peristaltic Pump Prosactive Meter：Multiparameter With Flow Cell
95194

| Well No．： <br> Well Depth： <br> Well Diameter： |  |  |  |  |  | Screened interval：$2 \text { to } 12 \mathrm{ft} .$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2 －inch |  |  |  | Pump intake Depth： |  |  | 9 ft ． |  | Sample Time： 41616 104 |  |  |  |
| Time | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{pH} \\ (\mathrm{SU}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Conductivity （ $\mathrm{ms} / \mathrm{cm}$ ） |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORP } \\ & (\mathrm{mV}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { DO } \\ (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TDS } \\ (\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Temp． <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pumping } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \text { (mi/min) } \end{aligned}$ | Depth To Water |
|  | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change | Reading | Change |  |  |
| 1035 | 4.94 | NA | 0.253 | NA | 262．！ | NA | 4.45 | NA | 0.142 | NA | 10.00 | NA | $460^{\circ}$ | 4.6 |
| 1030 | 4.95 | 0.01 | 5258 | O．D 1 | 276.5 | 14.4 | 4.44 | 001 | 0.14 | 0.001 | 180 | 0.07 | $40^{\circ}$ | 4.20 |
| 483 | 4， 9 | 6： 0 | Q2ut | 0.001 |  | 29 | －797 | $6 \%$ | \％4 | \％ | 13.45 | 20.4 | $12+3$ | 4.28 |
| － | － 9 | G6， | 0842 | － 5 | 2 ta | 64 | $\underline{4}$ | 人4 $x^{2}$ | ＋+2 | 16.088 | Stit | UR\％ | ＋4， | ${ }^{2} 24$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Criteria <br> Stabilization | ＋／－0 | ． 2 S | ＋／－3\％o | of Reading | ＋／20 | $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{V}^{* *}$ | ＋／－0．2 | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}^{* *}$ | ＋／－10\％ | f Reading | ＋／－0 | ． $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | NA |

＊Not to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
＊＊Resolution accuracy of multiparameter meter

* Nor to exceed $500 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$
** Resolution accuracy of YSI 556


## APPENDIX G

Groundwater Sample Laboratory Analytical Reports

ANALYTICAL RESULTS
Prepared by: Prepared for:
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

## Rettew Associates

3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011
March 16, 2015
Project: Herr Foods, Inc.
Submittal Date: 03/09/2015
Group Number: 1543676
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

| Client Sample Description |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| MW-1 Grab Groundwater | 7796532 |
| MW-2 Grab Groundwater | 7796533 |
| MW-5 Grab Groundwater | 7796534 |
| MW-4 Grab Groundwater | 7796535 |
| MW-3 Grab Groundwater | 7796536 |
| Supply Well Grab Potable Water | 7796537 |
| Trip Blank Water | 7796538 |

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratories-environmental/resources/certifications/.

Lancaster Laboratories Environmental

## Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


Project Name: Herr Foods, Inc.

| Collected: $03 / 09 / 201510: 55$ | by EGD | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $03 / 09 / 201517: 05$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $03 / 16 / 201516: 12$

HERR1

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N.D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $Q C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 16:30 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 16:30 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


Project Name: Herr Foods, Inc.

| Collected: $03 / 09 / 201512: 00$ | by EGD | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $03 / 09 / 201517: 05$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $03 / 16 / 201516: 12$

HERR2

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N.D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $Q C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 16:53 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 16:53 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sample Description: MW-5 Grab Groundwater | LL Sample \# wh |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Herr Foods, Inc. | LI G6534 |
|  |  | Group |
|  |  | \# |
|  |  | Account |

Project Name: Herr Foods, Inc.

| Collected: $03 / 09 / 201513: 05$ | Ry EGD | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $03 / 09 / 201517: 05$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $03 / 16 / 201516: 12$

HERR5

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 1,100 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 740 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 25 J | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | 15 J | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 100 | 20 | 20 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 1,900 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 280 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 68 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 1,600 | 10 | 20 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| cat | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 17:16 | Daniel H Heller | 20 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 17:16 | Daniel H Heller | 20 |



Project Name: Herr Foods, Inc.

| Collected: $03 / 09 / 201514: 05$ | Rettew Associates |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $03 / 09 / 201517: 05$ |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $03 / 16 / 201516: 12$

HERR4

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 580 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 2,500 | 50 | 100 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 63 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 310 | 10 | 10 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 7,300 | 50 | 100 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 1,400 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 400 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 9,900 | 50 | 100 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 17:39 | Daniel H Heller | 10 |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 18:02 | Daniel H Heller | 100 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 17:39 | Daniel H Heller | 10 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 2 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 18:02 | Daniel H Heller | 100 |



Project Name: Herr Foods, Inc.

| Collected: $03 / 09 / 201514: 45$ | by EGD | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $03 / 09 / 201517: 05$ |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: $03 / 16 / 201516: 12$

HERR3

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result |  | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l |  | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 180 |  | 25 | 50 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 1,100 |  | 25 | 50 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 33 | J | 25 | 50 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. |  | 25 | 50 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 140 | J | 50 | 50 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 14,000 |  | 250 | 500 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 670 |  | 25 | 50 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 180 |  | 25 | 50 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 6,500 |  | 25 | 50 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All OC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.



Project Name: Herr Foods, Inc.

| Collected: $03 / 09 / 201515: 30$ | by EGD | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $03 / 09 / 201517: 05$ |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |  |

Reported: $03 / 16 / 201516: 12$

HERRS

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N.D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall OC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 19:11 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 19:11 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

## 2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com



Project Name: Herr Foods, Inc.

| Collected: 03/09/2015 | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $03 / 09 / 201517: 05$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |

Reported: $03 / 16 / 201516: 12$

HERRT

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $Q C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control sumnary for overall oC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst |  | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |  |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 12:40 | Daniel | Heller | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030 B | 1 | D150701AA | 03/11/2015 | 12:40 | Daniel H | Heller | 1 |

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1543676
Reported: 03/16/2015 16:12

Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

All Inorganic Initial Calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

## Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

## Analysis Name

Batch number: D150701AA

| Blank | Blank MDL | Report | LCS <br> orec | LCSD <br> $\%$ REC | LCS/LCSD | RPD | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample number (S) : 7796532-7796538 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| S. D . | (S): | ug/l | 88 |  | 78-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 90 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 92 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 89 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/l | 85 |  | 59-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 90 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 88 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 91 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 92 |  | 80-120 |  |  |

## Benzene

Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { N.D. } & 0.5 & \mathrm{ug} / 1 & 91 \\ \text { N.D. } & 0.5 & \mathrm{ug} / 1 & 92\end{array}$
80-120

Sample Matrix Quality Control
Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike
Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

|  | MS | MSD | MS/MSD |  | RPD | BKG | DUP | DUP | Dup RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | \% REC | \%REC | Limits | RPD | MAX | Conc | Conc | RPD | Max |
| Batch number: D150701AA | Samp | number | 779653 | 7796 | UNS | : P79 |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 102 | 102 | 72-134 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 104 | 102 | 71-134 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Isopropylbenzene | 106 | 105 | 75-128 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 99 | 97 | 72-126 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 99 | 93 | 52-125 | 6 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 102 | 101 | 80-125 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 103 | 101 | 72-130 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 107 | 103 | 65-132 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Xylene (Total) | 104 | 103 | 79-125 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |

## Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Analysis Name: PA UST Unleaded + TMBs
Batch number: D150701AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7796532 | 103 | 101 | 98 | 97 |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates Group Number: 1543676
Reported: 03/16/2015 16:12

| 7796533 | 102 | 100 | 100 | 98 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7796534 | 101 | 97 | 98 | 100 |
| 7796535 | 101 | 99 | 98 | 100 |
| 7796536 | 100 | 101 | 98 | 101 |
| 7796537 | 102 | 102 | 99 | 99 |
| 7796538 | 101 | 101 | 100 | 98 |
| Blank | 102 | 100 | 99 | 99 |
| LCS | 103 | 100 | 100 | 102 |
| MS | 101 | 102 | 99 | 99 |
| MSD | 101 | $77-113$ | 100 | 101 |
| LimitS: | $80-116$ |  | $80-113$ | $78-113$ |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.
,
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Client: Rettew Assoc. Inc.

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: | 03/09/2015 17:05 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |
| State/Province of Origin: | PA |  |  |  |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | No | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ : | Yes |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | VOA IDs ( $\geq 6 m m)$ : | See Below |
| Samples Intact: | No | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 1 |
| Missing Samples: | No | Trip Blank Type: | HCl |
| Extra Samples: | No | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

VOA Vial IDs (Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ): 1 Trip Blank Vial
Unpacked by Patrick Engle (3472) at 17:13 on 03/09/2015

| Samples Chilled Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thermometer Types: |  | DT = Digital (Temp. Bottle) |  |  | Infrared (Su | ce Temp) | All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| Cooler\# | Thermometer ID | Corrected Temp | Therm. Type | - Ice Type | Ice Present? | Ice Container | Elevated Temp? |
| 1 | DT121 | 2.2 | DT | Wet | $Y$ | Bagged | N |
|  | Samples Not Intact Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sample ID on Label | Bottle Code |  | Bottle Quantity Container Salvageable? |  |  | Comments |
|  | Trip Blank | 40 ml glass vial $\underset{\mathrm{HCl}}{(G C / M S)}$ - |  | 1 | N | Received 1 trip blank broken in cooler. |  |

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

| RL | Reporting Limit | BMQL | Below Minimum Quantitation Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.D. | none detected | MPN | Most Probable Number |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count | CP Units | cobalt-chloroplatinate units |
| IU | International Units | NTU | nephelometric turbidity units |
| umhos/cm | micromhos/cm | ng | nanogram(s) |
| C | degrees Celsius | F | degrees Fahrenheit |
| meq | milliequivalents | lb. | pound(s) |
| g | gram(s) | kg | kilogram(s) |
| $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | microgram(s) | mg | milligram(s) |
| mL | milliliter(s) | L | liter(s) |
| m3 | cubic meter(s) | $\begin{array}{r} \mu \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L} \end{array}$ | microliter(s) picogram/liter |
| $<$ | less than |  |  |
| > | greater than |  |  |
| ppm | parts per million - One pp aqueous liquids, ppm is u very close to a kilogram. | milligram p valent to $m$ ne ppm is | kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or one gram per mil rams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ), because one liter ivalent to one microliter per liter of $g$ |
| ppb | parts per billion |  |  |
| Dry weight basis | Results printed under this concentration to approxim as-received basis. | justed for in a similar | sture content. This increases the an mple without moisture. All other res |

## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and the < Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, ISO17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWLL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

Prepared for:
Rettew Associates
3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011

April 13, 2015
Project: Herr Foods 101722001
Submittal Date: 04/01/2015
Group Number: 1549961
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

| Client Sample Description |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| MW-1 Grab Groundwater | 7830323 |
| MW-2 Grab Groundwater | 7830324 |
| MW-5 Grab Groundwater | 7830325 |
| MW-4 Grab Groundwater | 7830326 |
| MW-3 Grab Groundwater | 7830327 |
| Trip Blank Water | 7830328 |

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratories-environmental/resources/certifications/.

ELECTRONIC Rettew Associates Attn: Ed Dziedzic COPY TO

Lancaster Laboratories Environmental

## Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmenta <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All OC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 14:38 | Anita M Dale | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 14:38 | Anita M Dale | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sample Description: MW-2 Grab Groundwater | LL Sample \# WW | W830324 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Herr Foods 101722001 | LL Group |


| Project Name: Herr Foods 101722001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collected: $04 / 01 / 201509: 48$ | by EGD |
| Submitted: $04 / 01 / 201516: 36$ |  |

Reported: 04/13/2015 17:44

HER02

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N.D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 15:02 | Anita M Dale | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 15:02 | Anita M Dale | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sample Description: MW-5 Grab Groundwater <br> Herr Foods 101722001 |  |  |  | LL <br> LL <br> Ac | \# WW 7830325 <br> \# 1549961 <br> \# 00721 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: Herr Foods 101722001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collected: 04/01/2015 11:03 by EGD |  |  | Rettew Associates |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue <br> Lancaster PA 17603-4011 |  |  |
| Submitted: 04/01/2015 16:36 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reported: 04/13/2015 17:44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HER05 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 1,700 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 1,300 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 42 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | 21 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 190 | 20 | 20 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 3,500 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 500 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 130 | 10 | 20 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 3,000 | 10 | 20 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All OC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control sumnary for overall oC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 15:26 | Anita M Dale | 20 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 15:26 | Anita M Dale | 20 |


| Sample Description: MW- 4 Grab Groundwater | LL Sample \# wW 7830326 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Herr Foods 101722001 | LL Group |
|  |  | \# |
|  |  | Account |
|  | \# 00721 |  |


| Project Name: Herr Foods 101722001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collected: $04 / 01 / 201512: 02 \quad$ by EGD | Rettew Associates |
| Submitted: $04 / 01 / 201516: 36$ |  |

Reported: 04/13/2015 17:44
HER04

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 1,000 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 2,200 | 50 | 100 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 67 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 310 | 10 | 10 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 9,000 | 50 | 100 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 1,500 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 440 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 9,200 | 50 | 100 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |  |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 15:50 | Anita M Dale | 10 |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 16:14 | Anita M Dale | 100 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 15:50 | Anita M Dale | 10 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030B | 2 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 16:14 | Anita M Dale | 100 |


| Sample Description: MW-3 Grab Groundwater <br> Herr Foods 101722001 |  |  |  | LL <br> LL <br> Ac | ```# WW 7830327 # 1549961 # 00721``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: Herr Foods 101722001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collected: 04/01/2015 13:29 by EGD |  |  | Rettew Associates |  |  |
|  |  |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |  |
| Submitted: 04/01/2015 16:36 |  |  | Lancaster PA 17603-4011 |  |  |
| Reported: 04/13/2015 17:44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HER03 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAT <br> No. | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 270 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 1,600 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 49 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 240 | 10 | 10 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 17,000 | 50 | 100 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 1,100 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 310 | 5 | 10 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 9,000 | 50 | 100 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| cat | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 16:38 | Anita M Dale | 10 |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 17:02 | Anita M Dale | 100 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 16:38 | Anita M Dale | 10 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 2 | Z151001AA | 04/10/2015 | 17:02 | Anita M Dale | 100 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sample Description: Trip Blank Water | LL Sample \# wh | W830328 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Herr Foods 101722001 | LL Group | \# |
|  |  | Account | \# 00721 |


| Project Name: Herr Foods 101722001 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collected: $04 / 01 / 2015$ | Rettew Associates |
| Submitted: $04 / 01 / 201516: 36$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |

HERTB

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { NO. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N.D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All $Q C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control sumnary for overall oC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst |  | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | Z151002AA | 04/10/2015 | 12:26 | Anita M | Dale | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | Z151002AA | 04/10/2015 | 12:26 | Anita M | Dale | 1 |

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1549961
Reported: 04/13/2015 17:44

Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

All Inorganic Initial Calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

## Analysis Name

Batch number: Z151001AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene Xylene (Total)

| Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | MDL | Units | 용REC | \% $\%$ REC | Limits | RPD | Max |
| Sample number (S) : 7830323-7830327 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 95 |  | 78-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 95 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 97 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 88 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/l | 93 |  | 59-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 97 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 95 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 96 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 98 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Sample number(s) : 7830328 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 97 |  | 78-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 99 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 102 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/1 | 93 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 1. | ug/l | 94 |  | 59-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 102 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 100 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 102 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| N. D. | 0.5 | ug/l | 102 |  | 80-120 |  |  |

Sample Matrix Quality Control
Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike
Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

| Analysis Name | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS/MSD } \\ & \text { Limits } \end{aligned}$ | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { MAX } \end{aligned}$ | BKG Conc | DUP Conc | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DUP } \\ & \text { RPD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dup RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: z 151001 AA | Samp | number | 78303 | 7830 | 7 UNS | : P82 |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 105 | 105 | 72-134 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 106 | 108 | 71-134 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Isopropylbenzene | 112 | 111 | 75-128 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 91 | 93 | 72-126 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 99 | 100 | 52-125 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 107 | 109 | 80-125 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 107 | 107 | 72-130 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 109 | 111 | 65-132 | 2 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Xylene (Total) | 109 | 111 | 79-125 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: z151002AA | Samp | number | 783032 | UNSP | P83 |  |  |  |  |

## *- Outside of specification

(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1549961
Reported: 04/13/2015 17:44

## Sample Matrix Quality Control

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

|  | MS | MSD | MS/MSD |  | RPD | BKG | DUP | DUP | Dup RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | \%REC | \% REC | Limits | RPD | MAX | Conc | Conc | RPD | Max |
| Benzene | 101 | 93 | 72-134 | 7 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 108 | 108 | 71-134 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Isopropylbenzene | 112 | 112 | 75-128 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 97 | 97 | 72-126 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 99 | 101 | 52-125 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 112 | 111 | 80-125 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 109 | 113 | 72-130 | 4 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 111 | 113 | 65-132 | 1 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Xylene (Total) | 113 | 113 | 79-125 | 0 | 30 |  |  |  |  |

## Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Analysis Name: PA UST Unleaded + TMBs
Batch number: Z151001AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7830323 | 102 | 99 | 98 | 95 |
| 7830324 | 102 | 100 | 99 | 95 |
| 7830325 | 100 | 98 | 97 | 95 |
| 7830326 | 102 | 99 | 97 | 94 |
| 7830327 | 100 | 98 | 99 | 95 |
| Blank | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 |
| LCS | 99 | 100 | 99 | 98 |
| MS | 100 | 100 | 99 | 97 |
| MSD | 100 | 101 | $80-113$ | $78-113$ |

Analysis Name: PA UST Unleaded + TMBs
Batch number: Z151002AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7830328 | 101 | 98 | 98 | 94 |
| Blank | 101 | 99 | 99 | 95 |
| LCS | 100 | 100 | 99 | 97 |
| MS | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 |
| MSD | 99 | 101 | 98 | 96 |
| LimitS: | $80-116$ | $77-113$ | $80-113$ | $78-113$ |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.
For Lab Use Only
SC:
 Preservation Codes $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{HCl} & \mathrm{T}=\text { Thiosulfate } \\ \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{HNO}_{3} & \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{NaOH}\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{HNO}_{3} & \mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{NaOH} \\ \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} & \mathrm{O}=\text { Other }\end{array}$


Client: Rettew Associates

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: |  | $\underline{04 / 01 / 2015.16: 36}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |  |
| State/Province of Origin: | PA |  |  |  |  |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | No | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | No |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 2 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Trip Blank Type: | HCl |
| Missing Samples: | No | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Patrick Engle (3472) at 16:41 on 04/01/2015

| Samples Chilled Details |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thermometer Types: |  | DT = Digital (Temp. Bottle) |  | $I R=$ Infrared (Surface Temp) |  |  | All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| Cooler \# | Thermometer ID | Corrected Temp | Therm. Type | Ice Type | Ice Present? | Ice Container | Elevated Temp? |
| 1 | DT121 | 3.8 | DT | Wet | $Y$ | Bagged | N |

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

| RL | Reporting Limit | BMQL | Below Minimum Quantitation Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.D. | none detected | MPN | Most Probable Number |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count | CP Units | cobalt-chloroplatinate units |
| IU | International Units | NTU | nephelometric turbidity units |
| umhos/cm | micromhos/cm | ng | nanogram(s) |
| C | degrees Celsius | F | degrees Fahrenheit |
| meq | milliequivalents | lb. | pound(s) |
| g | gram(s) | kg | kilogram(s) |
| $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | microgram(s) | mg | milligram(s) |
| mL | milliliter(s) | L | liter(s) |
| m3 | cubic meter(s) | $\begin{array}{r} \mu \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L} \end{array}$ | microliter(s) picogram/liter |
| $<$ | less than |  |  |
| > | greater than |  |  |
| ppm | parts per million - One pp aqueous liquids, ppm is u very close to a kilogram. | milligram p valent to $m$ ne ppm is | kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or one gram per mil rams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ), because one liter ivalent to one microliter per liter of $g$ |
| ppb | parts per billion |  |  |
| Dry weight basis | Results printed under this concentration to approxim as-received basis. | justed for in a similar | sture content. This increases the an mple without moisture. All other res |

## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and the < Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, ISO17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWLL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

| Rettew - Lancaster | Project: Herr Foods |
| :--- | ---: |
| 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
| Lancaster, PA 17603 |  |
| Attn: Ed Dziedzic | Regulatory ID: |



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/09/15 | KAL | 07/09/15 | 10:30 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 3.21 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/09/15 | KAL | 07/09/15 | 10:30 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 3.20 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/09/15 | KAL | 07/09/15 | 10:30 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 23.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/09/15 | KAL | 07/09/15 | 10:30 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/09/15 | KAL | 07/09/15 | 10:30 | DRC |


| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| Ethyl Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| Naphthalene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| Toluene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| Xylenes, Total | $<1.0$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 1.0 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 14:59 | JMM |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuits | Units | \%Recovery | Method |  |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 49.8 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.6\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 51.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 103\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.1\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 50.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.9\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |





## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $12: 22$ | DRC |  |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 2.89 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $12: 22$ | DRC |  |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.50 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $12: 22$ | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $12: 22$ | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $12: 22$ | DRC |


| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| Ethyl Benzene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| Isopropylbenzene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| Naphthalene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| Toluene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| Xylenes, Total | $<1.0$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 1.0 | 1 | 07/17/15 | JMM | 07/17/15 17:13 | JMM |
| Surrogate Recoveries | Results |  | Units | \%Recovery | Method |  |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |
|  | Report Generated On: 07/21/2015 $1: 25$ pm <br> STL_Results Revision\#1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Effective: 07/09/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sample Number: 5072359-03 <br> Collector: DRC |  | Site: MW-8 <br> Collect Date: 07/09/2015 12:22 pm |  | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test/ / arameter | Result | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |

Volatiles (Continued)
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded (Continued)

| Surrogate Recoveries (Continued) | Results | Units | \%Recovery | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 49.3 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $98.6 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 50.5 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $101 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 49.4 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $98.8 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 49.4 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $80-120$ |  |



## General Method Monitor Well Sampling

| Sampling Depth ( ft ) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $13: 12$ | DRC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 3.05 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $13: 12$ | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.40 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $13: 12$ | DRC |
| Total Well Depth ( ft ) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $13: 12$ | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $07 / 09 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 09 / 15$ | $13: 12$ | DRC |

## Volatiles

VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene
Ethyl Benzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)
Naphthalene
Toluene


Report Generated On: 07/21/2015 $1: 25$ pm STL_Results Revision\#1.6

5072359
Effective: 07/09/2014


## Data Qualifiers:

All results meet the requirements of STL's TNI (NELAC) Accredited Quality System unless otherwise noted. If your results contain any data qualifiers or comments, you should evaluate useability relative to your needs. Qualified data is generally acceptable for most data needs.

