

BID INFORMATION MEMORANDUM
Fixed Price Competitive Bid Solicitation
Former Rosemergy's Garage AKA D.B.A. The Market at Woodloch
1623 Route 590, Hawley, PA 18428
PADEP Facility ID #52-01926 PAUSTIF Claim #2011-0082(I)

The PAUSTIF understands and appreciates the effort necessary to prepare a well-conceived response to a bid solicitation. As a courtesy, the following summary information is being provided to the bidders.

Number of firms attending pre-bid meeting:	6
Number of bids received:	1
List of firms submitting bids:	MEA, Inc.

This was a Bid to Result so technical approach was the most heavily weighted evaluation criteria. The cost from the one evaluated bid was \$442,516.71. Based on the numerical scoring, the one bid was determined to meet the "Reasonable and Necessary" criteria established by the Regulations and was deemed acceptable by the evaluation committee for PAUSTIF funding. PAUSTIF only provides funding up to the fixed-price cost of the bid deemed acceptable by the bid review committee. In this case the claimant elected to follow the committee's recommendation.

The bidder selected by the claimant was MEA, Inc.: Bid Price – \$442,516.71.
Amount deemed acceptable for USTIF funding – \$442,516.71.

The attached sheet lists some general comments regarding the evaluation of the one bid that were received for this solicitation. These comments are intended to provide information regarding the bid that was received for this solicitation and to assist you in preparing bids for future solicitations.

GENERAL COMMENTS REGARDING EVALUATED BIDS

- Highly responsive bids include enough details conveying bidder's own understanding of site conditions, conceptual site model, and approach to addressing the scope of work. Since bidders are not prequalified, bid content must be sufficient to equip the evaluation committee and Claimant to thoroughly assess the bid and the bidder.
- Bids are viewed most favorably if there is adequate clarity on and proposed appropriate work regarding the supplemental site characterization; additional soil characterization and POC well; and post-remedial groundwater, soil, and SV sampling. For example, favorably regarded bids (a) indicate how soil samples would be selected for analysis and depth interval being targeted for soil sampling; (b) provide the likely location for post-remedial soil sampling on a site drawing; (c) provide clear and technically accurate statements regarding soil sampling, PADEP EC waivers and how post-remedial data would be used in risk assessment.
- Highly regarded bids for risk-based site-specific standard (SSS) closures offer clarity on proposed appropriate work for baseline and post-remediation risk assessments. For example, such bids would propose an experienced risk assessment team with specific descriptions of proposed risk assessment work and a level of effort consistent with what is believed necessary to meet PADEP obligations. Such bids embrace the cleanup standards cited in the bid documents along with agreeable land use restrictions in formulating a proposed work scope that is entirely consistent with the RFB.
- Most favorably deemed bids offer clarity on and clearly propose specifics of appropriate pilot testing work and how the data will be evaluated along with setting the Critical Criteria. These bids avoid blurring remedial technology pilot testing with integrity testing of the existing remedial system components.
- Highest ranked bids include all helpful and / or RFB-specified submittals such as map(s) showing locations for proposed additional remediation wells and O&M checklist.