If collectors initials include "STL", samples have been collected in accordance with STL SOP SL0015.
All results reported on an As Received (Wet Weight) basis unless otherwise noted.
This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of STL.
Results are considered Preliminary unless report is signed by authorized representative of STL.

## Reviewed and Released By

Carol Schrenkel
QA Manager


01 50 c ә6ed
TAT (Circle One): Standard $24 \mathrm{hr} / 48 \mathrm{hr} / 72 \mathrm{hr} /$ Other
(Additional charges may apply for rush TAT. If not specified, standard TAT will apply).
${ }_{0}$


## Project Name:

Address:
Phone: ______________
Fax:
Payment / P.O. Info:


Client Name: _
Address:
Contact Name:
Comments:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ee Cod | s Bel |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample Description / Site ID: | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\square}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & \stackrel{N}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Test(s) Requested: | кйueno apog |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{2} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{\#} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | Comments / Field Data: |
|  | M $1 / \mathrm{L}-2$ | $\infty / l_{1}$ | 1030 | baim | Pht $1+5$ Volatres | 3 | $W_{n}$ | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |
|  | M $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $7 \frac{10}{n} 5$ | 1102 | $\sqrt{12}$ | 1 | \% | \% | 1 | $i$ | 1 |  |
|  |  | $\% / 1 / 5$ | \% | 19 Ec | ! | , | , | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
|  |  | - / $1 / \mathrm{l}$ | 138 | Mar | 1 | $\square$ | $\psi$ | * |  | W |  |
|  | Trep | $7 / \operatorname{m}$ | Oby | $4$ |  | z |  | 6 | 13 | S |  |
|  | - - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Relinquished By :

Acceptable: $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$
Acceptable: $2 / \mathrm{N}$

| 1 |
| :---: |
| $\vdots$ |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| E |
| $\stackrel{0}{\bullet}$ |



$$
\begin{array}{|ll|}
\hline \text { Date: } \\
\hline \text { Time: } \\
\hline \text { Date: } & \\
\hline \text { Time: } \\
\hline \text { Date: } & \\
\hline \text { Time: } & 4 / 5 \\
\hline \text { Date: } & 5 / 5 \\
\hline \text { Time: } / 4 / 5 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

g. SLF059 Rev. 1.3 Effective May 16, 2013.

| Relinquished By : | Date: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time: |  |
| Received By: | Date: | Temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :$\qquad$ Acceptable: $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ |
|  | Time: |  |
|  | $\text { Date: } ;$ | $\text { Temp }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \frac{i^{\frac{2}{7}},}{\text { Acceptable: }}$ |
|  | Time: ins |  |
| Received in Lab By:$1+\operatorname{sib} 4 \infty,$ | Date: $7-9-15$ | Temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :$\qquad$ Acceptable AiN |
|  | Time: $14 y$ |  |


| Rettew - Lancaster | Project: Herr Foods |
| :--- | ---: |
| 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
| Lancaster, PA 17603 |  |
| Attn: Ed Dziedzic | Regulatory ID: |



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 8:42 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 2.40 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 8:42 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.40 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 8:42 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 8:42 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 8:42 | DRC |

Volatiles
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene

| Sample Number: 5072398-02 Collector: DRC | Site: MW-6 <br> Collect Date: 07/10/2015 |  | $9: 38 \mathrm{am}$ | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  | Analysis Date |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Test/Parameter | Result | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By |  | By |
| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth ( t ) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 9:38 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 1.90 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 9:38 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 3.35 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 9:38 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (t) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 9:38 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 9:38 | DRC |
| Report Generated On: 07/20/2015 4:51 pm |  |  | 5072398 |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $10: 22$ | DRC |  |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 3.53 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $10: 22$ | DRC |  |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.70 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $10: 22$ | DRC |  |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 27.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $10: 22$ | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $10: 22$ | DRC |

Volatiles
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene

| Sample Number: 5072398-03 <br> Collector: DRC |  | Site: MW-5 <br> Collect Date: 07/10/2015 10:22 am |  | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test/ / arameter | Result | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |

Volatiles (Continued)
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded (Continued)

| Surrogate Recoveries (Continued) | Results | Units | \%Recovery | Method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 46.2 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $92.3 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 50.0 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $99.9 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 43.3 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $86.6 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 44.0 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $80-120$ |  |



| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth ( t ) | 8.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 4.45 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 3.10 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (t) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 07/10/15 | KAL | 07/10/15 | 11:12 | DRC |




Report Generated On: 07/20/2015 4:51 pm STL_Results Revision\#1.6

5072398
Effective: 07/09/2014



## General Method <br> Monitor Well Sampling

| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 4.31 |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 |
| Total Well Depth ( ft$)$ | 19.0 |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 |


| N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :--- |
| N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A |


| 1 | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $12: 48$ | DRC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $12: 48$ | DRC |
| 1 | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $12: 48$ | DRC |
| 1 | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $12: 48$ | DRC |
| 1 | $07 / 10 / 15$ | KAL | $07 / 10 / 15$ | $12: 48$ | DRC |

## Volatiles

| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 237 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 07/15/15 | JMM | 07/15/15 | 16:02 | JMM |
| Ethyl Benzene | 1330 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 07/15/15 | JMM | 07/15/15 | 16:02 | JMM |
| Isopropylbenzene | 65.8 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/14/15 | JMM | 07/14/15 | 20:38 | JMM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 07/14/15 | JMM | 07/14/15 | 20:38 | JMM |
| Naphthalene | 346 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 07/15/15 | JMM | 07/15/15 | 16:02 | JMM |

Report Generated On: 07/20/2015 $4: 51$ pm STL_Results Revision\#1.6

5072398
Effective: 07/09/2014

| Sample Number: 5072398-06 <br> Collector: DRC | Site: MW-4 Collect Date: |  | 07/10/2015 12:48 pm |  |  | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Test/Parameter | Result |  | Units |  | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| Volatiles (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnteaded (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 2280 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 07/15/15 | JMM | 07/15/15 16:02 | JMM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 1550 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 07/15/15 | JMM | 07/15/15 16:02 | JMM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 406 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 07/15/15 | JMM | 07/15/15 16:02 | JMM |
| Xylenes, Total | 7320 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 846 8260B | 50.0 | 50 | 07/15/15 | JMM | 07/15/15 16:02 | JMM |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Results |  | v | Units | \%Recovery | Method |  |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 37.1 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 74.3\% |  | 8468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 45.0 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 89.9\% |  | 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 40.0 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 80.0\% |  | 346 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 42.7 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 85.5\% |  | 346 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |



## Data Qualifiers:

C1 The CCV for this analyte was below acceptance criteria.
E The concentration exceeds the calibration range and has greater uncertainty.
V The surrogate associated with this sample was not within the established acceptance criteria.

5072398
Effective: 07/09/2014

All results meet the requirements of STL's TNI (NELAC) Accredited Quality System unless otherwise noted. If your results contain any data qualifiers or comments, you should evaluate useability relative to your needs. Qualified data is generally acceptable for most data needs.

If collectors initials include "STL", samples have been collected in accordance with STL SOP SL0015
All results reported on an As Received (Wet Weight) basis unless otherwise noted.
This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of STL
Results are considered Preliminary unless report is signed by authorized representative of STL.

## Reviewed and Released By:

Carol Schrenkel
QA Manager





| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (tt) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 10:22 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 4.79 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 10:22 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.50 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 10:22 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (t) | 27.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 10:22 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 10:22 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| Ethyl Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| Naphthalene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| Toluene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| Xylenes, Total | <1.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 1.0 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:38 | DMP |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Results | Units | \%Recovery |  |  |  | Limits (\%Rec | very) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 50.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 101\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 49.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.2\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.3 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 98.6\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 46.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 93.0\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 | 9:42 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 5.62 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 | 9:42 | DRC |
|  | Report Generated On: 10/16/2015 4:16 pm |  | 5100575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STL_Results Revision \#1.6 |  |  | Effective: 07/09/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Sample Number: 5100575-03 Collector: DRC |  | Site: MW 3 Collect Date: | 10/07/2015 10:18 am |  | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test / Parameter | Result |  | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |

## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 11.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 10:18 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 5.34 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 10:18 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.70 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 10:18 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 25.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 10:18 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 10:18 | DRC |

## Volatiles

| Benzene | 42.7 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 18:19 | DMP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethyl Benzene | 430 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 18:19 | DMP |
| Isopropylbenzene | 21.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 18:19 | DMP |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | < 5.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 18:19 | DMP |

Report Generated On: 10/16/2015 4:16 pm STL_Results Revision \#1. 6

5100575
Effective: 07/09/2014



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 6.16 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 3.30 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 19.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 11:12 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 11:12 | DRC |

Volatiles
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene
Ethyl Benzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)
Naphthalene
Toluene
$1,2,4$-Trimethylbenzene
1760

Report Generated On: 10/16/2015 4:16 pm
STL Results Revision\#1.6

5100575
Effective: 07/09/2014


Volatiles (Continued)


## General Method

Monitor Well Sampling Sampling Depth (ft) Static Water Level (ft) Total Volume Purged (gal)
Total Well Depth (ft)

| N/A | N/A |
| :--- | :--- |
| N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A |
| N/A | N/A |


| 1 | $10 / 07 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 07 / 15$ | $8: 38$ | DRC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $10 / 07 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 07 / 15$ | $8: 38$ | DRC |
| 1 | $10 / 07 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 07 / 15$ | $8: 38$ | DRC |
| 1 | $10 / 07 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 07 / 15$ | $8: 38$ | DRC |
| 1 | $10 / 07 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 07 / 15$ | $8: 38$ | DRC |

## Volatiles

VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene
Ethyl Benzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)
Naphthalene
Toluene



| Sample Number: 5100575-07 <br> Collector: DRC |  | Site: MW 6 <br> Collect Date: | 10/06/2015 | 1:05 pm |  |  | e: Grab |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test/Parameter | Result |  | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |

## General Method

Monitor Well Sampling

| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $13: 08$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 3.42 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $13: 08$ | DRC |  |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $13: 08$ | DRC |  |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $13: 08$ | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $13: 08$ | DRC |


| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| Ethyl Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| Naphthalene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| Toluene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| Xylenes, Total | $<1.0$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 1.0 | 1 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 17:22 | DMP |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuits | Units | \%Recovery |  |  |  | Limits (\%Reco |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 47.8 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 95.6\% |  | 46 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 45.9 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 91.8\% |  | 46 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 98.8\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Report Generated On: 10/16/2015 4:16 pm |  |  | $5100575$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Volatiles (Continued)
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded (Continued)

| Surrogate Recoveries (Continued) | Results | Units | \%Recovery | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 48.2 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 8.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 9:32 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( tt ) | 6.01 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 9:32 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 3.66 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 9:32 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 9:32 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 10/07/15 | KAL | 10/07/15 | 9:32 | DRC |


| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 514 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| Ethyl Benzene | 728 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| Isopropylbenzene | 53.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | < 5.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| Naphthalene | 240 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| Toluene | 741 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 622 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 169 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| Xylenes, Total | 3050 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 10.0 | 10 | 10/12/15 | DMP | 10/12/15 19:17 | DMP |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuits | Units | \%Recovery | Method |  |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 48.1 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 96.1\% | SW 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 46.1 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 92.2\% | SW 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.1\% | SW 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 49.2 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 98.4\% | SW 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |  |



## General Method

Report Generated On: 10/16/2015 4:16 pm
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

5100575
Effective: 07/09/2014



## General Method

Monitor Well Sampling

| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 12:28 | DRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 4.16 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 12:28 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 3.20 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 12:28 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 12:28 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 10/06/15 | KAL | 10/06/15 12:28 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 2.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 14:59 | DMP |
| Ethyl Benzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 14:59 | DMP |

Report Generated On: 10/16/2015 4:16 pm
STL_Results Revision\#1.

5100575
Effective: 07/09/2014



| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $11: 42$ | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 2.60 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $11: 42$ | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | N/A | N/A | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $11: 42$ | DRC |  |
| Total Well Depth (tt) | 2.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $11: 42$ | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $10 / 06 / 15$ | KAL | $10 / 06 / 15$ | $11: 42$ | DRC |

Volatiles
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene
Ethyl Benzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylenes, Total


Volatiles (Continued)
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded (Continued)

| Surrogate Recoveries (Continued) | Results | Units | \%Recovery | Method | Limits (\%Recovery) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 48.8 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $97.6 \%$ | SW 8468260B | $80-120$ |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 46.6 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $93.2 \%$ | SW 846 8260B | $80-120$ |


| Sample Number: <br> Collector: DRC | Site: TRIP BLANK DAY 2 OF SAMPLING <br> Collect Date: $10 / 05 / 20153: 22 ~ p m ~$ | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Department / Test / Parameter | Result | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Volatiles

| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| Ethyl Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| Naphthalene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| Toluene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| Xylenes, Total | $<1.0$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 1.0 | 1 | 10/08/15 | DMP | 10/08/15 12:10 | DMP |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuits | Units | \%Recovery |  |  |  | Limits (\%Reco | ry) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 49.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.2\% |  | 46 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 48.1 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 96.3\% |  | 46 8260B |  | $80-120$ |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.2 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 98.4\% |  | 468260 B |  | $80-120$ |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 46.7 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 93.4\% |  | 46 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |

## Data Qualifiers

D1 The Duplicate for this sample was not within the established acceptance criteria.
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All results meet the requirements of STL's TNI (NELAC) Accredited Quality System unless otherwise noted. If your results contain any data qualifiers or comments, you should evaluate useability relative to your needs.

If collectors initials include "STL", samples have been collected in accordance with STL SOP SL0015.
All results reported on an As Received (Wet Weight) basis unless otherwise noted.
This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of STL.
Results are considered Preliminary unless report is signed by authorized representative of STL.

## Reviewed and Released By:

Deborah Hannum
Project Manager



LZ 10 レレ | 6ed |
| :---: |








| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (tt) | 11.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 12:43 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 4.91 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 12:43 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.80 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 12:43 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (t) | 25.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 12:43 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 12:43 | DRC |

Volatiles
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene
Ethyl Benzene
sopropylbenzene
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylenes, Total


## General Method

Monitor Well Sampling
Sampling Depth (ft)

| 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $01 / 15 / 16$ | BAK | $01 / 15 / 16$ | $13: 39$ | DRC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5.81 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $01 / 15 / 16$ | BAK | $01 / 15 / 16$ | $13: 39$ | DRC |

Report Generated On: 01/25/2016 2:06 pm
STL_Results Revision \#1.6

6012049
Effective: 07/09/2014

| Sample Number: 6012049-04 <br> Collector: DRC | Site: MW 4 Collect Date: |  | 01/15/2016 | 1:39 pm | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  | Analysis Date | By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Test/Parameter | Result |  | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By |  |  |
| General Method (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor Well Sampling (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 1.70 |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 13:39 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (tt) | 19.0 |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 13:39 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 13:39 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260 , USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 1790 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 50.0 | 100 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 12:38 | AMD |
| Ethyl Benzene | 1290 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 50.0 | 100 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 12:38 | AMD |
| Isopropylbenzene | 36.5 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 14:30 | AMD |
| Methyl---butyl ether (MTBE) | < 0.5 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 14:30 | AMD |
| Naphthalene | 129 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 50.0 | 100 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 12:38 | AMD |
| Toluene | 8710 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 50.0 | 100 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 12:38 | AMD |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 725 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 50.0 | 100 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 12:38 | AMD |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 220 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 50.0 | 100 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 12:38 | AMD |
| Xylenes, Total | 5860 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 100 | 100 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 12:38 | AMD |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resut |  | Units | \%Recovery |  |  |  | Limits (\%Reco | very) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 39.9 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 79.8\% |  | 8468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 55.2 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 110\% |  | 3468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 44.5 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 89.0\% |  | 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 45.3 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 90.7\% |  | 8468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 13:05 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 4.60 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 13:05 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.90 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 13:05 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 27.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 13:05 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 13:05 | DRC |

## Volatiles

| Benzene | 187 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethyl Benzene | 94.7 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| Isopropylbenzene | 5.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 4.1 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |

Report Generated On: 01/25/2016 2:06 pm
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

6012049
Effective: 07/09/2014

| Sample Number: 6012049-05 Collector: DRC-STL | Site: MW 5 <br> Collect Date: |  | 01/14/2016 | 1:05 pm | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department/Test/Parameter | Result |  | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| Volatiles (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260 , USTUnleaded (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 21.8 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| Toluene | 6.4 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 56.8 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 17.9 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| Xylenes, Total | 151 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 1.0 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:04 | AMD |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuit |  | Units | \%Recovery |  |  |  | Limits (\%Reco | very) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 51.5 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 103\% |  | 8468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 50.8 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% |  | 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 53.1 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 106\% |  | 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 49.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 98.1\% |  | 846 8260B |  | 80-120 |  |


| Sample Number: 6012049-06 <br> Collector: HMB-STL | Site: TRIP BLANK DAY 1 OF SAMPLING <br> Collect Date: 01/14/2016 12:00 am |  |  | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test/ Parameter | Result | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| Ethyl Benzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| Isopropylbenzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| Naphthalene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| Toluene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| Xylenes, Total | <1.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 1.0 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/22/16 11:15 | AMD |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Results | Units | \%Recovery |  |  |  | Limits (\%Recor | very) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 54.8 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 110\% |  | $468260 B$ |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 52.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 104\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.7 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99.4\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 51.2 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% |  | 468260 B |  | 80-120 |  |



## General Method

Report Generated On: 01/25/2016 2:06 pm
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

6012049
Effective: 07/09/2014



| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 12:18 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 3.94 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 12:18 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 12:18 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 12:18 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 12:18 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260 , USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 5.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:31 | AMD |
| Ethyl Benzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/19/16 | AMD | 01/19/16 21:31 | AMD |

Report Generated On: 01/25/2016 2:06 pm
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

6012049
Effective: 07/09/2014



| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth ( t ) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 11:38 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 4.01 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 11:38 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.70 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 11:38 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (t) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 11:38 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/14/16 | BAK | 01/14/16 | 11:38 | DRC |

Volatiles
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene
Ethyl Benzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE)
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylenes, Total


Volatiles (Continued)
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded (Continued)

| Surrogate Recoveries (Continued) | Results | Units | \%Recovery | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 51.5 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $103 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 50.2 | $\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ | $100 \%$ | SW 8468260 B |


| Sample Number: 6012049-12 | Site: TRIP BLANK DAY 2 OF SAMPLING | Sample ID: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collector: | Collect Date: 01/14/2016 12:00 am | Sample Type: Grab |

## Volatiles




| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth ( t ) | 11.5 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 11:43 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 0.240 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 11:43 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.40 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 |  | DRC |
| Total Well Depth ( ft ) | 12.5 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 11:43 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 | 11:43 | DRC |

Report Generated On: 01/25/2016 2:06 pm
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

6012049
Effective: 07/09/2014



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 9.00 | N/A | N/A | $01 / 15 / 16$ | BAK | $01 / 15 / 16$ | $10: 12$ | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( tt ) | 2.20 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $01 / 15 / 16$ | BAK | $01 / 15 / 16$ | $10: 12$ |
| DRC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $01 / 15 / 16$ | BAK | $01 / 15 / 16$ | $10: 12$ |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 12.0 | NRC |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | $01 / 15 / 16$ | BAK | $01 / 15 / 16$ | $10: 12$ |
| DRC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Volatiles
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded
Benzene

Report Generated On: 01/25/2016 2:06 pm
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## General Method Monitor Well Sampling

| Sampling Depth (ft) | 9.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 10:49 | DRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 3.41 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 10:49 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 1.80 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 10:49 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 12.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 10:49 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 01/15/16 | BAK | 01/15/16 10:49 | DRC |


| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $<0.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| Ethyl Benzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| Isopropylbenzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| Naphthalene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| Toluene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | < 0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| Xylenes, Total | $<1.0$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 1.0 | 1 | 01/20/16 | AMD | 01/20/16 17:21 | AMD |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuits | Units | \%Recovery | Method |  |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 52.9 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 106\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 56.7 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 113\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 98.7\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 48.8 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 97.6\% | SW 846 8260B |  |  | 80-120 |  |

Report Generated On: 01/25/2016 2:06 pm
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

6012049
Effective: 07/09/2014

## Data Qualifiers:

M The Matrix Spike associated with this sample is not within established acceptance criteria, indicating potential matrix interference.
V
The surrogate associated with this sample was not within the established acceptance criteria.

All results meet the requirements of STL's TNI (NELAC) Accredited Quality System unless otherwise noted. If your results contain any data qualifiers or comments, you should evaluate useability relative to your needs.

If collectors initials include "STL", samples have been collected in accordance with STL SOP SL0015.
All results reported on an As Received (Wet Weight) basis unless otherwise noted.
This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of STL
Results are considered Preliminary unless report is signed by authorized representative of STL.

## Reviewed and Released By

Deborah Hannum
Project Manager


ヤて

Field Results



| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { Number }\end{array}$ | Sample Description－Site ID | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Collect } \\ \text { Date／Time }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Sam } \\ \text { In }\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6012049-04$ | MW 4 | $1 / \%$ | $\% \%$ | 0 |

Container Type／Preservation


General Method
Analysis－Method
Monitor Well Sampling－N／A
Volatiles
VOA， 8260,
VOA，8260，USTUnleaded－SW 846 8260B
VOA， 8260 ，USTUnleaded－SW $8468260 B$
Volatiles
General Method
Montiles

| Grab |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Analysis－Method |  |

General Wethod


C

| 40 ml VOA－Cool to $6 \mathrm{C} \&$ Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl | D |
| :--- | :--- |

$40 \mathrm{mi} \mathrm{VOA}-$ Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl
40 ml VOA－Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl
40 ml VOA－Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl
40 ml VOA－Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl

| $6012049-06$ | TRIP BLANK DAY 1 OF SAMPLING |
| :---: | :---: |

Container Type／Preservation
Container Type／Preservation Field Services Field Services

40 ml VOA－Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl
40 ml VOA－Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl
40 ml VOA－Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid $\& \mathrm{HCl}$
8012049－07－MW 6
Container Type／Preservation
Field Services
40 ml VOA－Cool to 6 C \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl
40 ml VOA－Cooltor $6 \subset$ \＆Ascorbic Acid \＆ HCl

| Sampler's Initials | Matrix | Sample Type | Composite Start Date / Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DRC | Non-potable | Grab |  |  |
| Preservation Check |  |  |  | Field Results |
| General Method <br> Monitor Well Sampling - N/A <br> Volatiles <br> VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded - SW 846 8260B |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


#### Abstract

VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded - SW $8468260 B$



Suburban Testing Labs．
1037F MacArthur Road
Reading，PA 19605

Submitted with COC？
Number of containers match number on COC？$/ \mathrm{N}$
All Containers in tact？
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { All Containers in tact？} & / \mathrm{N} \\ \text { Tests within holding times？} \\ 40 \mathrm{~mL} \text { VOA vials free of headspace？} & / \mathrm{N} \\ \end{array}$
Relinquished by：
Received in Lab by：Kare
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| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (tt) | 11.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 | 11:34 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 2.55 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 | 11:34 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 3.20 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 | 11:34 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (tt) | 25.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 | 11:34 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 | 11:34 | DRC |


| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOA, 8260 , USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 160 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 | 21:13 | CEM |
| Ethyl Benzene | 1110 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 | 18:32 | CEM |
| Isopropylbenzene | 42.8 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 | 21:13 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 1.3 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 | 21:13 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | 196 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 | 21:13 | CEM |
| Toluene | 8400 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 | 18:32 | CEM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 197 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 | 21:13 | CEM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 514 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 25.0 | 50 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 | 18:32 | CEM |
| Xylenes, Total | 4750 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 50.0 | 50 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 | 18:32 | CEM |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Results | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 45.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 91\% | 1 | SW 846 8260B |  | 72-136 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 48.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 97\% | 1 | SW 846 8260B |  | 79-135 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 47.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 95\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  |  | 88-112 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 52.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 104\% | 1 | SW 8468260 B |  | 75-117 |  |  |



## General Method



$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Report Generated On: 04/25/2016 11:41 am } & 6040798 \\
\text { STL_Results } & \text { Revision \#1.6 } & \text { Effective: 07/09/2014 }
\end{array}
$$

| Sample Number: 6040798-04 Collector: DRC | Site: MW 4 |  |  | $12: 14 \mathrm{pm}$ | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  | Analysis Date | By |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test / Parameter | Result | Units |  | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By |  |  |
| General Method (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor Well Sampling (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 | N/A |  | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 12:14 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 19.0 | N/A |  | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 12:14 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A |  | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 12:14 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 1170 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/21/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 15:48 | CEM |
| Ethyl Benzene | 2820 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/21/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 15:48 | CEM |
| Isopropylbenzene | 79.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 21:42 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 1.9 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 21:42 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | 313 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/21/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 15:48 | CEM |
| Toluene | 4870 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/21/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 15:48 | CEM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 2180 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/21/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 15:48 | CEM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 1970 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/21/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 15:48 | CEM |
| Xylenes, Total | 9430 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | SW 846 8260B | 20.0 | 20 | 04/21/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 15:48 | CEM |
| Surrogate Recoveries | Results |  |  | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Reco | very) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 35.1 |  | V | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 70\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 72-136 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 48.6 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 97\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 79-135 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 42.3 |  | V | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 85\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 88-112 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 54.7 |  |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 109\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 75-117 |  |


| Sample Number: 6040798-05 | Site: MW 5 |  |  | Sample ID: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Collector: DRC | Collect Date: | $04 / 06 / 2016$ | $2: 04 \mathrm{pm}$ |  | Sample Type: Grab |  |  |
| Department $/$ Test $/$ Parameter | Result | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By |

## General Method

Monitor Well Sampling Sampling Depth (ft) Static Water Level (ft) Total Volume Purged (gal) Total Well Depth (ft) Well Diameter (in)

| 10.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.61 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 2.10 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 27.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 2.00 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |


| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 1614: 04$ | DRC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 1614: 04$ | DRC |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 1614: 04$ | DRC |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 1614: 04$ | DRC |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 1614: 04$ | DRC |

## Volatiles

| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 594 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/13/16 23:58 | RCS3 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 304 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/13/16 23:58 | RCS3 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 12.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 14:40 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 10.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 14:40 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | 36.7 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 14:40 | CEM |

Report Generated On: 04/25/2016 11:41 am
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

## 6040798

Effective: 07/09/2014

| Sample Number: 6040798-05 <br> Collector: DRC | Site: MW 5Collect Date: |  | 04/06/2016 | 2:04 pm | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test/Parameter | Result |  | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| Volatiles (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnteaded (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Toluene | 155 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 14:40 | CEM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 35.2 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 14:40 | CEM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 156 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 14:40 | CEM |
| Xylenes, Total | 643 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 10 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/13/16 23:58 | RCS3 |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Result |  | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Reco | very) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 49.3 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99\% | 1 | SW 84682 |  | 72-136 |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 49.2 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 98\% | 10 | SW 84682 |  | 72-136 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 51.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 1 | SW 84682 |  | 79-135 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 48.6 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 97\% | 10 | SW 84682 |  | 79-135 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 50.8 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 10 | SW 84682 |  | 88-112 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 50.9 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 88-112 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 52.7 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 105\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 75-117 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 51.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 10 | SW 84682 |  | 75-117 |  |




Report Generated On: 04/25/2016 11:41 am
STL_Results Revision \#1.6

6040798
Effective: 07/09/2014

| Sample Number: 6040798-08 Collector: DRC |  | 04/07/2016 10:44 am | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test / Parameter | Result | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 8.00 | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 10:44 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 4.33 | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 10:44 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 10:44 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 10:44 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A |  | 1 | 04/07/16 | KAL | 04/07/16 10:44 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260 , USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 2770 | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 16:16 | CEM |
| Ethyl Benzene | 2050 | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 16:16 | CEM |
| Isopropylbenzene | 91.4 | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 22:11 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 0.9 | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 22:11 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | 389 | SW 846 8260B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 16:16 | CEM |
| Toluene | $<0.5$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/19/16 22:11 | CEM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 373 | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 16:16 | CEM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 1680 | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 20 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 16:16 | CEM |
| Xylenes, Total | 10300 | SW 846 8260B | 20.0 | 20 | 04/19/16 | CEM | 04/21/16 16:16 | CEM |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Recor | very) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 79\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 72-136 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 87\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 79-135 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 96\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 88-112 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 105\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 75-117 |  |



## General Method

Monitor Well Sampling
Sampling Depth (ft) Static Water Level (ft) Total Volume Purged (gal)
Total Well Depth (ft)
Well Diameter (in)

| 10.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.05 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 3.70 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 20.0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| 2.00 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A

| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 16$ | $12: 28$ | DRC |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 16$ | $12: 28$ | DRC |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 16$ | $12: 28$ | DRC |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 16$ | $12: 28$ | DRC |
| 1 | $04 / 06 / 16$ | KAL | $04 / 06 / 16$ | $12: 28$ | DRC |

## Volatiles





## General Method

Monitor Well Sampling

| Sampling Depth (ft) | 10.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 11:34 | DRC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 3.63 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 11:34 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.10 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 11:34 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 20.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 11:34 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 11:34 | DRC |

## Volatiles

| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | 2050 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 5.0 | 10 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 | 0:26 | RCS3 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 10.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| Isopropylbenzene | 28.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 122 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | 62.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| Toluene | 22.3 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW $8468260 B$ | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 4.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 3.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| Xylenes, Total | 23.9 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 1.0 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:02 | CEM |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuits | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 48.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 97\% | 1 | SW 8468260 B |  | 72-136 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 51.3 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 103\% | 1 | SW 84682 |  |  | 79-135 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 50.4 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 101\% | 1 | SW 84682 |  |  | 88-112 |  |

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\text { Report Generated On: 04/25/2016 11:41 am } & 6040798 \\
& \text { STL_Results } & \text { Revision \#1.6 }
\end{array} \text { Effective: 07/09/2014 }
$$



Volatiles (Continued)
VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded (Continued)

| Surrogate Recoveries (Continued) | Results | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method | Limits (\%Recovery) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 51.6 | $\mu g / L$ | $103 \%$ | 1 | SW 846 8260B | $75-117$ |







| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (ti) | 9.00 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:08 | DRC |
| Static Water Level (ft) | 2.09 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:08 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:08 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (tt) | 12.0 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:08 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:08 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260 , USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 | 1:20 | RCS3 |
| Ethyl Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:30 | CEM |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:30 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:30 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 |  | RCS3 |
| Toluene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:30 | CEM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | <0.5 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:30 | CEM |

Report Generated On: 04/25/2016 11:41 am STL_Results Revision \#1.6

## 6040798

Effective: 07/09/2014

| Sample Number: 6040798-14 Collector: DRC | Site: MW 12 |  | 10:08 am | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test / Parameter | Result | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| Volatiles (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded (Continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 16:30 | CEM |
| Xylenes, Total | $<1.0$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 1.0 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 16:30 | CEM |
| Surrogate Recoveries | Results |  | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane | 50.2 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100\% | 1 | SW 8468260 B |  | 72-136 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 51.1 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 1 | SW 8468260 B |  | 79-135 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 | 49.9 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 100\% | 1 | SW 846 8260B |  | 88-112 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene | 51.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 1 | SW 846 8260B |  | 75-117 |  |



## General Method

| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 9.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:44 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 3.98 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:44 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.10 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:44 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | 12.0 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:44 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 2.00 | N/A | N/A | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 | 10:44 | DRC |


| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VOA, 8260 , USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| Ethyl Benzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| Isopropylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| Toluene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | $<0.5$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| Xylenes, Total | $<1.0$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 1.0 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 | 16:57 | CEM |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resuits | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Recovery) |  |  |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 49.6 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99\% | 1 | SW 8468260 B |  | 72-136 |  |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 50.9 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 1 | SW 8468260 B |  | 79-135 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 49.7 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99\% | 1 | SW 8468260 B |  | 88-112 |  |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 51.0 | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 102\% | 1 | SW 846 8260B |  | 75-117 |  |  |

Report Generated On: 04/25/2016 11:41 am
STL_Results Revision\#1.6

6040798
Effective: 07/09/2014

SUBURBAN
TESTING LABS

| Sample Number: 6040798-16 Collector: DRC | Site: SW Collect Date: |  | 04/06/2016 | 1:14 pm | Sample ID: <br> Sample Type: Grab |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department / Test / Parameter | Result |  | Units | Method | R.L. | DF | Prep Date | By | Analysis Date | By |
| General Method |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monitor Well Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sampling Depth (ft) | 100 |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 13:14 | DRC |
| Static Water Level ( ft ) | 5.31 |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 13:14 | DRC |
| Total Volume Purged (gal) | 2.60 |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 13:14 | DRC |
| Total Well Depth (ft) | Unknown |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 13:14 | DRC |
| Well Diameter (in) | 6.00 |  | N/A | N/A |  | 1 | 04/06/16 | KAL | 04/06/16 13:14 | DRC |
| Volatiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 2310 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 0:53 | RCS3 |
| Ethyl Benzene | 1280 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 0:53 | RCS3 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 33.4 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 17:24 | CEM |
| Methyl-t-butyl ether (MTBE) | 47.5 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 17:24 | CEM |
| Naphthalene | 118 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 17:24 | CEM |
| Toluene | 4730 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 846 8260B | 5.0 | 10 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 0:53 | RCS3 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 120 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 0.5 | 1 | 04/07/16 | CEM | 04/07/16 17:24 | CEM |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 518 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 5.0 | 10 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 0:53 | RCS3 |
| Xylenes, Total | 3160 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | SW 8468260 B | 10.0 | 10 | 04/14/16 | CEM | 04/14/16 0:53 | RCS3 |
| Surrogate Recoveries |  | Resu |  | Units | \%Recovery | DF | Method |  | Limits (\%Re | ery) |
| Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane |  | 45.3 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 91\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 72-13 |  |
| Surrogate: 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 |  | 49.3 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 99\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 79-13 |  |
| Surrogate: Toluene-d8 |  | 50.3 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 101\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 88-11 |  |
| Surrogate: Bromofluorobenzene |  | 53.0 |  | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ | 106\% | 1 | SW 846826 |  | 75-11 |  |

## Data Qualifiers:

H Hold time was exceeded for this analysis.
$V \quad$ The surrogate associated with this sample was not within the established acceptance criteria.
X Sample was reanalyzed outside of hold time due to suspected carryover in original analysis.
Xa Sample was reanalyzed outside of hold time due to suspected carryover in the original analysis.
** This report has been Amended (Rev1) and replaces all previous reports for this order ID **

| Report Generated On: 04/25/2016 11:41 am | 6040798 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| STL_Results Revision \#1.6 | Effective: 07/09/2014 |

SUBURBAN
TEST\|NGLABS

All results meet the requirements of STL's TNI (NELAC) Accredited Quality System unless otherwise noted. If your results contain any data qualifiers or comments, you should evaluate useability relative to your needs.

If collectors initials include "STL", samples have been collected in accordance with STL SOP SL0015.
All results reported on an As Received (Wet Weight) basis unless otherwise noted.
This laboratory report may not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of STL.
Results are considered Preliminary unless report is signed by authorized representative of STL.

## Reviewed and Released By:

Deborah Hannum
Project Manager
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## 

Sample $\quad$ Composite | Composite |
| :---: |
| Start Date / Time | Field Results


oring in: inazas

| ample umber | Sample Description - Site ID | Sampling Location | Collect Date/Time | Sampler's Initials | Matrix | Sample Type | Composite Start Date / Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0798-16 | SW |  | 1416101314 | DAC | Non-potable | Grab |  |
| Container Type / Preservation |  |  | Preservation Check | Analysis - Method |  |  | Field Results |
| Field Services A |  |  | General Method <br> Monitor Well Sampling - N/A |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{VOA} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{to} 6 \mathrm{C}$ \& Ascorbic $A^{\prime}$ cid \& HCl B |  |  | Unassigned <br> Sample Collection, Custom 3 - SL.0015 |  |  |  |  |
| 40 ml VOA - Cool to 6 C \& Ascorbic Acid \& HCl |  |  | Volatiles <br> VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded - SW 846 8260B |  |  |  |  |
| 40 ml VOA - Cool to $6 \mathrm{C} \&$ Ascorbic Acid \& HCl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




.

ORDER ID: 6040798
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Preservation Check Analysis
1
Field Results
-
ORDER ID: 6040798 ,illinilitiliuilili
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## Field Results


 Field Results
 ___ - ._-



| ample umber | Sample Description - Site ID | Sampling Location | Collect Date/Time |  | Sampler's Initials | Matrix | Sample Type | Composite Start Date / Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0798-16 | SW |  | 4/6/16 | 1314 | DRC | Non-potable | Grab |  |
| Container Type / Preservation |  |  | Preservation Check |  | Analysis - Method |  |  | Field Results |
| Field Services A |  |  | General Method <br> Monitor Well Sampling - N/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{VOA} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{to} 6 \mathrm{C} \&$ Ascorbic Ácid \& HCl B |  |  | Unassigned <br> Sample Collection, Custom 3 - SL0015 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{VOA} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{to} 6 \mathrm{C}$ \& Ascorbic Ácid \& HCl C |  |  | Volatiles <br> VOA, 8260, USTUnleaded - SW 846 8260B |  |  |  |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{VOA} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{6} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{\&} \mathrm{Ascorbic} \mathrm{Ácid} \mathrm{\&} \mathrm{HCI}$ D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0798-17-Endwall |  |  |  |  |  | Non-potable | Grab |  |
| Container Type |  |  | Preservatio | Check | Anal | hod |  | Field Results |
| Field Services |  |  |  |  | Gen Mo | thod Sampling - |  |  |
| $40 \mathrm{ml} \mathrm{VOA} \mathrm{-} \mathrm{Cool} \mathrm{to} 6 \mathrm{C} \&$ Ascorbic,Acid \& HCl |  |  |  |  | Una Sa | ection, Custo |  | " |
| 40 ml VOA - Cool to $6 \mathrm{C} \&$ Ascorbic Acid \& HCl C |  |  |  |  |  | USTUnleade |  |  |
| 40 m TVOA - Cool to 6 C \& Ascorbic Ácid \& HCI |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## APPENDIX H

## Baildown Test Data Plots



## APPENDIX I

## SPL Sample Laboratory Analytical Report

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

Prepared for:
Rettew Associates
3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011

July 21, 2015
Project: Herr Foods Inc.
Submittal Date: 07/09/2015
Group Number: 1575572
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

| Client Sample Description | Lancaster Labs (LL) \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| MW-4 SPL Grab SPL | 7960539 |

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratories-environmental/resources/certifications/.

(717) 556-7236


Project Name: Herr Foods Inc.

| Collected: $07 / 09 / 201509: 05$ | by EGD | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| through $07 / 09 / 201511: 35$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
| Submitted: $07 / 09 / 201513: 30$ | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |

Reported: $07 / 21 / 201514: 46$

M4 SPL


General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All OC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT <br> No. | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst |  | Dilution |
| 10237 | PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151951AA | 07/14/2015 | 15:49 | Sarah A | A Guill | 20000 |
| 10237 | PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | Q151951AA | 07/14/2015 | 16:12 | Sarah A | A Guill | 200000 |
| 00373 | DP 21 Bulk Prep of Oil Samples | SW-846 | 5030B | 1 | Q151951AA | 07/13/2015 | 10:02 | Sarah A | A Guill | п.a. |
| 02535 | Quantitative GC <br> Fingerprint | SW-846 modifie | $\begin{aligned} & 8015 \mathrm{~B} \\ & \text { ed } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 151960036A | 07/15/2015 | 22:43 | Heather | E Willians | 100 |

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Group Number: 1575572
Reported: 07/21/2015 14:46

Matrix QC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific QC samples were not submitted. In these situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, a LCS/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified in the method.

All Inorganic Initial Calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

## Laboratory Compliance Quality Control

## Analysis Name

Batch number: Q151951AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene

| Blank | Blank | Report | LCS | LCSD | LCS/LCSD |  | RPD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | MDL | Units | \%REC | \%REC | Limits | $\underline{\text { RPD }}$ | Max |
| Sample number(s) : 7960539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N. D. | 250. | ug/kg | 91 | 94 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 500. | ug/kg | 85 | 87 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| N. D. | 500. | ug/kg | 81 | 84 | 76-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 250. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 89 | 93 | 72-120 |  | 30 |
| N. D. | 500. | ug/kg | 81 | 88 | 64-120 | 8 | 30 |
| N. D. | 500. | ug/kg | 90 | 93 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| N. D. | 500. | ug/kg | 84 | 87 | 79-120 | 4 | 30 |
| N. D. | 500. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 82 | 86 | 78-120 | 5 | 30 |
| N. D. | 500. | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | 85 | 87 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |

1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene Xylene (Total)

## Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Analysis Name: PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B
Batch number: Q151951AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7960539 | 82 | 78 | 89 | 92 |
| Blank | 87 | 92 | 85 | 82 |
| LCS | 93 | 97 | 90 | 87 |
| LCSD | 97 | 102 | 95 | 91 |
| LimitS: | $50-141$ | $54-135$ | $52-141$ | $50-131$ |

Analysis Name: Quantitative GC Fingerprint
Batch number: 151960036 A

|  | Chlorobenzene | Orthoterphenyl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7960539 | 103 | 104 |
| Limits: | $50-150$ | $50-150$ |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

Acct. \#00221 For Eurofing t ancaster Laboratories Environmental use only
5)
Analysis Requested
Preservation Codes $\qquad$ -

Doc Log ID:
85298
Group Number(s): $/ 575572$

Client: Rettew Assoc.

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: |  | $07 / 09 / 2015$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | Yes | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | N/A |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 0 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Missing Samples: | No |  |  |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Timothy Cubberley (6520) at 13:48 on 07/09/2015

## Samples Chilled Details

| Thermometer Types |  | DT = Digital (Temp. Bottle) |  | $I R=$ Infrared (Surface Temp) |  |  | All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooler \# | Th | Corrected Tem | Therm. |  | Ice Present? | Ice Container | Elevated Temp? | Samples Collected Same Day as Receipt? |
| 1 | DT131 | 7.6 | DT | Wet | $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{}$ | Bagged | \% Y | Y |

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:

| RL | Reporting Limit | BMQL | Below Minimum Quantitation Level |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N.D. | none detected | MPN | Most Probable Number |
| TNTC | Too Numerous To Count | CP Units | cobalt-chloroplatinate units |
| IU | International Units | NTU | nephelometric turbidity units |
| umhos/cm | micromhos/cm | ng | nanogram(s) |
| C | degrees Celsius | F | degrees Fahrenheit |
| meq | milliequivalents | lb. | pound(s) |
| g | gram(s) | kg | kilogram(s) |
| $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | microgram(s) | mg | milligram(s) |
| mL | milliliter(s) | L | liter(s) |
| m3 | cubic meter(s) | $\begin{array}{r} \mu \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{pg} / \mathrm{L} \end{array}$ | microliter(s) picogram/liter |
| $<$ | less than |  |  |
| > | greater than |  |  |
| ppm | parts per million - One pp aqueous liquids, ppm is u very close to a kilogram. | milligram p valent to $m$ ne ppm is | kilogram ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) or one gram per mil rams per liter ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{l}$ ), because one liter ivalent to one microliter per liter of $g$ |
| ppb | parts per billion |  |  |
| Dry weight basis | Results printed under this concentration to approxim as-received basis. | justed for in a similar | sture content. This increases the an mple without moisture. All other res |

## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and the < Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, ISO17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWLL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681• www.LancasterLabs.com
REVISED

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

Prepared for:
Rettew Associates
3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011

Report Date: April 27, 2016
Project: Herr Foods Inc.
Submittal Date: 07/09/2015
Group Number: 1575572
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

Client Sample Description
MW-4 SPL Grab SPL
Lancaster Labs
$\frac{(\text { LL) \# }}{7960539}$

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratoriesenvironmental/resources/certifications/.

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

## Lancaster Laboratories

Environmental

## Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com
REVISED


| Project Name: Herr Foods Inc. |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Collected: $07 / 09 / 201509: 05$ |  |
| through $07 / 09 / 201511: 35$ | by EGD |
| Submitted: $07 / 09 / 201513: 30$ |  |
| Reported: $04 / 27 / 201608: 41$ | Lancaster PA 17603-4011 |

M4SPL

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | As Received Result | As Received <br> Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 600,000 | 10,000 | 20000 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | 12,000,000 | 200,000 | 200000 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | 1,300,000 | 20,000 | 20000 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 10,000 | 20000 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | 380,000 | 20,000 | 20000 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | 22,000,000 | 200,000 | 200000 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | 38,000,000 | 200,000 | 200000 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | 12,000,000 | 200,000 | 200000 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | 59,000,000 | 200,000 | 200000 |
| GC PetroleumHydrocarbons |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02535 | The GC Fingerprint for this sample is most similar to our Gasoline reference standard. Based on relative peak intensities and ratios, the product in this sample does not appear to be weathered. When we calculate total sample area in the C8-C40 normal hydrocarbon range as petroleum distilate, it is present at 56\% by weight. |  |  |  |  |

## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/16.
All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.


# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Reported: $04 / 27 / 201608: 41$$\quad$ Group Number: 1575572

## Method Blank

| Analysis Name | Result | MDL |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |
| Batch number: Q151951AA | Sample number(s) : | 7960539 |
| Benzene | N.D. | 250 |
| Ethylbenzene | N.D. | 500 |
| Isopropylbenzene | N.D. | 500 |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | N.D. | 250 |
| Naphthalene | N.D. | 500 |
| Toluene | N.D. | 500 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | N.D. | 500 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | N.D. | 500 |
| Xylene (Total) | N.D. | 500 |


| Analysis Name | LCS Spike Added ug/l | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { Conc } \end{aligned}$ $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ | LCSD Spike <br> Added ug/l | LCSD Conc ug/l | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | LCS/LCSD Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: Q151951AA | Sample number(s) : 7960539 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 10000 | 9091.42 | 10000 | 9423.47 | 91 | 94 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | 10000 | 8537.2 | 10000 | 8728.82 | 85 | 87 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 10000 | 8113.95 | 10000 | 8417.58 | 81 | 84 | 76-120 | 4 | 30 |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 10000 | 8905.24 | 10000 | 9328.76 | 89 | 93 | 72-120 | 5 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | 10000 | 8125.11 | 10000 | 8774.92 | 81 | 88 | 64-120 | 8 | 30 |
| Toluene | 10000 | 9003.81 | 10000 | 9281.75 | 90 | 93 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 10000 | 8361.79 | 10000 | 8710.87 | 84 | 87 | 79-120 | 4 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 10000 | 8206.08 | 10000 | 8625.79 | 82 | 86 | 78-120 | 5 | 30 |
| Xylene (Total) | 30000 | 25630.08 | 30000 | 26135.86 | 85 | 87 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |

[^35](1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

## Quality Control Summary

```
Client Name: Rettew Associates
Reported: 04/27/2016 08:41
```


## Surrogate Quality Control

| Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the $O C$ window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name: PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B Batch number: Q151951AA |  |  |  |  |
|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| 7960539 | 82 | 78 | 89 | 92 |
| Blank | 87 | 92 | 85 | 82 |
| LCS | 93 | 97 | 90 | 87 |
| LCSD | 97 | 102 | 95 | 91 |
| Limits: | 50-141 | 54-135 | 52-141 | 50-131 |

Analysis Name: Quantitative GC Fingerprint
Batch number: 151960036A

|  | Chlorobenzene | Orthoterphenyl |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 7960539 | 103 | 104 |

Limits: 50-150 50-150
*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group

Acct. \#00221 For Eurofing t ancaster Laboratories Environmental use only
5)
Analysis Requested
Preservation Codes $\qquad$ -

Doc Log ID:
85298
Group Number(s): $/ 575572$

Client: Rettew Assoc.

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: |  | $07 / 09 / 2015$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | Yes | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | N/A |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 0 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Missing Samples: | No |  |  |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Timothy Cubberley (6520) at 13:48 on 07/09/2015

## Samples Chilled Details

| Thermometer Types |  | DT = Digital (Temp. Bottle) |  | $I R=$ Infrared (Surface Temp) |  |  | All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooler \# | Th | Corrected Tem | Therm. |  | Ice Present? | Ice Container | Elevated Temp? | Samples Collected Same Day as Receipt? |
| 1 | DT131 | 7.6 | DT | Wet | $\frac{\mathrm{y}}{}$ | Bagged | \% Y | Y |

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:


## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and $<$ the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, and ISO 17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

## APPENDIX J

## Aquifer Test Data Plots








## APPENDIX K

## Soil Gas Sample Laboratory Analytical Reports

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Prepared by: | Prepared for: |
| :---: | :---: |
| Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental | Rettew Associates |
| 2425 New Holland Pike | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster, PA 17601 | Lancaster PA 17603-4011 |

Report Date: April 06, 2016
Project: Herr Foods 101722001
Submittal Date: 03/25/2016
Group Number: 1644353
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

| Client Sample Description | Lancaster Labs (LL) \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| SG-2 Air | 8304248 |

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratories-environmental/resources/certifications/.

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name |  | CAS Number | Final Result | MDL | Final Result | MDL | DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volati | les in Air EPA | TO-15 |  | ppb (v) | ppb (v) | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |  |
| 05298 | Benzene |  | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 0.20 | N.D. | 0.64 | 1 |
| 05298 | Cumene |  | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.20 | N.D. | 0.98 | 1 |
| 05298 | Ethylbenzene |  | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.20 | N. D. | 0.87 | 1 |
| 05298 | Methyl t-Butyl Ether |  | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.20 | N. D. | 0.72 | 1 |
| 05298 | Naphthalene |  | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 0.50 | N. D. | 2.6 | 1 |
| 05298 | Toluene |  | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.20 | N. D. | 0.75 | 1 |
| 05298 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene |  | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.20 | N. D. | 0.98 | 1 |
| 05298 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene |  | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.20 | N. D. | 0.98 | 1 |
| 05298 | m/p-Xylene |  | 179601-23-1 | N. D. | 0.20 | N.D. | 0.87 | 1 |
| 05298 | o-Xylene |  | 95-47-6 | N. D. | 0.20 | N. D. | 0.87 | 1 |

MDL $=$ Method Detection Limit

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  | Factor |
| 05298 | TO 15 VOA Ext. List | EPA TO-15 | 1 | E1609630BA | 04/05/2016 22:20 | Jacob E Bailey | 1 |

# Quality Control Summary 



## Method Blank

| Analysis Name | Result | MDL |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | ppb(v) | ppb (v) |
| Batch number: E1609630BA | Sample number(s) : 8304248 |  |
| Benzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Cumene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Ethylbenzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Methyl t-Butyl Ether | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Naphthalene | N.D. | 0.40 |
| Toluene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| m/p-Xylene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| O-Xylene | N.D. | 0.20 |


| Analysis Name | LCS Spike Added ppb (v) | LCS <br> Conc ppb (v) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSD Spike } \\ \text { Added } \\ \text { ppb (v) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LCSD } \\ \text { Conc } \\ \text { ppb (v) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \% \text { REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS/LCSD } \\ \text { Limits } \end{gathered}$ | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: E1609630BA | Sample numb | s) : 830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 10 | 10.04 | 10 | 9.95 | 100 | 100 | 70-130 | 1 | 25 |
| Cumene | 10 | 10.2 | 10 | 10.44 | 102 | 104 | 70-130 | 2 | 25 |
| Ethylbenzene | 10 | 9.92 | 10 | 9.97 | 99 | 100 | 70-130 | 1 | 25 |
| Methyl t-Butyl Ether | 10 | 9.22 | 10 | 9.72 | 92 | 97 | 52-129 | 5 | 25 |
| Naphthalene | 10 | 6.76 | 10 | 8.30 | 68 | 83 | 35-153 | 20 | 25 |
| Toluene | 10 | 10.24 | 10 | 10.23 | 102 | 102 | 70-130 | 0 | 25 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 10 | 10.39 | 10 | 10.64 | 104 | 106 | 60-128 | 2 | 25 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 10 | 10.26 | 10 | 10.54 | 103 | 105 | 61-132 | 3 | 25 |
| m/p-Xylene | 10 | 10.23 | 10 | 10.16 | 102 | 102 | 70-130 | 1 | 25 |
| o-Xylene | 10 | 10.34 | 10 | 10.48 | 103 | 105 | 70-130 | 1 | 25 |

[^36](1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.


Client: Rettew Associates

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: | $\underline{03 / 25 / 2016 \text { 15:45 }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |
| State/Province of Origin: | PA |  |  |  |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | No | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | N/A | VOA Vial Headspace $26 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | N/A |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 0 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Air Quality Samples Present: | Yes |
| Missing Samples: | No | Air Quality Flow Controllers Present: | No |
| Extra Samples: | No | Air Quality Returns: | No |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Patrick Engle (3472) at 16:06 on 03/25/2016

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:


## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and $<$ the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, and ISO 17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

Prepared for:
Rettew Associates
3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011

Report Date: April 22, 2016
Project: Herr Foods 101722001
Submittal Date: 04/13/2016
Group Number: 1650083
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

Client Sample Description
SOIL GAS SG-2 Air
Lancaster Labs
$\frac{(\mathrm{LL}) \#}{8330858}$

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratoriesenvironmental/resources/certifications/.

Electronic Copy To Rettew Associates Attn: Ed Dziedzic

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental



| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name |  | CAS Number | Final | Result | MDL | Final | Result | MDL | DF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volati | les in Air EPA | TO-15 |  | ppb (v) |  | ppb (v) | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |  | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{m} 3$ |  |
| 05298 | Benzene |  | 71-43-2 | N.D. |  | 0.20 | N.D. |  | 0.64 | 1 |
| 05298 | Cumene |  | 98-82-8 | N. D. |  | 0.20 | N. D. |  | 0.98 | 1 |
| 05298 | Ethylbenzene |  | 100-41-4 | N. D. |  | 0.20 | N. D. |  | 0.87 | 1 |
| 05298 | Methyl t-Butyl Ether |  | 1634-04-4 | N. D. |  | 0.20 | N. D. |  | 0.72 | 1 |
| 05298 | Naphthalene |  | 91-20-3 | N. D. |  | 0.50 | N. D. |  | 2.6 | 1 |
| 05298 | Toluene |  | 108-88-3 | 0.26 | J | 0.20 | 0.98 | J | 0.75 | 1 |
| 05298 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene |  | 95-63-6 | N. D. |  | 0.20 | N. D. |  | 0.98 | 1 |
| 05298 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene |  | 108-67-8 | N. D. |  | 0.20 | N. D. |  | 0.98 | 1 |
| 05298 | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{p}$-Xylene |  | 179601-23-1 | 0.40 | J | 0.20 | 1.7 | J | 0.87 | 1 |
| 05298 | o-Xylene |  | 95-47-6 | 0.24 | J | 0.20 | 1.0 | J | 0.87 | 1 |

MDL $=$ Method Detection Limit

## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality Control Sumnary for overall $O C$ performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  | Factor |
| 05298 | TO 15 VOA Ext. List | EPA TO-15 | 1 | E1611230AA | 04/21/2016 19:56 | Jacob E Bailey | 1 |

# Quality Control Summary 

| Client Name: Rettew Associates |
| :--- |
| Reported: $04 / 22 / 2016$ Group Number: $15: 54$ |
| Matrix oC may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific oC samples were not submitted. In these |
| situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, acs/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified |
| in the method. |
| All Inorganic Initial Calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted |
| on the Analysis Report. |

## Method Blank

| Analysis Name | Result | MDL |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | ppb(v) | ppb (v) |
| Batch number: E1611230AA | Sample number (s) : 8330858 |  |
| Benzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Cumene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Ethylbenzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Methyl t-Butyl Ether | N.D. | 0.20 |
| Naphthalene | N.D. | 0.40 |
| Toluene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| m/p-Xylene | N.D. | 0.20 |
| O-XYlene | N.D. | 0.20 |

## LCS / LCSD

| Analysis Name | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS Spike } \\ \text { Added } \\ \text { ppb (v) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lcs } \\ \text { Conc } \\ \text { ppb (v) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCSD Spike } \\ \text { Added } \\ \text { ppb (v) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { LCSD } \\ \text { Conc } \\ \text { ppb (v) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS/LCSD } \\ & \text { Limits } \end{aligned}$ | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: E1611230AA | Sample numb | (s) : 833 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 10 | 10.67 | 10 | 10.63 | 107 | 106 | 70-130 | 0 | 25 |
| Cumene | 10 | 11.12 | 10 | 10.47 | 111 | 105 | 70-130 | 6 | 25 |
| Ethylbenzene | 10 | 10.55 | 10 | 10.52 | 105 | 105 | 70-130 | 0 | 25 |
| Methyl t-Butyl Ether | 10 | 9.55 | 10 | 9.80 | 95 | 98 | 52-129 | 3 | 25 |
| Naphthalene | 10 | 10.18 | 10 | 11.19 | 102 | 112 | 35-153 | 9 | 25 |
| Toluene | 10 | 10.61 | 10 | 10.67 | 106 | 107 | 70-130 | 1 | 25 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 10 | 11.53 | 10 | 11.72 | 115 | 117 | 60-128 | 2 | 25 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 10 | 10.98 | 10 | 11.27 | 110 | 113 | 61-132 | 3 | 25 |
| m/p-Xylene | 10 | 10.76 | 10 | 10.82 | 108 | 108 | 70-130 | 1 | 25 |
| o-Xylene | 10 | 10.94 | 10 | 11.1 | 109 | 111 | 70-130 | 1 | 25 |

[^37](1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

## Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

## Quality Control Summary

[^38](1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.
$\mathrm{P} \# \# \# \# \#$ is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.


Client: Rettew Associates

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: | $\underline{04 / 13 / 2016} 11: 50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | Yes | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | N/A | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 m m:$ | N/A |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 0 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Air Quality Samples Present: | Yes |
| Missing Samples: | No | Air Quality Flow Controllers Present: | Yes |
| Extra Samples: | No | Flow Controller Quantity: | 1 |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No | Air Quality Returns: | No |

Unpacked by Krista Abel (3058) at 12:06 on 04/13/2016

[^39]
# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:


## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and $<$ the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, and ISO 17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

## APPENDIX L

Sediment and Surface Water Sample Laboratory Analytical Reports

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:
Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601

Prepared for:
Rettew Associates
3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster PA 17603-4011

March 01, 2016
Project: Herr Foods 101722001
Submittal Date: 02/22/2016
Group Number: 1633538
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

| Client Sample Description |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stream-2 Grab Surface Water | 8252137 |
| SED-2 Grab Sediment | 8252138 |
| Stream-1 Grab Surface Water | 8252139 |
| SED-1 Grab Sediment | 8252140 |
| Trip Blank Water | 8252141 |

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratories-environmental/resources/certifications/.

ELECTRONIC Rettew Associates Attn: Ed Dziedzic COPY TO

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

Lancaster Laboratories
Environmental

## Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 - Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All OC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | D160562AA | 02/25/2016 | 19:44 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030 B | 1 | D160562AA | 02/25/2016 | 19:44 | Daniel H Heller | 1 |


| Sample Description: SED-2 Grab Sediment | LI Sample \# SW 8252138 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Herr Foods 101722001 | LL Group |
|  |  | \# |
|  |  | Account |
|  | \# | O0721 |


| Collected: $02 / 22 / 2016$ | $10: 55$ | by BO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | Rettew Associates $\quad$ 3020 Columbia Avenue

HRR-2

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N.D. | 0.5 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 1 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 1 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 1 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 1 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 1 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 1 | 0.82 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 1 | 0.82 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 G-1997 |  |  | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| 00111 | Moisture <br> Moisture represents the loss 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. The as-received basis. | $\mathrm{n} . \mathrm{a}$. in weight of the e moisture res | $23.6$ <br> ample a reported | 0.50 | 1 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis <br> Date and Ti |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10237 | PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | A160601AA | 02/29/2016 | 12:35 | Jennifer K Howe | 0.82 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201605440144 | 02/22/2016 | 10:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 2 | 201605440144 | 02/22/2016 | 10:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv.MeOH-NC | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201605440144 | 02/22/2016 | 10:55 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 16055820009 B | 02/25/2016 | 08:36 | William C Schwebel | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


Project Name: Herr Foods 101722001

| Collected: $02 / 22 / 201611: 30$ | by BO | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $02 / 22 / 201613: 50$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: 03/01/2016 19:17

HRR-3

| CAT |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection |
| Fimition |  |  |  |  |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All OC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| cat | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Time |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | F160571AA | 02/26/2016 | 14:43 | Anita M Dale | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | F160571AA | 02/26/2016 | 14:43 | Anita M Dale | 1 |


| Sample Description: SED-1 Grab Sediment | LI Sample \# sw 8252140 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Herr Foods 101722001 | LL Group | \# |
|  |  |  | Account |
|  | \# | O0721 |  |


| Collected: $02 / 22 / 2016$ | $11: 40$ | by BO |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Rettew Associates |
| :--- |
| Submitted: $02 / 22 / 2016$ |
| Reported: $03 / 01 / 2016$ |
| $19: 17$ |$\quad$| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |
| :--- |

HRR-4

| CAT No. | Analysis Name | CAS Number | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Result } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dry } \\ & \text { Method } \\ & \text { Detection Limit } \end{aligned}$ | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 8 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | ug/kg |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | 5 J | 0.5 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.9 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.9 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | 160 | 0.5 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 0.9 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.9 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.9 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N.D. | 0.9 | 0.74 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.9 | 0.74 |
| Wet Chemistry SM 2540 G-1997 |  |  | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| 00111 | Moisture <br> Moisture represents the loss 103 - 105 degrees Celsius. Th as-received basis. | п.a. <br> in weight of the e moisture resu | $22.1$ <br> ample afte reported | 0.50 | 1 |

## General Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis <br> Date and Ti |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10237 | PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | A160601AA | 02/29/2016 | 12:58 | Jennifer K Howe | 0.74 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201605440144 | 02/22/2016 | 11:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 2 | 201605440144 | 02/22/2016 | 11:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field Preserv.MeOH-NC | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201605440144 | 02/22/2016 | 11:40 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 16055820009 B | 02/25/2016 | 08:36 | William C Schwebel | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sample Description: Trip Blank Water | LL Sample \# wh 8252141 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Herr Foods 101722001 | LL Group | \# |
|  |  | Account | \# 00721 |

Project Name: Herr Foods 101722001

| Collected: $02 / 22 / 2016$ | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $02 / 22 / 201613: 50$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |
| Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |  |

Reported: $03 / 01 / 201619: 17$

HRR-5

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N.D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

General Sample Comments
PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $Q C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name |  | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst |  |  | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded | + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | F160571AA | 02/26/2016 | 15:04 | Anita | M | Dale | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water | Prep | SW-846 | 5030 B | 1 | F160571AA | 02/26/2016 | 15:04 | Anita | M | Dale | 1 |

# Quality Control Summary 

Client Name: Rettew Associates
Reported: $03 / 01 / 201619: 17$$\quad$ Group Number: 1633538

## Method Blank



| Analysis Name | LCS Spike Added $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | LCS Conc $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | LCSD Spike Added $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | LCSD Conc $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \% \text { REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS/LCSD } \\ \text { Limits } \end{gathered}$ | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: A160601AA | Sample number (s) : 8252138,8252140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 20 | 18.62 | 20 | 17.83 | 93 | 89 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | 20 | 18.79 | 20 | 18.14 | 94 | 91 | 80-120 | 4 | 30 |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

## Quality Control Summary

Client Name: Rettew Associates Group Number: 1633538

Reported: 03/01/2016 19:17

| Analysis Name | LCS Spike Added $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | LCS Cone $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | LCSD Spike Added $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | LCSD <br> Conc $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \% \mathrm{REC} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \% R E C \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS/LCsD } \\ \text { Limits } \end{gathered}$ | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isopropylbenzene | 20 | 18.84 | 20 | 18.36 | 94 | 92 | 70-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 20 | 18.58 | 20 | 18.22 | 93 | 91 | 72-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | 20 | 18.07 | 20 | 17.31 | 90 | 87 | 53-120 | 4 | 30 |
| Toluene | 20 | 18.6 | 20 | 18.29 | 93 | 91 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 18.88 | 20 | 18.39 | 94 | 92 | 74-120 | 3 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 18.6 | 20 | 18.34 | 93 | 92 | 73-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Xylene (Total) | 60 | 56.02 | 60 | 54.36 | 93 | 91 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
|  | ug/l | ug/l | ug/l | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: D160562AA | Sample number(s) : 8252137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 20 | 18.34 |  |  | 92 |  | 78-120 |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 20 | 18.71 |  |  | 94 |  | 78-120 |  |  |
| Isopropylbenzene | 20 | 18.73 |  |  | 94 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 20 | 18.34 |  |  | 92 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 20 | 17.67 |  |  | 88 |  | 59-120 |  |  |
| Toluene | 20 | 18.41 |  |  | 92 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 18.51 |  |  | 93 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 18.65 |  |  | 93 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| Xylene (Total) | 60 | 55.81 |  |  | 93 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Batch number: F160571AA | Sample number(s) : 8252139,8252141 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 20 | 19.64 | 20 | 19.86 | 98 | 99 | 78-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | 20 | 19.86 | 20 | 19.42 | 99 | 97 | 78-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 20 | 19.76 | 20 | 19.19 | 99 | 96 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 20 | 19.01 | 20 | 18.79 | 95 | 94 | 75-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | 20 | 18.54 | 20 | 17.9 | 93 | 89 | 59-120 | 4 | 30 |
| Toluene | 20 | 19.82 | 20 | 19.39 | 99 | 97 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 19.22 | 20 | 18.66 | 96 | 93 | 75-120 | 3 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 19.91 | 20 | 18.67 | 100 | 93 | 75-120 | 6 | 30 |
| Xylene (Total) | 60 | 58.39 | 60 | 57.42 | 97 | 96 | 80-120 | 2 | 30 |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Batch number: 16055820009 B | Sample number(s) : 8252138,8252140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture | 89.5 | 89.47 |  |  | 100 |  | 99-101 |  |  |

MS / MSD
Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike

| Analysis Name | Unspiked Conc ug/l | MS Spike Added ug/l | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & \text { Conc } \\ & \text { ug/l } \end{aligned}$ | MSD Spike Added ug/l | MSD Conc ug/l | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \% \text { Rec } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSD } \\ & \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{aligned}$ | MS/MSD <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: D160562AA | Sample number | r(s) : 825 | 37 UNS | : P251190 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | N. D. | 20 | 20.43 | 20 | 18.15 | 102 | 91 | 78-120 | 12 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.24 | 20 | 22.51 | 20 | 19.41 | 106 | 91 | 78-120 | 15 | 30 |
| Isopropylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 21.43 | 20 | 18.52 | 107 | 93 | 80-120 | 15 | 30 |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | N. D. | 20 | 21.81 | 20 | 19.07 | 109 | 95 | 75-120 | 13 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | N. D. | 20 | 18.48 | 20 | 15.64 | 92 | 78 | 59-120 | 17 | 30 |
| Toluene | N. D. | 20 | 20.85 | 20 | 18 | 104 | 90 | 80-120 | 15 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 1.19 | 20 | 22.27 | 20 | 18.83 | 105 | 88 | 75-120 | 17 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 20.89 | 20 | 17.72 | 104 | 89 | 75-120 | 16 | 30 |

[^40]Lancaster Laboratories
Environmental


## Laboratory Duplicate

Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate


## Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the QC window are confirmed unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.

Analysis Name: PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B
Batch number: A160601AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8252138 | 106 | 102 | 95 | 92 |
| 8252140 | 107 | 109 | 96 | 92 |
| Blank | 106 | 103 | 97 | 92 |
| LCS | 104 | 99 | 101 | 100 |
| LCSD | 102 | 98 | 101 | 99 |
| Limits: | $50-141$ | $54-135$ | $52-141$ | $50-131$ |

Analysis Name: PA UST Unleaded + TMBs
Batch number: D160562AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8252137 | 92 | 96 | 101 | 97 |
| Blank | 95 | 96 | 101 | 97 |
| LCS | 94 | 98 | 101 | 100 |
| MS | 93 | 97 | 101 | 100 |
| MSD | 93 | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| Limits: | $80-116$ | $77-113$ | $80-113$ | $78-113$ |

Analysis Name: PA UST Unleaded + TMBs
Batch number: F160571AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8252139 | 93 | 98 | 98 | 94 |
| 8252141 | 94 | 98 | 98 | 93 |
| Blank | 93 | 98 | 100 | 95 |
| LCS | 93 | 99 | 99 | 96 |
| LCSD | 95 | 101 | 98 | 96 |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

Analysis Report
2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

## Quality Control Summary

```
Client Name: Rettew Associates Group Number: 1633538
```

Reported: 03/01/2016 19:17
Limits: 80-116 77-113 $\quad 80-113 \quad 78-113$
*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.
$\mathrm{P} \# \# \# \# \#$ is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

## eurofins

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 * 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:<br>Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike<br>Lancaster, PA 17601<br>Prepared for:<br>Rettew Associates<br>3020 Columbia Avenue<br>Lancaster PA 17603-4011

Report Date: April 19, 2016
Project: Project No. 101722001
Submittal Date: 04/06/2016
Group Number: 1647796
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

## Client Sample Description

Stormwater Grab Surface Water
Lancaster Labs
(LL) \#

Stream 3 Grab Surface Water
8320673

Stream 1 Grab Surface Water 8320675
SED3 Grab Sediment
8320676
SED4 Grab Sediment
8320677
Stream 4 Grab Surface Water
8320678

The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratoriesenvironmental/resources/certifications/.

Attn: Ed Dziedzic

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall 0 C performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record


## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall 0 C performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |  |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | F161034AA | 04/13/2016 | 00:40 | Hu Yang | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030 B | 1 | F161034AA | 04/13/2016 | 00:40 | Hu Yang | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall 0 C performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record


| Sample Description: | SED3 Grab Sediment | LI Sample | \# | SW 8320676 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sediment | LL Group | \# | 1647796 |
|  |  | Account | \# | 00721 |

Project Name: Project No. 101722001

| Collected: $04 / 06 / 201611: 15$ | Rettew Associates |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $04 / 06 / 201616: 14$ | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: 04/19/2016 12:28

SED-3

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAT } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Analysis Name | CAS Number | Dry <br> Result | ```Dry Method Detection Limit``` | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |  |
| 10237 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 2 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 3 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 3 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 2 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 3 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 3 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 3 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 3 | 1.2 |
| 10237 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 3 | 1.2 |

The recovery for the sample internal standard is outside the OC
acceptance limits. The following corrective action was taken:
The sample was re-analyzed and the OC is again outside of the
acceptance limits, indicating a matrix effect. The data is
reported from the initial trial.


## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis <br> Date and Ti |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| 10237 | PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260 B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | X160981AA | 04/07/2016 | 12:54 | Jennifer K Howe |  |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201609740724 | 04/06/2016 | 11:15 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 02392 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GC/MS - Field Preserved } \\ & \text { NaHSO4 } \end{aligned}$ | SW-846 | 5035A | 2 | 201609740724 | 04/06/2016 | 11:15 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field <br> Preserv.MeOH-NC | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201609740724 | 04/06/2016 | 11:15 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 16098820006A | 04/07/2016 | 19:17 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |


| Sample Description: | SED4 Grab Sediment | LL Sample | \# | SW 8320677 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sediment | LL Group | \# | 1647796 |
|  |  | Account | \# | 00721 |

Project Name: Project No. 101722001

| Collected: $04 / 06 / 2016$ | $11: 25$ | Rettew Associates |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Submitted: $04 / 06 / 201616: 14$ |  | La20 Columbia Avenue |
|  |  | Lancaster PA $17603-4011$ |

Reported: 04/19/2016 12:28

SED-4


## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

| Laboratory Sample Analysis Record |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| No. |  |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10237 | PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B | SW-846 | 8260B | 1 | X160981AA | 04/07/2016 | 13:17 | Jennifer K Howe | 1.04 |
| 02392 | GC/MS - Field Preserved NaHSO4 | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201609740724 | 04/06/2016 | 11:25 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 07579 | GC/MS-5g Field <br> Preserv.MeOH-NC | SW-846 | 5035A | 1 | 201609740724 | 04/06/2016 | 11:25 | Client Supplied | 1 |
| 00111 | Moisture | SM 2540 | G-1997 | 1 | 16098820006A | 04/07/2016 | 19:17 | Scott W Freisher | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

| Sampl | $\begin{aligned} \text { e Description: Stream } 4 \\ 10172200 \end{aligned}$ | Grab Surface | Water | LL <br> LL <br> Ac | \# WW 8320678 <br> \# 1647796 <br> \# 00721 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: Project No. 101722001 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collected: 04/06/2016 14:45 |  | by EGD |  | Rettew Associates |  |
|  |  |  | 3020 Columbia Avenue |  |
| Reported: 04/19/2016 12:28 |  |  |  | Lancaster PA 17603-4011 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STRM4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CAT No. | Analysis Name |  | CAS Number | Result | Method <br> Detection Limit | Dilution <br> Factor |
| GC/MS | Volatiles SW-846 | 8260 B | ug/l | ug/l |  |
| 10945 | Benzene | 71-43-2 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Ethylbenzene | 100-41-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Isopropylbenzene | 98-82-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 1634-04-4 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Naphthalene | 91-20-3 | N. D. | 1 | 1 |
| 10945 | Toluene | 108-88-3 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 95-63-6 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 108-67-8 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |
| 10945 | Xylene (Total) | 1330-20-7 | N. D. | 0.5 | 1 |

## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.
All $O C$ is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall 0 C performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |  |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | F161034AA | 04/13/2016 | 01:24 | Hu Yang | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030 B | 1 | F161034AA | 04/13/2016 | 01:24 | Hu Yang | 1 |

# Quality Control Summary 



## Method Blank

Analysis Name

Batch number: X160981AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylene (Total)

Batch number: F161034AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylene (Total)

| Result | MDL |
| :--- | :---: |
| ug/kg | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| Sample number (s) : | $8320676-8320677$ |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| ug/l | $u g / 1$ |
| Sample number (s) $:$ | $8320673-8320675,8320678$ |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |

## LCS /LCSD

| Analysis Name | LCS Spike <br> Added <br> ug/kg | LCS <br> Conc <br> ug/kg | LCSD Spike <br> Added <br> ug/kg | LCSD <br> Conc <br> ug/kg | LCS <br> \%REC | LCSD <br> \%REC | LCS/LCSD <br> Limits |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratch number: X160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

## Quality Control Summary



## MS /MSD

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike

| Analysis Name | Unspiked Conc ug/l | MS Spike Added ug/l | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MS } \\ & \text { Cone } \\ & \text { ug/l } \end{aligned}$ | MSD Spike Added ug/l | MSD Conc ug/l | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSD } \\ & \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{aligned}$ | MS/MSD <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: F161034AA | Sample numb | r(s) : 832 | 73-832 | 75,8320678 | UNSPK: | P325302 |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | N. D. | 20 | 21.53 | 20 | 21.67 | 108 | 108 | 78-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 20.2 | 20 | 20.59 | 101 | 103 | 78-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Isopropylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 20.36 | 20 | 21.03 | 102 | 105 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | N. D. | 20 | 20.33 | 20 | 20.54 | 102 | 103 | 75-120 | 1 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | N. D. | 20 | 16.34 | 20 | 17.08 | 82 | 85 | 59-120 | 4 | 30 |
| Toluene | N. D. | 20 | 20.01 | 20 | 20.53 | 100 | 103 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 19.03 | 20 | 19.38 | 95 | 97 | 75-120 | 2 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 19.63 | 20 | 20.1 | 98 | 100 | 75-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Xylene (Total) | N. D. | 60 | 59.71 | 60 | 61.48 | 100 | 102 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |

## Laboratory Duplicate

Background (BKG) = the sample used in conjunction with the duplicate

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

Lancaster Laboratories
Environmental

# Quality Control Summary 

| Client Name: Rettew Associates | Group Number: 1647796 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reported: $04 / 19 / 2016$ 12:28 |  |

## Surrogate Quality Control

| Surroga unless | ecoveries which <br> ibuted to dilut | outside of the or otherwise | window a on the $A$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysi Batch n | me: PA UST Unle <br> r: F161034AA | $+ \text { TMBs }$ |  |  |
|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| 8320673 | 97 | 95 | 98 | 94 |
| 8320674 | 96 | 95 | 97 | 92 |
| 8320675 | 100 | 94 | 99 | 95 |
| 8320678 | 99 | 94 | 97 | 92 |
| Blank | 99 | 98 | 99 | 93 |
| LCS | 97 | 98 | 97 | 96 |
| MS | 98 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| MSD | 96 | 98 | 98 | 96 |
| Limits: | 80-116 | 77-113 | 80-113 | 78-113 |

Analysis Name: PA Unleaded/Diesel 8260B
Batch number: X160981AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8320676 | 104 | 104 | 116 | 80 |
| 8320677 | 99 | 97 | 115 | 80 |
| Blank | 101 | 100 | 101 | 97 |
| LCS | 101 | 100 | 101 | 101 |
| LCSD | 100 | 98 | 101 | 100 |
| Limits: | $50-141$ | $54-135$ | $52-141$ | $50-131$ |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.


Doc Log ID:
141892
Group Number(s): 1647796

## Client: Rettew Associates

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: | 04/06/2016 16:14 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | No | Sample IDs on COC match Containers; | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | No |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 2 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Trip Blank Type: | HCl |
| Missing Samples: | No | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Patrick Engle (3472) at 16:46 on 04/06/2016

## Samples Chilled Details

| Thermometer Types: |  | DT = Digital (Temp. Bottle) |  | $1 \mathrm{R}=$ Infrared (Surface Temp) |  |  | All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cooler \# | Thermometer ID | Corrected Tem | Therm Type | ice Ty | Ice Present? | Ice Container | Elevated Temp? | Samples <br> Collected Same <br> Day as Receipt? |
| 1 | 32170023 | 7.5 | IR | Wet | Y | Bagged | Y | $Y$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { General Comments: } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Samples Received with Ink Smearing due to contact with water, } \\
\text { sample Stream } 3 \text { Identified through process of elimination, Sample ID, } \\
\text { Date and Time illegible. }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:


## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and $<$ the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, and ISO 17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.


Client: Rettew Associates

## Delivery and Receipt Information

Delivery Method:
Number of Packages:
Client Drop Off
Arrival Timestamp:
02/22/2016 13:50
Number of Projects:
1
State/Province of Origin:
PA

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | No | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | No |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 2 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Trip Blank Type: | HCl |
| Missing Samples: | No | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Katherine Metzger (2241) at 14:23 on 02/22/2016

## Samples Chilled Details

Thermometer Types: $\quad D T=$ Digital (Temp. Bottle) $\quad I R=\operatorname{Infrared}$ (Surface Temp) $\quad$ All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Cooler \# | Thermometer ID | Corrected Temp | Therm. Type | Ice Type |  | Ice Present? |  | Ice Container |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DT121 | 1.3 | DT | Wet | Y | Loose/Bag | N |  |

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:


## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and $<$ the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, and ISO 17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Prepared by:<br>Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental 2425 New Holland Pike Lancaster, PA 17601<br>Prepared for:<br>Rettew Associates<br>3020 Columbia Avenue<br>Lancaster PA 17603-4011

Report Date: April 21, 2016
Project: Project No. 101722001
Submittal Date: 04/13/2016
Group Number: 1650073
PO Number: 101722001
State of Sample Origin: PA

Client Sample Description
Lancaster Labs

DPW-1 Grab Groundwater
(LL) \#
8330790
DPW-2 Grab Groundwater
8330791
The specific methodologies used in obtaining the enclosed analytical results are indicated on the Laboratory Sample Analysis Record.

Regulatory agencies do not accredit laboratories for all methods, analytes, and matrices. Our scopes of accreditation can be viewed at http://www.eurofinsus.com/environment-testing/laboratories/eurofins-lancaster-laboratoriesenvironmental/resources/certifications/.

Respectfully Submitted,

(717) 556-7236

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Sumnary for overall 0 C performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method |  | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution Factor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  |  |  |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 | 8260B |  | 1 | D161101AA | 04/19/2016 | 22:47 | Hu Yang | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 | 5030 B | 1 | D161101AA | 04/19/2016 | 22:47 | Hu Yang | 1 |

## Lancaster Laboratories <br> Environmental <br> Analysis Report

2425 New Holland Pike, Lancaster, PA 17601 • 717-656-2300 • Fax: 717-656-2681 • www.LancasterLabs.com


## Sample Comments

PA DEP Lab Certification ID 36-00037, Expiration Date: 1/31/17.

All QC is compliant unless otherwise noted. Please refer to the Quality
Control Summary for overall QC performance data and associated samples.

Laboratory Sample Analysis Record

| CAT | Analysis Name | Method | Trial\# | Batch\# | Analysis |  | Analyst | Dilution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. |  |  |  |  | Date and Ti |  |  | Factor |
| 10945 | PA UST Unleaded + TMBs | SW-846 8260B | 1 | D161101AA | 04/19/2016 | 21:39 | Hu Yang | 1 |
| 01163 | GC/MS VOA Water Prep | SW-846 5030B | 1 | D161101AA | 04/19/2016 | 21:39 | Hu Yang | 1 |

# Quality Control Summary 

| Client Name: Rettew Associates |
| :--- |
| Reported: $04 / 21 / 2016$ Group Number: $09: 41$ |
| Matrix oc may not be reported if insufficient sample or site-specific oC samples were not submitted. In these |
| situations, to demonstrate precision and accuracy at a batch level, acs/LCSD was performed, unless otherwise specified |
| in the method. |
| All Inorganic Initial calibration and Continuing Calibration Blanks met acceptable method criteria unless otherwise noted |
| on the Analysis Report. |

## Method Blank

## Analysis Name

Batch number: D161101AA
Benzene
Ethylbenzene
Isopropylbenzene
Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether
Naphthalene
Toluene
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene
Xylene (Total)

| Result | MDL |
| :--- | :---: |
| ug/l | $\mathrm{ug} / 1$ |
| Sample number(s) : | $8330790-8330791$ |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 1 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |
| N.D. | 0.5 |


| Analysis Name | LCS Spike Added ug/l | LCS Conc ug/l | LCSD Spike Added ug/l | LCSD Conc ug/l | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS } \\ & \% \text { REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCSD } \\ & \text { \%REC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LCS/LCSD } \\ & \text { Limits } \end{aligned}$ | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: D161101AA | Sample nur | : 833 | 0-8330791 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene | 20 | 18.63 |  |  | 93 |  | 78-120 |  |  |
| Ethylbenzene | 20 | 18.19 |  |  | 91 |  | 78-120 |  |  |
| Isopropylbenzene | 20 | 19.12 |  |  | 96 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | 20 | 20.84 |  |  | 104 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| Naphthalene | 20 | 17.24 |  |  | 86 |  | 59-120 |  |  |
| Toluene | 20 | 18.72 |  |  | 94 |  | 80-120 |  |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 18.75 |  |  | 94 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | 20 | 17.11 |  |  | 86 |  | 75-120 |  |  |
| Xylene (Total) | 60 | 56.62 |  |  | 94 |  | 80-120 |  |  |

## MS / MSD

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike

| Analysis Name |  | Unspiked Conc ug/l | MS Spike Added ug/l |  |  | Spike Added ug/l | MSD Conc ug/l | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSD } \\ & \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{aligned}$ | MS/MSD <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Batch number: | D161101AA | Sample number (s) : 8330790-8330791 |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{cr}\text { UNSPK: } & \text { P36892 } \\ 20 & 18.29\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Benzene |  | N. D. | 20 | 20.22 |  |  |  | 101 | 91 | 78-120 | 10 | 30 |
| Ethylbenzene |  | N. D. | 20 | 22.66 |  | 20 | 17.1 | 113 | 85 | 78-120 | 28 | 30 |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ.
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added.

P\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group.

# Quality Control Summary 

| Client Name: Rettew Associates | Group Number: 1650073 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reported: $04 / 21 / 2016$ 09:41 |  |

## MS/MSD (continued)

Unspiked (UNSPK) = the sample used in conjunction with the matrix spike

| Analysis Name | Unspiked Conc ug/l | MS Spike Added ug/l |  | MSD Spike Added ug/l | MSD Conc ug/l | $\begin{gathered} \text { MS } \\ \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSD } \\ & \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{aligned}$ | MS/MSD <br> Limits | RPD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RPD } \\ & \text { Max } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isopropylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 21.64 | 20 | 17.82 | 108 | 89 | 80-120 | 19 | 30 |
| Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether | N. D. | 20 | 19.77 | 20 | 19.38 | 99 | 97 | 75-120 | 2 | 30 |
| Naphthalene | N. D. | 20 | 18.97 | 20 | 17.07 | 95 | 85 | 59-120 | 10 | 30 |
| Toluene | N. D. | 20 | 19.03 | 20 | 18.45 | 95 | 92 | 80-120 | 3 | 30 |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 21.22 | 20 | 16.78 | 106 | 84 | 75-120 | 23 | 30 |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | N. D. | 20 | 19.08 | 20 | 17.25 | 95 | 86 | 75-120 | 10 | 30 |
| Xylene (Total) | N. D. | 60 | 62.83 | 60 | 53.82 | 105 | 90 | 80-120 | 15 | 30 |

## Surrogate Quality Control

Surrogate recoveries which are outside of the $O C$ window are confirmed
unless attributed to dilution or otherwise noted on the Analysis Report.
Analysis Name: PA UST Unleaded + TMBs
Batch number: D161101AA

|  | Dibromofluoromethane | 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | Toluene-d8 | 4-Bromofluorobenzene |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 8330790 | 94 | 97 | 94 | 88 |
| 8330791 | 96 | 104 | 102 | 89 |
| Blank | 100 | 102 | 92 | 87 |
| LCS | 97 | 102 | 100 | 92 |
| MS | 97 | 103 | 94 | 104 |
| MSD | 98 | 101 | 100 | 93 |
| Limits: | $80-116$ | $77-113$ | $80-113$ | $78-113$ |

*- Outside of specification
(1) The result for one or both determinations was less than five times the LOQ
(2) The unspiked result was more than four times the spike added

P\#\#\#\#\# is indicative of a Background or Unspiked sample that is batch matrix QC and was not performed using a sample from this submission group
For Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental use only
Group \# 1650073 Sampie \# $8330790-92$


Client: Rettew

## Delivery and Receipt Information

| Delivery Method: | Client Drop Off |  | Arrival Timestamp: |  | $\underline{04 / 13 / 2016} 11: 50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of Packages: | 1 |  | Number of Projects: | 1 |  |

## Arrival Condition Summary

| Shipping Container Sealed: | Yes | Sample IDs on COC match Containers: | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Custody Seal Present: | No | Sample Date/Times match COC: | Yes |
| Samples Chilled: | Yes | VOA Vial Headspace $\geq 6 \mathrm{~mm}:$ | No |
| Paperwork Enclosed: | Yes | Total Trip Blank Qty: | 2 |
| Samples Intact: | Yes | Trip Blank Type: | HCl |
| Missing Samples: | No | Air Quality Samples Present: | No |
| Extra Samples: | No |  |  |
| Discrepancy in Container Qty on COC: | No |  |  |

Unpacked by Krista Abel (3058) at 12:09 on 04/13/2016

## Samples Chilled Details

Thermometer Types: $\quad D T=$ Digital (Temp. Bottle) $\quad I R=$ Infrared (Surface Temp) $\quad$ All Temperatures in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Cooler \# | Thermometer ID | Corrected Temp | Therm. Type | Ice Type |  | Ice Present? | Ice Container |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DT146 | 4.5 | DT | Wet | Y | Bagged | N |

# Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations 

The following defines common symbols and abbreviations used in reporting technical data:


## Laboratory Data Qualifiers:

B - Analyte detected in the blank
C - Result confirmed by reanalysis
E - Concentration exceeds the calibration range
$J$ (or G, I, X) - estimated value $\geq$ the Method Detection Limit (MDL or DL) and $<$ the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ or RL)
$P$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>40 \%$. The lower result is reported.
$U$ - Analyte was not detected at the value indicated
$V$ - Concentration difference between the primary and confirmation column $>100 \%$. The reporting limit is raised due to this disparity and evident interference...

Additional Organic and Inorganic CLP qualifiers may be used with Form 1 reports as defined by the CLP methods. Qualifiers specific to Dioxin/Furans and PCB Congeners are detailed on the individual Analysis Report.

Analytical test results meet all requirements of the associated regulatory program (i.e., NELAC (TNI), DoD, and ISO 17025) unless otherwise noted under the individual analysis.

Measurement uncertainty values, as applicable, are available upon request.
Tests results relate only to the sample tested. Clients should be aware that a critical step in a chemical or microbiological analysis is the collection of the sample. Unless the sample analyzed is truly representative of the bulk of material involved, the test results will be meaningless. If you have questions regarding the proper techniques of collecting samples, please contact us. We cannot be held responsible for sample integrity, however, unless sampling has been performed by a member of our staff.
This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
Times are local to the area of activity. Parameters listed in the 40 CFR Part 136 Table II as "analyze immediately" are not performed within 15 minutes.

WARRANTY AND LIMITS OF LIABILITY - In accepting analytical work, we warrant the accuracy of test results for the sample as submitted. THE FOREGOING EXPRESS WARRANTY IS EXCLUSIVE AND IS GIVEN IN LIEU OF ALL OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED. WE DISCLAIM ANY OTHER WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING A WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY. IN NO EVENT SHALL EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL, LLC BE LIABLE FOR INDIRECT, SPECIAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, DAMAGES FOR LOSS OF PROFIT OR GOODWILL REGARDLESS OF (A) THE NEGLIGENCE (EITHER SOLE OR CONCURRENT) OF EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL AND (B) WHETHER EUROFINS LANCASTER LABORATORIES ENVIRONMENTAL HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES. We accept no legal responsibility for the purposes for which the client uses the test results. No purchase order or other order for work shall be accepted by Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental which includes any conditions that vary from the Standard Terms and Conditions, and Eurofins Lancaster Laboratories Environmental hereby objects to any conflicting terms contained in any acceptance or order submitted by client.

## APPENDIX M

## Concentration vs Time Plots
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## MW-7 1,3,5-TMB Concentrations vs Time


4/26/2016


3/27/2016
9102/9z/Z
1/27/2016
12/28/2015
11/28/2015
Date

4/26/2016

MW-10
MTBE Concentrations vs Time

| 120 - ${ }^{R^{2}=0.044}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40 ( 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/1/2015 | 7/31/2015 | 8/30/2015 | 9/29/2015 | 10/29/2015 | 11/28/2015 | 12/28/2015 | 1/27/2016 | 2/26/2016 | 3/27/2016 |

## APPENDIX N

## Quick Domenico Model Output






























## APPENDIX 0

 SWLOAD5 Model Output| METHOD FO | R ESTIMATNG FL | OW, AVERAG | CONCE | NTRATIO | AND MASS | LOADING | SURFACE | WATER FR | OM GROUND | WATER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Herr Foods, In |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date: | 5/5/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PA DEP | ARTMENT |  |  |
| Contaminant: | Benzene |  |  | Prepared by |  | EGD |  |  |  | OF | VIRONMEN | NTAL PROT | CTION |  |
| SOURCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SWLO | AD5B.XLS |  |  |
| CONC | Ax | Ay | Az | LAMBDA | SOURCE | SOURCE |  |  |  |  | METHOD FOR | R ESTIMA |  |  |
| (units) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) |  | WIDTH | THICKNES | Time |  |  | A | AMINANT LOA | ADING TO | URFACE |  |
| ug/ | >. 0001 | $\geqslant .0001$ | $>=.0001$ | day-1 | (ft) | (ft) | (days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2,419 | 3 | 0.3 | 1.00E-05 | 0.00006 | 40 | 10 | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+99$ |  |  |  | P.A. Dome | nico (1987) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | dified to Incla | lude Retard |  |  |
| Hydraulic | Hydraulic |  | Soil Bulk |  | Frac. | Retard- | V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cond | Gradient | Porosity | Density | KOC | Org. Carb. | ation | ( $\left.=\mathrm{K}^{*} \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{R}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ft/day) | (ft/ft) | (dec. frac.) | ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{\prime}$ |  |  | (R) | (ft/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2.46 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.018 | 0.08 | 1.788 | 58 | 1.18E-02 | 16.29634 | 0.0339647 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -56.05 | -44.84 | -33.63 | -22.42 | -11.21 | 0 | 11.21 | 22.42 | 33.63 | 44.84 | 56.05 |
| Edge Criterio | ( (ug/l) | 5 | 0 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
| Higest mo | deled conc. | 1233.61 | -1.02 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
|  |  |  | -2.04 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
| SURFACE W | ATER LOADING | RID | -3.06 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
| Distance to S | Stream (ft) | 300 | -4.08 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
| Plume View | Width (ft) | 112.1 | -5.1 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
| Plume View D | Depth (ft) | 10.2 | -6.12 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
|  |  |  | -7.14 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
|  |  |  | -8.16 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
| PENTOX | NEEDED |  | -9.18 | 5.14706 | 45.763685 | 221.0339 | 610.61325 | 1047.78307 | 1233.61106 | 1047.7831 | 610.61325 | 221.03385 | 45.76368 | 5.14706 |
|  |  |  | -10.2 | 0.02528 | 0.2247746 | 1.085638 | 2.9991108 | 5.14633035 | 6.05905005 | 5.1463303 | 2.9991108 | 1.0856381 | 0.224775 | 0.02528 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average | Groundwat | ter Concen | tration | 421.223 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Plume F | low |  |  | 0.00059 | Cts | 0.00038 | IVGD |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mass Lo | ading to | Stream |  | 603974.28 | ug/day |  |  |  |  |  |


| METHOD FOR | R ESTIMATNG | N, AVER | CONC | TRATION | NDMASS | OADING | SURFACE | WATER FR | OM GROUND | WATER |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project: | Herr Foods, 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date: | 5/5/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PA DEP | ARTMENT |  |  |
| Contaminant: | MTBE |  |  | Prepared by |  | EGD |  |  |  | OF En | IRONMEN | TAL PROT | CTION |  |
| SOURCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SWLO | D3B.XLS |  |  |
| CONC | Ax | Ay | Az | LAMBDA | SOURCE | SOURCE |  |  |  |  | THOD F | R ESTIMA |  |  |
| (units) | (ft) | (ft) | (ft) |  | WIDTH | THICKNES |  |  |  | COMTA | INANT L | ADING TO | JRFACE |  |
| ug/ | > 00001 | $>.0001$ | $>=.0001$ | day-1 | (ft) | (ft) | (days) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 | 3 | 0.3 | 1.00E-05 | 0.00025 | 40 | 10 | 1.00E+99 |  |  |  | A. Do | co (1987) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | fied to In | lude Retarda |  |  |
| Hydraulic | Hydraulic |  | Soil Bulk |  | Frac. | Retard- | V |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cond | Gradient | Porosity | Density | KOC | Org. Carb. | ation | ( $\left.=\mathrm{K}^{*} / \mathrm{l} \mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{R}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (ft/day) | (ft/ft) | (dec. frac.) | ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{\text {/ }}$ |  |  | (R) | (ft/day) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2.46 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 0.018 | 0.08 | 1.788 | 12 | 1.18E-02 | 4.16476 | 0.1329008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | -30 | -24 | -18 | -12 | -6 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 30 |
| Edge Criterion | ( $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{l}$ ) | 5 | 0 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
| Higest mod | deled conc. | 78.8673 | -1.01 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
|  |  |  | -2.02 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
| SURFACE WA | ATER LOADING G | RID | -3.03 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
| Distance to St | Stream (ft) | 300 | -4.04 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
| Plume View W | Width (ft) | 60 | -5.05 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
| Plume View D | Depth (ft) | 10.1 | -6.06 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
|  |  |  | -7.07 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
|  |  |  | -8.08 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
| PENTOX N | NEEDED |  | -9.09 | 20.80683 | 34.896401 | 50.84066 | 65.357818 | 75.3402492 | 78.8673206 | 75.340249 | 65.357818 | 50.840656 | 34.8964 | 20.80683 |
|  |  |  | -10.1 | 2.04641 | 3.4321588 | 5.000321 | 6.4281245 | 7.40992456 | 7.7568219 | 7.4099246 | 6.4281245 | 5.0003209 | 3.432159 | 2.04641 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Average | Groundwat | ter Concen | tration | 47.8504 | ug/l |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Plume F | Ow |  |  | 0.00031 | cts | 0.0002 | VGD |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Mass Loa | ading to | Stream |  | 36362.97 | ug/day |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX P PENTOXSD Model Output

## PENTOXSD

## Modeling Input Data

| Stream Code | RMI | Elevat <br> (ft) |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { inage } \\ & \text { rea } \\ & \text { i mi) } \end{aligned}$ | Slope | PWS With (mgd) |  | Apply FC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6840 | 1.44 | 495.00 |  | 0.04 | 0.00000 | 0.00 |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stream Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LFY } \\ & \text { (cfsm) } \end{aligned}$ |  | Trib Flow <br> (cfs) | Stream Flow (cfs) | WD <br> Ratio | Rch Width <br> (ft) | Rch Depth <br> (ft) | Rch Velocity (fps) | Rch Trav Time (days) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tributar } \\ & \text { Hard } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{ry}}{\mathrm{pH}}$ | $\quad$ Stream Hard $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\mathrm{pH}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Analysi } \\ & \text { Hard } \\ & (\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{is}}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}$ |  |
| Q7-10 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.00116 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Qh |  | 0 | 0.0083 | 0 | 3.3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Discharge Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name |  | PermitNumber |  |  | Permitted Disc Flow | Design Disc Flow (mgd) | Reserve Factor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AFC } \\ & \text { PMF } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CFC} \\ & \text { PMF } \end{aligned}$ | THH PMF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CRL } \\ & \text { PMF } \end{aligned}$ | Disc <br> Hard | Disc pH |  |  |
| GW Di | scharge | 0 |  | E-05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 7 |  |  |
| Parameter Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter N |  | Disc Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  | Trib Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Disc <br> Daily <br> CV | Disc Hourly CV | Steam Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Stream CV | Fate Coef | FOS | Crit Mod | Max <br> Disc Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| BENZENE |  | 421.223 |  |  | 30 | 0.5 | 50.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| MTBE* |  | 47.8504 |  |  | 4 | 0.5 | 50.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |



PENTOXSD Analysis Results
Recommended Effluent Limitations


## PENTOXSD Analysis Results

Hydrodynamics

| SWP Basin |  |  | Stream Code: |  | Stream Name: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07K |  |  | 6840 |  | NORTHEAST CREEK |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RMI | Stream Flow (cfs) | PWS With (cfs) | Net Stream Flow (cfs) | Disc Analysis Flow (cfs) | Reach Slope | Depth <br> (ft) | Width <br> (ft) | WD Ratio | Velocity <br> (fps) | Reach Trav Time (days) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CMT } \\ & (\mathrm{min}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Q7-10 Hydrodynamics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.440 | 0.0012 |  | 00.0012 | 0.00005 | 0.0138 | 0.0736 | 1.5 | 20.389 | 0.0110 | 7.9983 | 1.2 |
| 0.000 | 0.0059 |  | 00.0059 | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |
|  |  |  | Qh Hydrodynamics |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.440 | 0.0083 |  | 0.0083 | 0.00005 | 0.0138 | 0.0781 | 3.3 | 42.236 | 0.0324 | 2.716 | 5.74 |
| 0.000 | 0.29 |  | $0 \quad 0.29$ | NA | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA |

## PENTOXSD Analysis Results

## Wasteload Allocations

| RMI | Name | Permit Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.44 | GW Discharge | 01 |


| AFC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q7-10: | CCT (min) | 1.2 | PMF <br> Stream Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Stream CV | Analysis pH |  | 7 | Analysis Hardness |  | 100 |
|  | Parameter |  |  |  | Trib Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Fate Coef |  | WQC ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { WQ } \\ \mathrm{Obi} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | WLA <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |
|  | BENZENE |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 640 | 640 | 14351.33 |
|  | MTBE* |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | NA | NA | NA |


| CFC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q7-10: | CCT (min) | 1.2 | PMF | 1 | Analysis pH 7 |  | Analysis Hardness |  | 100 |
|  | Parameter |  | Stream Conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Stream CV | Trib Conc. ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Fate Coef | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WQC } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | WQ Obj ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | WLA <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |
|  | BENZENE |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 130 | 2915.114 |
|  | MTBE* |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | NA |


| THH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q7-10: | CCT (min) | 1.2 | PMF | NA | Analysis pH |  | NA | Analysis Hardness |  | NA |
|  | Parameter |  | Stream Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Stream CV | Trib Conc ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | Fate Coef |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WQC } \\ & (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WQ } \\ \text { Obj } \\ (\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ | WLA <br> ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |
|  | BENZENE |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | NA | NA | NA |
|  | MTBE* |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 20 | 20 | 448.479 |



## Flow Statistics Ungaged Site Report

Date: Tues Feb 16,2016 4:41:11 PM GMT-5
Study Area: Pennsylvania
NAD 1983 Latitude: $39.7449 \quad(394442)$
NAD 1983 Longitude: $-76.0189 \quad(-760108)$
Drainage Area: 0.0369 mi2
2001 NLCD Impervious: 11.0 percent

## Low Flow Basin Characteristics

100\% Low Flow Region 1 ( 0.0369 mi2)

| Parameter | Value | Regression Equation Valid Range |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Min | Max |
| Drainage Area (square miles) | 0.0369 (below min value 4.78) | 4.78 | 1150 |
| Mean Basin Slope degrees (degrees) | 2.1 | 1.7 | 6.4 |
| Depth to Rock (feet) | 5.1 | 4.13 | 5.21 |
| Percent Urban (percent) | 6.0 | 0 | 89 |

Warning: Some parameters are outside the suggested range. Estimates will be extrapolations with unknown errors.

| Mean/Base-flow Basin Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Statewide Mean and Base Flow (0.0369 mi2) |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Value | Regression Equation Valid Range |  |
|  |  | Min | Max |
| Drainage Area (square miles) | 0.0369 (below min value 2.26) | 2.26 | 1720 |
| Mean Basin Elevation (feet) | 531.8 | 130 | 2700 |
| Mean Annual Precipitation (inches) | 45.0 | 33.1 | 50.4 |
| Percent Carbonate (percent) | 0.0 | 0 | 99 |
| Percent Forest (percent) | 25.0 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Percent Urban (percent) | 6.0 | 0 | 89 |

Warning: Some parameters are outside the suggested range. Estimates will be extrapolations with unknown errors.

| Peak Flow Basin Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Peak Flow Region 2 ( 0.0369 mi 2$)$ |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Value | Regression Equation Valid Range |  |
|  |  | Min | Max |
| Drainage Area (square miles) | 0.0369 (below min value 2.02) | 2.02 | 1150 |
| Mean Basin Elevation (feet) | 531.8 | 113 | 901 |
| Percent Carbonate (percent) | 0.0 | 0 | 67 |
| Percent Urban (percent) | 6.0 | 0 | 94 |
| Percent Storage (percent) | 0.0 | 0 | 3.6 |

Warning: Some parameters are outside the suggested range. Estimates will be extrapolations with unknown errors.

| Low Flow Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | Value | Unit | Prediction Error (percent) | Equivalent years of record | 90-Percent Prediction Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | Max |
| M7D2Y | 0.00391 | ft3/s |  |  |  |  |
| M30D2Y | 0.00604 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M7D10Y | 0.00116 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M30D10Y | 0.00195 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M90D10Y | 0.00464 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |

http: //pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/ (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/)
Stuckey_M.H._ 2006_ Low-flow_ base-flow_ and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130_84 p.

| Mean/Base-flow Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | Value | Unit | Prediction Error (percent) | Equivalent years of record | 90-Percent Prediction Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | Max |
| QA | 0.0486 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| QAH | 0.0083 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BF10YR | 0.0167 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BF25YR | 0.0144 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BF50YR | 0.0132 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |

http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/ (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130//)
Stuckey_M.H._ 2006_Low-flow_ base-flow_ and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130_84 p.

| Peak Flow Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | Value | Unit | Prediction Error (percent) | Equivalent years of record | 90-Percent Prediction Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | Max |
| PK2 | 16.8 | ft3/s |  |  |  |  |
| PK5 | 37.2 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK10 | 56.1 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK50 | 113 | ft3/s |  |  |  |  |
| PK100 | 145 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK500 | 242 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |

http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5102/ (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5102/)
Roland_M.A._ and Stuckey_M.H._ 2008_Regression equations for estimating flood flows at selected recurrence intervals for ungaged streams in Pennsylvania: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5102_ 57p.
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Flow Statistics Ungaged Site Report
Date: Tues Feb 16, 2016 4: 28:03 PM GMT-5
Study Area: Pennsylvania
NAD 1983 Latitude: 39.7315 ( 3943 53)
NAD 1983 Longitude: -76.0035 (-76 00 13)
Drainage Area: 0.98 mi 2
2001 NLCD Impervious: 4.0 percent

| Low Flow Basin Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Low Flow Region 1 (0.98 mi2) |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Value | Regression Equation Valid Range |  |
|  |  | Min | Max |
| Drainage Area (square miles) | 0.98 (below min value 4.78) | 4.78 | 1150 |
| Mean Basin Slope degrees (degrees) | 2.0 | 1.7 | 6.4 |
| Depth to Rock (feet) | 3.7 (below min value 4.13) | 4.13 | 5.21 |
| Percent Urban (percent) | 3.0 | 0 | 89 |

Warning: Some parameters are outside the suggested range. Estimates will be extrapolations with unknown errors.

| Mean/Base-flow Basin Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Statewide Mean and Base Flow (0.98 mi2) |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Value | Regression Equation Valid Range |  |
|  |  | Min | Max |
| Drainage Area (square miles) | 0.98 (below min value 2.26) | 2.26 | 1720 |
| Mean Basin Elevation (feet) | 474.2 | 130 | 2700 |
| Mean Annual Precipitation (inches) | 45.0 | 33.1 | 50.4 |
| Percent Carbonate (percent) | 0.0 | 0 | 99 |
| Percent Forest (percent) | 38.0 | 5.1 | 100 |
| Percent Urban (percent) | 3.0 | 0 | 89 |

Warning: Some parameters are outside the suggested range. Estimates will be extrapolations with unknown errors.

| Peak Flow Basin Characteristics |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100\% Peak Flow Region 2 (0.98 mi2) |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Value | Regression Equation Valid Range |  |
|  |  | Min | Max |
| Drainage Area (square miles) | 0.98 (below min value 2.02) | 2.02 | 1150 |
| Mean Basin Elevation (feet) | 474.2 | 113 | 901 |
| Percent Carbonate (percent) | 0.0 | 0 | 67 |
| Percent Urban (percent) | 3.0 | 0 | 94 |
| Percent Storage (percent) | 0.0 | 0 | 3.6 |

Warning: Some parameters are outside the suggested range. Estimates will be extrapolations with unknown errors.

| Low Flow Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | Value | Unit | Prediction Error (percent) | Equivalent years of record | 90-Percent Prediction Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | Max |
| M7D2Y | 0.024 | ft3/s |  |  |  |  |
| M30D2Y | 0.0441 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M7D10Y | 0.00592 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M30D10Y | 0.012 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| M90D10Y | 0.0347 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |

http: //pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/ (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/)
Stuckey_M.H._ 2006_ Low-flow_ base-flow_ and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130_84 p.

| Mean/Base-flow Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | Value | Unit | Prediction Error (percent) | Equivalent years of record | 90-Percent Prediction Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | Max |
| QA | 1.34 | ft3/s |  |  |  |  |
| QAH | 0.29 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BF10YR | 0.5 | $\mathrm{ft} 3 / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BF25YR | 0.44 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| BF50YR | 0.4 | $\mathrm{ft3/s}$ |  |  |  |  |

http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/ (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2006/5130/)
Stuckey_M.H._ 2006_Low-flow_ base-flow_ and mean-flow regression equations for Pennsylvania streams: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5130_84 p.

| Peak Flow Statistics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statistic | Value | Unit | Prediction Error (percent) | Equivalent years of record | 90-Percent Prediction Interval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Min | Max |
| PK2 | 162 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK5 | 323 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK10 | 465 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK50 | 876 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK100 | 1100 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |
| PK500 | 1760 | $\mathrm{ft3} / \mathrm{s}$ |  |  |  |  |

http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5102/ (http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2008/5102/)
Roland_M.A._ and Stuckey_M.H._2008_Regression equations for estimating flood flows at selected recurrence intervals for ungaged streams in Pennsylvania: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2008-5102_ 57p.
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## Radial Search

This retrieval approximates a radial search around a fixed location. the results will include wells in the "corners" of this figure.
Enter the coordinates of the center in decimal-degree format and the radius of the search in miles. All fields must be filled in to perform the search. The longitude must be a negative number.
Multiple Criteria Polygon Search Radial Search

$$
\begin{array}{rl|}
\text { Longitude }: & -76.019284 \\
\text { Latitude }: & 39.744938 \\
\text { Radius in Miles }: & 0.25 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

> | Preview List | Create List | Clear Selections |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| "Preview List" creates a list which contains links to individual well information. |  |  |
| You can choose to create a comma separated list from the preview. |  |  |
| "Create List" creates a comma separated list without viewing the selection first. |  |  |
| If you choose to open the file it may open in Excel if you have Microsoft Office installed. |  |  |

## Search DCNR $\rightarrow$ go

Total Records Returned : 13 Records Click on the column headers to sort the Search Results.

| $\frac{\text { PA }}{\frac{\text { Well }}{\underline{I D}}}$ | Driller | Driller Ref | Date Drilled | Owner | County | Municipality | Image |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 479728 | BROWN BROS DRILLING INC | 200890 | 11/3/2008 | UNION FIRE COMPANY | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114278 | K.L. MADRON WELL DRILLING, LLC |  | 3/1/1982 | CHENK | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114285 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 2/8/1979 | PORTER W | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114284 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 9/1/1978 | NOTTINGHAM CANNING | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114355 | BROWN BROS DRILLING INC |  | 1/1/1971 | SCARFO DOMONIC | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 8738 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 2/7/1968 | HERR'S POTATO CHIPS | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114347 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 1/1/1968 | HERR POTATO INC | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 8748 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 11/30/1967 | HERR'S POTATO CHIPS | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 8746 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 10/30/1967 | HERR'S POTATO CHIPS | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114348 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 1/1/1967 | HERR POTATO INC | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114349 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 1/1/1967 | HERR POTATO INC | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 8736 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 7/28/1966 | BOULDEN, J A | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |
| 114350 | R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS |  | 1/1/1966 | BOULDEN JOHN | CHESTER | WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP. |  |

## WATER WELL DETAILS

Well Driller: R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS
Driller License: 0176
Type of Activity:

Date Drilled: 2/7/1968

Owner: HERR'S POTATO CHIPS
Address of Well:
Zipcode:
County: CHESTER
Municipality: WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP.
Coordinate Method:
Quadrangle: RISING SUN
Latitude: 39.74583
Longitude: -76.01944

Well Depth $(f t): 246$
Depth to Bedrock (ft):
Well Yield (gpm): 50
Static Water Level: 0 (ft below land surface)
Length of Yield Test: 8 (minutes)

Use of Well: WITHDRAWAL

Well Finish: OPEN HOLE
Did Not Encounter Bedrock:
Yield Measure Method:
Water level after yield test: 60
(ft below land surface)
Saltwater Zone ( $f t$ ):

Use of Water: INDUSTRIAL

## DRILLER'S LOG

UNIT TOP UNIT BOTTOM DESCRIPTION OF UNITS PENETRATED

## BOREHOLE

## CASING

Casing 1:
Top: $\mathbf{0}$ Bottom: 70 Diameter: 6 Material: UNKNOWN

Seal(Grout) 1:
Top: Bottom: Type:

SCREEN/SLOT

WELL LINER

PACKER

WATER BEARING ZONE

| Zone 1: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zone 2: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 4 6}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |

## WATER WELL DETAILS

Well Driller: R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS
Driller License: 0176
Type of Activity: New Well

Date Drilled: 1/1/1968

$$
\text { PA Well ID: } \mathbf{1 1 4 3 4 7}
$$

Driller Well ID:
Local Permit \#:
Original Well By: UNKNOWN
Drilling Method:

## Owner: HERR POTATO INC

Address of Well:
Zipcode:
County: CHESTER
Municipality: WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP.
Coordinate Method:
Quadrangle: RISING SUN
Latitude: 39.74444
Longitude: -76.02

Well Depth $(f t)$ : 246
Depth to Bedrock (ft): $\mathbf{6 0}$
Well Yield (gpm): $\mathbf{5 0}$
Static Water Level:
(ft below land surface)
Length of Yield Test: $\mathbf{8}$
(minutes)
Use of Well: WITHDRAWAL

Well Finish:
Did Not Encounter Bedrock:
Yield Measure Method:
Water level after yield test: (ft below land surface)

Saltwater Zone ( $f t$ ):

Use of Water: INDUSTRIAL

## DRILLER'S LOG

UNIT TOP UNIT BOTTOM DESCRIPTION OF UNITS PENETRATED

## BOREHOLE

## CASING

## Casing 1:

Top: $\mathbf{0}$ Bottom: 70 Diameter: 6 Material:
Top:
Bottom: Type:

## SCREEN/SLOT

WELL LINER

PACKER

| WATER BEARING ZONE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Zone 1: | Top: | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |
| Zone 2: | Top: | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |
| Zone 3: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 2 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |

## WATER WELL DETAILS

Well Driller: R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS
Driller License: 0176
Type of Activity:

Date Drilled: 11/30/1967

Owner: HERR'S POTATO CHIPS
Address of Well:
County: CHESTER
Municipality: WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP.
Coordinate Method:
Quadrangle: RISING SUN

Well Depth $(f f)$ : 225
Depth to Bedrock (ft):
Well Yield (gpm): 10
Static Water Level: 30
(ft below land surface)
Length of Yield Test:
(minutes)
Use of Well: WITHDRAWAL

PA Well ID: 8748
Driller Well ID:
Local Permit \#:
Original Well By: UNKNOWN
Drilling Method: CABLE TOOL


| DRILLER'S LOG |
| :--- |
| UNIT TOP UNIT BOTTOM DESCRIPTION OF UNITS PENETRATED |

BOREHOLE

## CASING

Casing 1:
Top: $\mathbf{0}$ Bottom: 119 Diameter: 6 Material: UNKNOWN

## Seal(Grout) 1:

Top: Bottom: Type:

## SCREEN/SLOT

WELL LINER

PACKER

WATER BEARING ZONE

| Zone 1: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zone 2: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 2 5}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |

## WATER WELL DETAILS

Well Driller: R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS
Driller License: 0176
Type of Activity:

Date Drilled: 10/30/1967

Owner: HERR'S POTATO CHIPS
Address of Well:
County: CHESTER
Municipality: WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP.
Coordinate Method:
Quadrangle: RISING SUN

Well Depth $(f t): 283$
Depth to Bedrock ( $f t$ ):
Well Yield (gpm): 8
Static Water Level: -1
(ft below land surface)
Length of Yield Test: 4 (minutes)

Use of Well: WITHDRAWAL

$$
\text { PA Well ID: } 8746
$$

Driller Well ID:
Local Permit \#:
Original Well By: UNKNOWN
Drilling Method: CABLE TOOL

Zipcode:

Latitude: $\mathbf{3 9 . 7 4 7 7 8}$
Longitude: -76.01917

| Well Depth (ft): 283 | Well Finish: OPEN HOLE |
| :---: | :---: |
| Depth to Bedrock (ft): | Did Not Encounter Bedrock: |
| Well Yield (gpm): $\mathbf{8}$ | Yield Measure Method: |
| Static Water Level: -1 (ft below land surface) | Water level after yield test: 199 (ft below land surface) |
| Length of Yield Test: 4 (minutes) | Saltwater Zone (ft): |
| Use of Well: WITHDRAWAL | Use of Water: INDUSTRIAL |


| DRILLER'S LOG |
| :--- |
| UNIT TOP UNIT BOTTOM DESCRIPTION OF UNITS PENETRATED |

## BOREHOLE

## CASING

## Casing 1:

Top: 0 Bottom: 67 Diameter: 6 Material: UNKNOWN

## Seal(Grout) 1:

Top: Bottom: Type:

## SCREEN/SLOT

WELL LINER

PACKER

WATER BEARING ZONE

| Zone 1: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zone 2: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |

## WATER WELL DETAILS

Well Driller: R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS
Driller License: 0176
Type of Activity: New Well

Date Drilled: 1/1/1967

PA Well ID: 114348
Driller Well ID:
Local Permit \#:
Original Well By: UNKNOWN
Drilling Method:

## Owner: HERR POTATO INC

Address of Well:
Zipcode:
County: CHESTER
Municipality: WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP.
Coordinate Method:
Quadrangle: RISING SUN
Latitude: 39.74556
Longitude: -76.02

Well Depth (ft): 283
Depth to Bedrock ( $f t$ ): $\mathbf{6 0}$
Well Yield (gpm): 8
Static Water Level: 1 (ft below land surface)

Length of Yield Test: 4 (minutes)

Use of Well: WITHDRAWAL

Well Finish:
Did Not Encounter Bedrock:
Yield Measure Method:
Water level after yield test: (fi below land surface)

Saltwater Zone ( $f t$ ):

Use of Water: INDUSTRIAL

## DRILLER'S LOG

UNIT TOP UNIT BOTTOM DESCRIPTION OF UNITS PENETRATED

## BOREHOLE

## CASING

Casing 1:
Top: $\mathbf{0}$ Bottom: 67 Diameter: 6 Material:

Seal(Grout) 1:
Top: Bottom: Type:

## SCREEN/SLOT

WELL LINER

PACKER

| WATER BEARING ZONE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Zone 1: | Top: | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |
| Zone 2: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |

## WATER WELL DETAILS

Well Driller: R WALTER SLAUCH \& SONS
Driller License: 0176
Type of Activity: New Well

Date Drilled: 1/1/1967

PA Well ID: 114349
Driller Well ID:
Local Permit \#:
Original Well By: UNKNOWN
Drilling Method:

## Owner: HERR POTATO INC

Address of Well:
Zipcode:
County: CHESTER
Municipality: WEST NOTTINGHAM TWP.
Coordinate Method:
Quadrangle: RISING SUN
Latitude: 39.74778
Longitude: -76.01861

Well Depth $(f t)$ : 225
Depth to Bedrock (ft): $\mathbf{1 1 5}$
Well Yield (gpm): $\mathbf{1 0}$
Static Water Level: 30
(ft below land surface)
Length of Yield Test: 5
(minutes)
Use of Well: WITHDRAWAL

Well Finish:
Did Not Encounter Bedrock:
Yield Measure Method:
Water level after yield test: (ft below land surface)

Saltwater Zone (ft):

Use of Water: INDUSTRIAL

## DRILLER'S LOG

UNIT TOP UNIT BOTTOM DESCRIPTION OF UNITS PENETRATED

## BOREHOLE

CASING
Casing 1:
Top: $\mathbf{0}$ Bottom: 119 Diameter: 6 Material:

## Seal(Grout) 1:

Top: Bottom: Type:

## SCREEN/SLOT

WELL LINER

PACKER

| WATER BEARING ZONE |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Zone 1: | Top: | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |
| Zone 2: | Top: | $\mathbf{2 0 0}$ | Bottom: | Yield: |

## APPENDIX R <br> Ecological Risk Assessment

# MEMORANDUM 

TO: Herr Foods, Inc.
FROM: Thomas R. Eby, RETTEW Associates, Inc.
DATE: June 10,2016
PROJECT NAME: Herr Foods, Inc. - Ecological Risk Assessment PROJECT NO. 101722001
SUBJECT: Ecological Risk Assessment

## INTRODUCTION

This Ecological Risk Assessment was prepared to evaluate the potential effects of a petroleum release on ecological receptors at the Herr Foods, Inc. (Herr's) manufacturing facility, located at 273 Old Baltimore Pike in West Nottingham Township, Chester County, Pennsylvania. The 13.8 -acre property is owned and operated by Herr's and appears on the Rising Sun, MD-PA United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5-minute quadrangle (Attachment A, Figure 1). The property has been used historically for snack food manufacturing since the 1950s.

The petroleum release occurred at the facility truck garage, located on the southern portion of the property. The truck garage was constructed in 1978 and remains in use for the maintenance and fueling of Herr's fleet vehicles. An underground storage tank (UST) system installed during construction in 1978 was used for the storage of diesel fuel and unleaded gasoline. During UST system closure in 1997, a subsurface release of diesel fuel and unleaded gasoline was discovered that impacted soil and groundwater at the property.

Site characterization was conducted by RETTEW during 2015 and 2016 to delineate the extent of soil and groundwater impacts resulting from the release. Site characterization findings indicated that groundwater discharges to surface water downgradient of the subject property on an adjacent, separate parcel owned by Herr's. Discharging groundwater supports a wetland, which forms the headwater to an unnamed tributary (UNT) to North East Creek. Benzene and MTBE have been detected in surface water and sediment in the northern portion of the wetland area. Benzene is classified as a compound of potential ecological concern (CPEC) by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP).

Fate and transport analysis showed that surface water will continue to be impacted by dissolved benzene and MTBE in groundwater into the future as described in the Revised Site Characterization Report (SCR). Applicable surface water quality standards were developed for benzene and MTBE using the PADEP's PENTOXSD model. The maximum average MTBE concentration at steady state ( $47.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) is not expected to exceed applicable water quality criteria; however, the maximum average benzene concentration at steady state ( $421.2 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) is expected to exceed the calculated Cancer Risk Level (CRL) waste load allocation (WLA, or $185 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) for the UNT to North East Creek ( $185 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ). Steady state discharge of benzene is expected to meet the calculated Acute Fish Criteria (AFC) WLA ( $2,915 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) and Chronic Fish Criteria (CFC) WLA ( $14,351 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) water quality criteria protective of fish and aquatic life that may live in the UNT to North East Creek.

Herr's proposes to remediate the release to meet the Site-Specific Standard for groundwater under Act 2. As a condition of remediation standard attainment, a site-specific ecological risk assessment was performed in accordance with the process outlined in the PADEP Act 2 Technical Guidance Manual (TGM) Section IV.H and the PADEP Statewide Ecological Screening Process to evaluate eco-exposure to groundwater and substances (benzene and MTBE) addressed by Herr's under the Act 2 Site-Specific standard.

## INVESTIGATIVE METHODS

The evaluation included field identification of wetlands, habitat types and vegetation present in the area of plume discharge (MW-11) and surrounding areas within a 1,000-foot radius of MW-11, comprising the Ecological Risk Study Area. A search of the Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) was also conducted to identify species and habitats of concern within the study area. The northern portion of the wetland area, where petroleum impacted surface water and sediment were identified, was compared to a reference area on the southern portion of the wetland area to assess whether "substantial impact" has resulted from the release. Substantial impact is defined by the TGM as a difference of greater than 20 percent in the density of species of concern, or greater than 50 percent difference in the diversity or the extent of habitats of concern. The evaluation also included a bog turtle habitat screening, since the property is located in Chester County where bog turtle populations and habitat are known to occur.

An on-site evaluation was conducted on May 11 and May 12, 2016 by qualified wetland biologists. The presence/absence wetland investigation followed the protocols described in the 2012 Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region (Version 2.0) and the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. This methodology is based on a three parameter approach involving indicators of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and wetland hydrology to identify and delineate wetlands.

## INVESTIGATIVE FINDINGS

RETTEW's review of existing documentation and field investigation identified three wetland areas, one pond, and two watercourses among the various upland habitats within the Ecological Risk Study Area. Refer to Figure 2 located in Attachment A for the location of these resources. Attachment B contains photo documentation of all the habitats identified in the Ecological Risk Study Area.

## Wetlands

Three wetland areas and one pond were identified within the Ecological Risk Study Area. The two wetlands east of the railroad were palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands, and the wetland west of the railroad was a complex consisting of PEM, palustrine scrub-shrub (PSS), and palustrine unconsolidated bottom (PUB) wetlands. The pond is a manmade farm pond located along the eastern edge of the study area. The PEM wetland located in the cattle pasture east of the railroad was located outside the riparian corridor to the northeast. This wetland's hydrology was primarily fed by groundwater discharging from the base of the railroad grade and the dominant vegetation consisted of Eleocharis sp. (spike-rush), Juncus effusus (lamp rush), and Agrostis gigantea (black bent). The PEM wetland located within the riparian corridor, east of the railroad, drained to the UNT to North East Creek to the south. This wetland's hydrology was primarily fed by groundwater and the dominant vegetation consisted of Symplocarpus foetidus (skunk cabbage), Phalaris arundinacea (reed canary grass), and Impatiens capensis (spotted touch-me-not).

The wetland complex located west of the railroad is where the PEM wetland of potential concern and reference PEM wetland is located, in addition to the PSS and PUB portions. As previously mentioned, the area of plume discharge containing MTBE and benzene is located in the northern, downgradient portion of this wetland complex and is referenced as the PEM wetland of potential concern for the purpose of this report. The reference portion of this wetland is the PEM portion directly south and upgradient of the PEM wetland of potential concern, and is not affected by the contaminated groundwater discharge. This wetland complex is primarily fed by groundwater
and the dominant vegetation consists of S. foetidus, Impatiens capensis, Carex sp. (sedge), and Viburnum dentatum (southern arrowwood). This wetland complex drains north to the UNT to North East Creek.

## Streams

Two regulated stream channels were identified within the Ecological Risk Study Area. Both stream channels are UNT's to North East Creek. The main stem of this UNT starts west of the railroad grade in the center of the Ecological Risk Study Area and is fed by the wetland complex to the south and a culvert from the north, which conveys stormwater runoff. This stream channel flows southeast through the Ecological Risk Study Area. The second stream channel flows south along the eastern border of the study area, eventually flowing into the main stem UNT. These UNT's to North East Creek have an assigned Pennsylvania Code, Title 25, Chapter 93, Water Quality Standard designation of Trout Stocking, Migratory Fishes (TSF, MF). The Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC) does not list these UNTs to North East Creek as streams known to support naturally reproducing trout.

The main stem of the UNT to North East Creek is a perennial stream with a moderate to low gradient. The water flow during the site investigation was approximately four feet wide and three inches deep. The streambed consisted primarily of gravel and silt with some cobbles and sand present. The streambanks were well vegetated with some areas showing signs of erosion.

## Upland Habitats

A variety of upland habitats are located throughout the Ecological Risk Study Area including upland forest, a scrubshrub/forested riparian corridor, cattle pasture, and maintained lawns. Herr's facilities, including paved parking lots and buildings, and a railroad right-of-way which bisects the site, are also located in the study area.

The upland forest is a mixed hardwood deciduous forest with dominant vegetative species including Liriodendron tulipifera (tuliptree), Acer rubrum (red maple), Fagus grandifolia (American beech), and Quercus alba (northern white oak) in the tree stratum, Lindera benzoin (northern spicebush) and Viburnum sp. in the shrub stratum, and Dennstaedtia punctilobula (hay-scented fern) in the herbaceous stratum.

The scrub-shrub/forested riparian corridor did contain some small fringe PEM wetlands along the banks of the UNT to North East Creek, but mainly consisted of upland habitat. A variety of vegetation species were present in this habitat type, and the dominant vegetative species included Salix nigra (black willow), Juglans nigra (black walnut), and Quercus palustris (pin oak) in the tree stratum, Rosa multiflora (rambler rose) and Rubus allegheniensis (common blackberry) in the shrub stratum, and P. arundinacea and Alliaria petiolata (garlic mustard) in the herbaceous stratum.

The cattle pastures are located in the southeastern portion of the study area and the dominant vegetative species included Lolium perenne (perennial rye grass) and Festuca sp. (fescue grass). The maintained lawn areas dominant vegetative species included Poa pratensis (Kentucky blue grass). The location of these habitats throughout the Ecological Risk Study Area are depicted on the aerial basemap (Attachement A, Figure 2).

## PNDI Results

In order to identify species and habitats of potential concern within the Ecological Risk Study Area, a PNDI online search was completed by RETTEW on May 16, 2016 (Project Search ID: PNDI-603772). The PNDI Review Receipt indicated further review was necessary to determine potential impacts to a variety of special concern species
under the jurisdiction of the PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR) and an endangered species under the jurisdiction of the PA Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC). Shortly after the PNDI online search was completed, DCNR sent RETTEW a clearance letter with a conclusion of "no impact anticipated" regarding this project, dated May 16, 2016.

Additional information regarding the project was submitted to the PFBC for review and comment regarding the endangered species under their jurisdiction, which could potentially include fish, amphibians, and aquatic life. As previously stated, surface water is expected to meet the calculated AFC WLA and CFC WLA water quality criteria protective of fish and aquatic life. The PFBC response can be provided under separate cover upon receipt.

The PNDI results indicate that no species or habitats of concern were identified in the Ecological Risk Study Area. The PNDI receipt and agency clearance letters are provided in Attachment $\mathbf{C}$.

Although no potential impacts were identified on the PNDI search reciept for species under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Chester County is known to host the threatened bog turtle (Glyptemys muhlenbergii) and its habitat. As part of the Ecological Risk Assessment, Jeremy Hite, a RETTEW qualified bog turtle surveyor, investigated the Ecological Risk Study Area for bog turtle habitat on May 12, 2016. All three wetland areas within the study area contain the perameters necessary for bog turtle habitat (hydrology, mucky soils, and vegetation) and were considered suitable habitat for bog turtles. However, no bog tutles were found during the investigation.

## Wetland of Potential Concern

The PEM wetland where the groundwater plume discharge containing MTBE and benzene is located is depicted on Figure 2 in Attachment A as the "PEM Wetland of Potential Concern". This wetland did experience recent disturbance during the installation of groundwater monitoring wells. Disrupted sediment and vegetation from the drill rig were still present during the time of the investigation. It was noted that this ecological disturbance was not a result of the contaminated groundwater discharge into the wetland and was disregarded during the investigation.

The vegetation within the wetland of potential concern did not show signs of stress, discoloration, stunted growth, deformities, or death. The vegetation appeared to be in a similar growth stage when compared to the vegetation in the PEM reference wetland, directly south and upgradient of the contaminated groundwater discharge. The only non-native soil and sediment materials observed were a result of the recent drill rig disturbance. Typha angustifolia (narrow leaf cattail) is the only invasive species observed during the investigation. It was present in the wetland of potential concern and not the reference wetland; however, it did not appear to be crowding out the other vegetation at the time of the investigation. The aerial vegetative percent cover between the wetlands were very similar. However, the wetland of potential concern had a greater abundance of different species when compared to the reference wetland. Seven different species had a significant presence in the wetland of potential concern, compared to only four species observed in the reference wetland.

The ecological value of the wetland of potential concern is similar to the reference wetland. The only observed ecological benefit that the reference wetland contained over the wetland of potential concern was through its surrounding habitat. Since the reference wetland is surrounded by forest, the tree canopy provides additional cover and shade to the wetland resulting in cooler surface water and sediment temperatures, which could be a benefit for fauna activity. Since the wetland of potential concern is located closer to the Herr's facilities and directly adjacent to developed maintained lawn and parking areas, it is not surrounded by forest and doesn't receive this ecological benefit.

## CONTAMINANT FATE AND TRANSPORT

As described in the Revised SCR, surface water and sediment is affected by the diffuse flow of groundwater to offsite wetland areas. Because benzene and MTBE have relatively low partitioning coefficients, they tend to partition into water easily and have a low affinity to sorb to soil. This suggests that the benzene and MTBE detected in sediment are more indicative of impacts to pore water. In addition, the source area is covered by buildings (the truck garage) and impervious paving as described in the Revised SCR; therefore, the sediment impacts are not likely the result of source erosion. It appears that the primary ecological risk associated with the release is exposure to discharging groundwater at the surface water interface, and surface water.

Benzene does not undergo significant partitioning or accumulate in sediment, nor does it bioaccumulate in plants or animals ${ }^{1}$. Benzene is known to biodegrade in the environment. Once in groundwater, MTBE resists degradation compared to other gasoline components like benzene. In surface water, MTBE is not expected to bioaccumulate in aquatic organisms ${ }^{2}$. Because benzene and MTBE do not bioaccumulate, they are not known to have an adverse effect on the food chain or present a food-chain exposure hazard. A list of compounds that EPA Region 3 considers to be bioaccumulative is presented on Table 4-2 in the guidance document Bioaccumulative Testing and Interpretation for the Purpose of Sediment Quality Assessment, Status and Needs, EPA-823-R-00-001, February 2000. Benzene and MTBE are not listed as bioaccumulative in this document.

## PRELIMINARY EXPOSURE PATHWAY ANALYSIS

Present and future exposure pathways to surface water and sediment were evaluated for ecological receptors. Currently, there are no proposed plans to modify or redevelop the Herr's property. Land use is not expected to change in the future; therefore, current ecological exposure pathways are anticipated to remain unchanged into the near furture.

The ecological exposure pathway is characterized by diffuse groundwater discharge at the surface water interface with associated loading of dissolved benzene and MTBE to surface water. Dissolved benzene and MTBE are migrating with groundwater flow from the source area (the area of the petroleum release) to the final exposure pathway (groundwater-surface water interface and surface water) and to the receptors (terrestrial and aquatic ecological receptors).

Surface water impacts resulting from groundwater discharge were evaluated in the Revised SCR using PENTOXSD. Steady state discharge of benzene is expected to meet the calculated AFC WLA $(2,915 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ and CFC WLA $(14,351$ $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) water quality criteria for fish and aquatic life in the UNT to North East Creek. The water quality standards calcuated for benzene and MTBE using PENTOXSD for aquatic life and aquatic habitat (AFC and CFC) are met, which are protective of fish. Because the AFC and CFC are met under steady state conditions, the exposure pathway for aquatic ecological receptors is acceptable.

The exposure pathway is complete for terrestrial ecological receptors. Terrestrial receptors are potentially exposed to the release via dermal contact, ingestion and root uptake. Ecotoxicity for terrestrial receptors is evaluated in the following section.
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## PRELIMINARY ECOTOXICITY EVALUATION

As noted in the previous section, the AFC and CFC are met under steady state conditions for benzene and MTBE; therefore, the exposure pathway for aquatic ecological receptors is acceptable, and the ecological risk assessment for aquatic ecological receptors is satisfied. Terrestrial ecological receptors are the primary focus for further evaluation.

EPA Region 3 freshwater sediment screening ecotoxicological benchmarks were reviewed to assess ecological risk to terrestrial ecological receptors. Currently, EPA Region 3 does not have sediment screening benchmarks for benzene and MTBE. EPA Region 3 selected equilibrium partitioning values for contaminants with oil-water partitioning coefficients ( $K_{\text {ow }}$ ) that fall into a specific range ( $2.0<\log K_{o w}<6.0$ ). Benzene and MTBE generally fall outside of this range with low $\log K_{\text {ow }}$ values of 2.13 and 1.20 , respectively. Substances that have low partitioning coefficients generally prefer to remain in solution and have a low affinity to sorb to soil and sediment particles. As an alternative, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Screening Quick Reference Tables (SQuiRTs) sediment screening values were reviewed for organic substances in sediment and compared to detected benzene and MTBE concentrations in sediment as summarized in the following table.

| Substance | Max. Detected <br> Conc. in Sediment | Dutch Target Conc. | Dutch Intervention <br> Conc. | EPA EcoTox Conc. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | $38 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $1,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | $57 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ |
| MTBE | $160 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | NA | $100,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ | NA |

Notes:

1. Dutch Target and Intervention Values set forth in Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment (VROM), Lower House of Parliament, parliamentary proceedings 1988-1989, 21 137, No. 5.
2. EPA EcoUpdate EcoTox Thresholds.
3. $N A=$ Not applicable.

The maximum detected benzene concentration in sediment is below the screening values for intervention and ecological toxicity, and is slightly above the target concentration ( $1 / 100$ of the intervention value or risk limit). The maximum detected MTBE concentration in sediment is below the only applicable screening value. The EPA EcoTox Thresholds have been set at concentrations above which there is sufficient concern regarding adverse ecological effects to warrant further site investigation. As previously stated, benzene and MTBE detected in sediment are more indicative of impacts to pore water and are not the result of source erosion. Based on the above comparison, the ecological risk associated with the maximum detected concentrations of benzene and MTBE in sediment (i.e., pore water) is characterized as low. It is noted that the benzene plume has not reached steady state and that benzene concentrations in discharging groundwater (and sediment) are expected to increase; however, the source will be remediated to meet the most stringent surface water quality standard (CRL WLA), thereby protecting terrestrial ecological receptors.

## CONCLUSIONS

The findings of the Ecological Risk Assessment indicate that there is no substantial ecological risk associated with the release. The findings are summarized as follows:

- No species of concern were identified.
- No evidence of stressed, discolored or deformed vegetation was observed.
- Benzene and MTBE are not known to bioaccumulate and are not known to have a adverse effect on the food chain or present a food-chain exposure hazard.
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- The wetland of potential concern compared closely to the reference wetland with respect to the abundance and diversity of species present. No substantial ecological impacts were identified.
- The surface water quality standards presented in the Revised SCR are met and are protective of aquatic ecological receptors.
- Published sediment screening values indicate that the potential adverse effect of the release on terrestrial ecological receptors is low.
- No additional ecological risk assessment is warranted.

The results of the field investigation identified three wetland areas that contain suitable bog turtle habitat within the Ecological Risk Study Area. It is noted that bog turtles were not observed during the field investigation. Potential impacts of remediation on bog turtle habitat will be considered during the development of a Remedial Action Plan.

Prepared by:__Thmer. Shy_
Thomas R. Aby, Senior Environmental Scientist

Reviewed by: $\qquad$
Thomas J. Stich, Senior Environmental Scientist
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## ATTACHMENT A

SITE MAPPING



ATTACHMENT B

SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

Client: Herr Foods, Inc. Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West Nottingham Township, Chester County, PA

## Photo 1

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

West

## Comments:

View of truck garage on the Herr Foods, Inc. manufacturing facility and source of the underground storage tank petroleum release.

## Photo 2

Date Taken: May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Southeast

## Comments:

View of stream flowing out of the

PEM wetland of potential concern and culvert under the railroad grade.


Project Number:
101722001


Client: Herr Foods, Inc. Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West Nottingham Township, Chester County, PA

Project Number:
101722001

## Photo 3

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

South

## Comments:

View of PEM wetland of potential concern.

This is the area
where the groundwater discharges to surface water and feeds the PEM wetland.

Photo 4

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Northeast

## Comments:

Another view of the
PEM wetland of potential concern.


Client: Herr Foods, Inc.
Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West Nottingham Township, Chester County, PA

Photo 5
Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

North

## Comments:

View of the upland forested habitat, south of the truck garage.


## Photo 6

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

North

## Comments:

View of the PUB wetland adjacent to the railroad grade and surrounded by upland forest.


Client: Herr Foods, Inc.
Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West Nottingham Township, Chester County, PA

## Photo 7

## Date Taken:

May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Northeast

## Comments:

View of the reference
PEM wetland that is upgradient and directly south of the PEM wetland of potential concern. This wetland does not receive the contaminated groundwater discharge.

## Photo 8

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

Photo Direction:
North

## Comments:

View of the PSS wetland adjacent to the reference PEM
wetland and surrounded by upland forest.


Client: Herr Foods, Inc.
Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West Nottingham Township, Chester County, PA

## Photo 9

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Southeast

## Comments:

View of the PEM wetland within a cattle pasture, just east of the railroad and south of the UNT to Northeast Creek.

Photo 10

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

Photo Direction:
Northwest

## Comments:

Upstream view of the UNT to Northeast Creek and the scrubshrub riparian corridor in the southeastern portion of the study area.


Client: Herr Foods, Inc. Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West Nottingham Township,
Chester County, PA

## Photo 11

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Northwest
Comments:
View of the cattle pasture north of the UNT to Northeast

Creek.

Photo 12

## Date Taken:

May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Northeast

## Comments:

View of the retention basin in the eastern portion of the study area.


Client: Herr Foods, Inc. Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West Nottingham Township, Chester County, PA

## Photo 13

## Date Taken:

May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Southwest

## Comments:

View of the scrub-
shrub/forested riparian corridor east of the UNT to Northeast Creek.

Photo 14

Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Southeast

## Comments:

View of PEM wetland east of the railroad grade and northeast of the UNT to Northeast Creek.


Client: Herr Foods, Inc. Project Name: Ecological Risk Assessment

Site Location: West
Project Number:
Nottingham Township,
101722001
Chester County, PA
Photo 15
Date Taken:
May 11, 2016

## Photo Direction:

Northwest

## Comments:

Upstream view of the UNT to Northeast Creek, just downstream of the culvert and railroad grade.


## ATTACHMENT C

PNDI SEARCH RECIEPT AND AGENCY RESPONSES

## 1. PROJECT INFORMATION

## Project Name: Herr Foods

Date of Review: 5/16/2016 10:06:43 AM
Project Category: Hazardous Waste Clean-up, Site Remediation, and Reclamation, Other
Project Area: $\mathbf{7 3 . 2 2}$ acres
County(s): Chester
Township/Municipality(s): WEST NOTTINGHAM
ZIP Code: 19362
Quadrangle Name(s): RISING SUN
Watersheds HUC 8: Chester-Sassafras; Lower Susquehanna
Watersheds HUC 12: North East Creek; Tweed Creek-Octoraro Creek
Decimal Degrees: 39.744832, -76.019582


## 2. SEARCH RESULTS

| Agency | Results | Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PA Game Commission | No Known Impact | No Further Review Required |
| PA Department of Conservation and | Potential Impact | FURTHER REVIEW IS REQUIRED, See <br> Agency Response |
| Natural Resources | Potential Impact | FURTHER REVIEW IS REQUIRED, See <br> Agency Response |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | No Known Impact | No Further Review Required |

As summarized above, Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) records indicate there may be potential impacts to threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources within the project area. If the response above indicates "No Further Review Required" no additional communication with the respective agency is required. If the response is "Further Review Required" or "See Agency Response," refer to the appropriate agency comments below. Please see the DEP Information Section of this receipt if a PA Department of Environmental Protection Permit is required.

Note that regardless of PNDI search results, projects requiring a Chapter 105 DEP individual permit or GP 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 or 11 in certain counties (Adams, Berks, Bucks, Carbon, Chester, Cumberland, Delaware, Lancaster, Lebanon, Lehigh, Monroe, Montgomery, Northampton, Schuylkill and York) must comply with the bog turtle habitat screening requirements of the PASPGP.

## Herr Foods



Project Boundary
Buffered Project Boundary


Source: Esri, DigitalGlobe, GeoEye, Earthstar Geographics, CNES/Airbus DS, USDA,

Herr Foods


## RESPONSE TO QUESTION(S) ASKED

Q1: Will the entire project occur within an existing building, parking lot, driveway, road, street, or maintained (periodically mowed) lawn?
Your answer is: Unknown

## 3. AGENCY COMMENTS

Regardless of whether a DEP permit is necessary for this proposed project, any potential impacts to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources must be resolved with the appropriate jurisdictional agency. In some cases, a permit or authorization from the jurisdictional agency may be needed if adverse impacts to these species and habitats cannot be avoided.

These agency determinations and responses are valid for two years (from the date of the review), and are based on the project information that was provided, including the exact project location; the project type, description, and features; and any responses to questions that were generated during this search. If any of the following change: 1) project location, 2) project size or configuration, 3) project type, or 4) responses to the questions that were asked during the online review, the results of this review are not valid, and the review must be searched again via the PNDI Environmental Review Tool and resubmitted to the jurisdictional agencies. The PNDI tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer impacts than what is listed on this PNDI receipt. The jursidictional agencies strongly advise against conducting surveys for the species listed on the receipt prior to consultation with the agencies.

## PA Game Commission

## RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

## PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources RESPONSE:

Further review of this project is necessary to resolve the potential impact(s). Please send project information to this agency for review (see WHAT TO SEND).

DCNR Species: (Note: The Pennsylvania Conservation Explorer tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer species than what is listed below. After desktop review, if a botanical survey is required by DCNR, we recommend the DCNR Botanical Survey Protocols, available here: http://www.gis.dcnr.state.pa.us/hgiser/PNDI_DCNR.aspx.)

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Current Status | Proposed Status | Survey Window |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amblyscirtes vialis | Common Roadside Skipper | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* | One brood from March-July; a partial second brood up to September in the south. |
| Apodrepanulatrix liberaria | a geometrid moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* |  |
| Artace cribraria | Dot-lined White Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* |  |
| Atrytonopsis hianna | Dusted Skipper | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* | adults in flight May to early June |
| Caripeta aretaria | Southern Pine Looper Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* |  |


| Scientific Name | Common Name | Current Status | Proposed Status | Survey Window |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catocala umbrosa |  | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* | Catocala umbrosa flies as a single generation with moths on the wing from early April in the southern portions (peak flight in June) of its range through to August in the North (peak flight mid to late July). |


| Erastria coloraria | Broad-lined Erastria Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hemileuca maia | Barrens Buckmoth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* | One brood from SeptemberDecember |
| Hypagyrtis esther | Esther Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* |  |
| Lagoa crispata | Black-waved Flannel Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* | May-October |
| Xestia elimata | Southern Variable Dart Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* | Fall? |
| Zale curema | A Zale Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* |  |
| Zale submediana | A Zale Moth | Special Concern Species* | Special Concern Species* | May to August |

## PA Fish and Boat Commission

## RESPONSE:

Further review of this project is necessary to resolve the potential impact(s). Please send project information to this agency for review (see WHAT TO SEND).

PFBC Species: (Note: The Pennsylvania Conservation Explorer tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer species than what is listed below.)

| Scientific Name | Common Name | Current Status |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sensitive Species** | Endangered |  |

## U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service RESPONSE:

No impacts to federally listed or proposed species are anticipated. Therefore, no further consultation/coordination under the Endangered Species Act ( 87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq. is required. Because no take of federally listed species is anticipated, none is authorized. This response does not reflect potential Fish and Wildlife Service concerns under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act or other authorities.
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## WHAT TO SEND TO JURISDICTIONAL AGENCIES

If project information was requested by one or more of the agencies above, upload* or email* the following information to the agency(s). Instructions for uploading project materials can be found here. This option provides the applicant with the convenience of sending project materials to a single location accessible to all three state agencies. Alternatively, applicants may email or mail their project materials (see AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION).
*Note: U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service requires applicants to mail project materials to the USFWS PA field office (see AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION). USFWS will not accept project materials submitted electronically (by upload or email).

## Check-list of Minimum Materials to be submitted:

Project narrative with a description of the overall project, the work to be performed, current physical characteristics of the site and acreage to be impacted.

A map with the project boundary and/or a basic site plan(particularly showing the relationship of the project to the physical features such as wetlands, streams, ponds, rock outcrops, etc.)

## In addition to the materials listed above, USFWS REQUIRES the following

SIGNED copy of a Final Project Environmental Review Receipt

## The inclusion of the following information may expedite the review process.

Color photos keyed to the basic site plan (i.e. showing on the site plan where and in what direction each photo was taken and the date of the photos)
___Information about the presence and location of wetlands in the project area, and how this was determined (e.g., by a qualified wetlands biologist), if wetlands are present in the project area, provide project plans showing the location of all project features, as well as wetlands and streams.

## 4. DEP INFORMATION

The Pa Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requires that a signed copy of this receipt, along with any required documentation from jurisdictional agencies concerning resolution of potential impacts, be submitted with applications for permits requiring PNDI review. Two review options are available to permit applicants for handling PNDI coordination in conjunction with DEP's permit review process involving either T\&E Species or species of special concern. Under sequential review, the permit applicant performs a PNDI screening and completes all coordination with the appropriate jurisdictional agencies prior to submitting the permit application. The applicant will include with its application, both a PNDI receipt and/or a clearance letter from the jurisdictional agency if the PNDI Receipt shows a Potential Impact to a species or the applicant chooses to obtain letters directly from the jurisdictional agencies. Under concurrent review, DEP, where feasible, will allow technical review of the permit to occur concurrently with the T\&E species consultation with the jurisdictional agency. The applicant must still supply a copy of the PNDI Receipt with its permit application. The PNDI Receipt should also be submitted to the appropriate agency according to directions on the PNDI Receipt. The applicant and the jurisdictional agency will work together to resolve the potential impact(s). See the DEP PNDI policy at https://conservationexplorer.dcnr.pa.gov/content/resources.

## 5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The PNDI environmental review website is a preliminary screening tool. There are often delays in updating species status classifications. Because the proposed status represents the best available information regarding the conservation status of the species, state jurisdictional agency staff give the proposed statuses at least the same consideration as the current legal status. If surveys or further information reveal that a threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources exist in your project area, contact the appropriate jurisdictional agency/agencies immediately to identify and resolve any impacts.

For a list of species known to occur in the county where your project is located, please see the species lists by county found on the PA Natural Heritage Program (PNHP) home page (www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us). Also note that the PNDI Environmental Review Tool only contains information about species occurrences that have actually been reported to the PNHP.

## 6. AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

## PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

Bureau of Forestry, Ecological Services Section
400 Market Street, PO Box 8552
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8552
Email: RA-HeritageReview@pa.gov
Fax:(717) 772-0271
PA Fish and Boat Commission
Division of Environmental Services
450 Robinson Lane, Bellefonte, PA 16823
Email: RA-FBPACENOTIFY@pa.gov
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Pennsylvania Field Office
Endangered Species Section
110 Radnor Rd; Suite 101
State College, PA 16801
NO Faxes Please

PA Game Commission
Bureau of Wildlife Habitat Management
Division of Environmental Planning and Habitat Protection
2001 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110-9797
Email: RA-PGC_PNDI@pa.gov
NO Faxes Please

## 7. PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Name: Thomas Eby
Company/Business Name: Rettew Associates, Inc.
Address: 3020 Columbia Ave.
City, State, Zip: Lancaster, PA 17603
Phone:(717) 207-7359 Fax:(717) 394-1063
Email:_teby@rettew.com

## 8. CERTIFICATION

I certify that ALL of the project information contained in this receipt (including project location, project size/configuration, project type, answers to questions) is true, accurate and complete. In addition, if the project type, location, size or configuration changes, or if the answers to any questions that were asked during this online review change, I agree to re-do the online environmental review.

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION
and natural resources
BUREAU OF FORESTRY
May 16, 2016
PNDI Number: PNDI-603772
Thomas Aby
Rettew, Inc.
3020 Columbia Avenue
Lancaster, PA 37212
Email: teby@rettew.com (hard copy not to follow)

## Re: Herr Foods <br> West Nottingham Township, Chester County, PA

Dear Mr. Eby,
Thank you for the submission of the Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Environmental Review Environmental Review Receipt Number PNDI-603772 for review. PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources screened this project for potential impacts to species and resources of concern under DCNR's responsibility, which includes plants, terrestrial invertebrates, natural communities, and geologic features only.

## No Impact Anticipated

PNDI records indicate species or resources under DCNR's jurisdiction located in the vicinity of the project. However, based on the photos that you have submitted, the information you submitted concerning the nature of the project, the immediate location, and our detailed resource information, DCNR has determined that no impact is likely. No further coordination with our agency is needed for this project.

DCNR also recommends the following steps to help prevent the spread of invasive plant species and to encourage the use of native plants:

- Avoid using seed mixes that include invasive plant species if the project requires re-vegetating the area
(http://www.ernstseed.com/seed-mixes/). Please also attempt to use weed-free straw or hay mixes when possible. A complete list of all Pennsylvania invasive plant species can be found here:
http://www.denr.state.pa.us/cs/groups/public/documents/document/denr_20026634.pdf.
- The area of disturbance should be minimized to the fullest extent that would allow for this project; this will help to lessen the area of indirect disturbance to nearby natural areas.

This response represents the most up-to-date review of the PNDI data files and is valid for two (2) years only. If project plans change or more information on listed or proposed species becomes available, our determination may be reconsidered. Should the proposed work continue beyond the period covered by this letter, please resubmit the project to this agency as an "Update" (including an updated PNDI receipt, project narrative and accurate map). As a reminder, this finding applies to potential impacts under DCNR's jurisdiction only. Visit the PNHP website for directions on contacting the Commonwealth's other resource agencies for environmental review.

## Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact Frederick Sechler, Jr., Ecological Information Specialist, by phone (717-705-2819) or via email (c-frsechle@pa.gov).

Sincerely,


Greg Podniesinski, Section Chief
Natural Heritage Section, DCNR Bureau of Forestry

## ATTACHMENT E

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

Jeremy T. Hite - Mr. Hite has a bachelor's degree in Wildlife and Fisheries Science from the Pennsylvania State University. He is currently involved in developing a Bog Turtle (Glyptemys muhlenbergii) Habitat Conservation Plan in Lancaster County, PA and New Castle County, DE. He is a qualified bog turtle surveyor for the state of PA and has six years of experience in searching and assessing different wetland environments for bog turtles as a technician for the Penn State University and as an environmental consultant. Through his employment as Research Technician at the Penn State Cooperative Wetlands Center he has been trained in and has helped development various protocols in assessing stream, wetlands, and riparian areas across the Mid-Atlantic Region. This research also included the sampling of streams and wetlands for macroinvertebrates and other herpetofauna. Some of these projects include Bog Turtle (Gleptemys muhlenbergii), Wood Turtle (Gleptemys insculpta), Eastern Massassauga (Sistrurus catenatus catenatus), Stream-sided salamanders (Plethodon spp.), benthic macroinvertebrates, and River Otter (Lutra canadensis) surveys. His responsibilities include leading field crews, field data collection, data management, filling out permits, meeting coordination, and landowner contacts.

Thomas R. Eby, Senior Environmental Scientist - Mr. Eby has a bachelor's degree in biology from York College of Pennsylvania and has over eight years of experience in field ecology and wetland biology. He has completed several vegetation and wetland certification courses at Rutgers University. He has received training to delineate wetlands with the procedures described in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and the regional supplements. Since with RETTEW, Mr. Eby's primary role has been to manage phases within the Natural Sciences service area for various natural gas clients in both the Marcellus and Utica Shale regions. Some of his experience includes midstream pipeline projects, water sourcing projects, managing field crews, PADEP Ch. 105 compliance, USACE Nationwide Permit compliance, agency coordination, threatened and endangered species coordination/surveys, wetland delineation, and aquatic resources reporting.

Thomas J. Stich - Mr. Stich has a bachelor's degree in biology from Mansfield University and a master's degree in applied ecology and conservation biology from Frostburg State University. He has received training to delineate wetlands utilizing the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and the 2012 Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region. Mr. Stich has over 15 years of experience delineating tidal and non-tidal wetlands in Pennsylvania, Maryland, and Virginia, and has certification as a forest stand delineator and forest conservation planner in Maryland.
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